A Long-Term Investment Strategy for Cap-and-Trade Revenue

INTRODUCTION

California has long been an international leader on clean energy and climate efforts through energy efficiency requirements, renewable energy standards, natural resource conservation, and greenhouse gas emission standards for passenger vehicles.

In 2006, California established the nation's benchmark for greenhouse gas emission reductions with the passage of AB32, the California Global Warming Solutions Act (Pavley). AB32 required the State Air Resources Board to develop a scoping plan, including direct regulations, performance-based standards, and market-based mechanisms to achieve this level of greenhouse gas emission reductions.

The State Air Resources Board has implemented a Cap-and-Trade program under the general authority granted under AB32 to implement market-based mechanisms. But full pollution reductions cannot be achieved without a long-term strategy for investing the program's revenues effectively and affordably.

SB 535 (De Leon 2011) built upon the CA climate program by recognizing the disproportionate impacts of greenhouse gases on disadvantaged and low-income communities in California including, for example, higher rates of respiratory illness, hospitalizations, and premature death from inordinately substandard air quality. It requires that 25 percent of cap and trade revenues be allocated to disadvantaged communities to reduce pollution.

Through SB 375 of 2008 (Steinberg), the legislature recognized that without improved land use and transportation policy, California will not be able to achieve the goals of AB 32 because the transportation sector remained the single largest contributor of greenhouse gases of any sector in the State of California.

This long-term investment strategy of Cap-and-Trade revenue is deliberately designed to achieve the objectives of AB32: a significant reduction in greenhouse gas emissions while mitigating a disproportionate impact of policies' strategy on California's low-income and disadvantaged communities.

Fundamentally, this long-term investment strategy embodies the objectives of Cap-and-Trade by ensuring that all expenditures are used to achieve maximum reductions in greenhouse gases. This long-term investment strategy is designed to curb human-induced global warming by reducing pollution from traffic and vehicle trips through retrofitting our communities with more affordable and efficient transit, housing, and land uses. In doing so, this long term investment strategy will improve public health

and help Californians save money with convenient and affordable alternatives to spending more of their family budgets on ever-increasing fuel costs at the pump.

The objectives of this strategy will not be met overnight. It will take time and a long term commitment to witness the environmental dividends of these investments. That is why it is imperative to act now.

###

FRAMEWORK

All investments must:

- Lead to reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, consistent with AB32 (Pavley) of 2006;

- Be subject to a competitive ranking process to ensure those projects providing maximum feasible reductions in greenhouse gases are funded;

- Meet all existing constitutional and statutory requirements for use and allocation of Cap-and-Trade funds, including, but not limited to:
  - California Constitution Article XIII,
  - SB375 (Steinberg) – The Sustainable Communities and Climate Protection Act of 2008, relating to transit-oriented development,
  - SB535 (De Leon) – The California Communities Healthy Air Revitalization Trust of 2011, relating to ensuring disadvantaged communities receive at least 25% of funds,
  - SB1018 (Budget Committee) of 2012, relating to agencies carefully reporting, documenting and justifying expenditures of funds to protect against lawsuits.

INVESTMENT STRATEGY

I. A Permanent Source of Funding for <u>Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities</u> (40%)

a. ***Purpose:*** Support regional sustainable communities strategies including investments in affordable housing, transit-oriented development, land use planning, , active transportation, high density mixed use development, transportation efficiency and demand management projects.

b. ***Parameters:*** At least half of these funds (equivalent to at least 20% of total allocations) shall be used for affordable housing, centered in transit-oriented development and consistent with GHG reduction strategies.

c. ***Allocation method:*** Distributed through SGC to regions and/or state agencies. Projects selected based on competitive GHG performance.

II. A Permanent Source of Funding for Transit (30%)

a. ***Purpose:*** Transit construction and operations.

b. ***Parameters:***

   i. At least 5% of the transit amount would have to be used for transit connectivity projects.

   ii. At least 5% of the transit amount would have to be used for direct transit assistance to consumers (could be in the form of passes, additional access, etc.).

c. ***Allocation method:*** Distributed based on GHG performance criteria

III. A Permanent Source of Funding for High Speed Rail (20%)

a. ***Purpose:*** Ongoing source for construction of HSR.

b. ***Allocation method***: Continuously appropriated. Could be securitized.

IV. A Permanent Source of Funding for State Highway and Road Rehabilitation and for Complete Streets (10%)

a. ***Purpose:*** Traffic management, repair, deferred maintenance, bikeways, and retrofits of roads and highways.

b. ***Allocation method:*** distributed based on competitive GHG performance criteria.

V. Natural resource, water, and waste ($200 million annually)

a. ***Purpose:*** Water efficiency infrastructure projects, forestry and landscape issues, wetland development, waste diversion and recycling, energy efficiency, clean vehicles, and "black carbon" reduction.

b. ***Allocation method:*** Subject to annual appropriation in the Budget Act.

VI. Climate dividend for transportation fuel consumers ($200 million annually)

a. ***Purpose:*** To use portion of cap-and-trade funds to show consumers that California's climate policies are generating new dollars for them.

b. ***Allocation method:*** Several options, for example, a rebate check on monthly fuel bills; once per year rebate with motor vehicle registrations.

VII. **"Charge Ahead" Electric Vehicle Deployment Program ($200 million annually)**

Purpose: Funding a comprehensive vision for cleaning up the state's cars, trucks, buses, and freight movement to meet federally mandated clean air requirements and California's long-term GHG goals.

a. Allocation Method. Appropriated annually in the Budget Act.

VIII. Green Bank Funding (not less than $10 million annually)

a. Purpose: a state fund to assist the financing of clean energy and other environmentally sustainable projects.

b. ***Allocation method***: appropriated annually in the Budget Act.

VISUAL SUMMARY

- $200 million for natural resource, water, and waste.
- $200 million for climate dividend for consumers.
- $200 million for electric vehicle deployment
- $10 million for green bank funding

Remaining balance distributed as follows:



**Of Transit amount, at least 5% shall be used for transit connectivity projects and at least 5% shall be used for direct transit assistance to consumers.*

***Of the Housing and Sustainable Communities amount, at least half shall be used for affordable housing.*

FISCAL ILLUSTRATION

Distribution of Cap-and-Trade, assuming revenue of $5 billion annually:

Category	Amount (millions)
I. Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities	$1,756
II. Transit	$1,317
III. High Speed Rail	$878
IV. Complete Streets	$439
V. Natural Resource, Water, Waste	$200
VI. Climate Dividend	$200
VII. Electric Vehicle Deployment	$200
VIII. Green Bank Funding	$10
TOTAL	**$5,000**