



AGENDA

<u>Topic</u>	<u>Estimated Time</u>
1. Introductions (<i>Rick Marshall, Chair</i>)	3 min
2. Review of Working Group Minutes*	4 min
A. Joint Partnership Local Streets and Roads/ Programming and Delivery Working Group – July 16, 2012* (<i>Rick Marshall, Chair</i>)	
3. Standing/ Programming Updates:	
A. Federal Programs Delivery Update (STP/CMAQ, RIP-TE, HBP, Local Safety)* (<i>Marcella Aranda</i>)	10 min
B. Legislative Update (<i>Rebecca Long</i>)	15 min
4. Federal/State Program Announcements:	
A. Caltrans Division of Local Assistance Web Update Announcements (DLAWUA)* (<i>Memo Only</i>) (<i>Caltrans Division of Local Assistance has posted program updates/announcements to their website. Jurisdictions are encouraged to review the bulletins for program changes.</i>)	
i. Updated MAP-21 chart and summary from the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans)*	
ii. DLA-OB 12-05 "Revised Form FHWA-1273 for Federal-aid Construction Contracts"*	
iii. August 21, 2012 RTPA Meeting - Coop Handout* (<i>Caltrans is proposing a new maintenance article for inclusion with all future cooperative agreements between Caltrans and the Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) members.</i>)	
iv. Local Assistance Bridge Program Accepting Project Applications*	
v. State-legislated Safe Routes to School (SR2S) Program New Program Delivery Status Reports* (<i>The Caltrans is now requiring local agencies to meet specific delivery timelines for the state-legislated SR2S Program. http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/saferoutes/sr2s_delivery_status.htm</i>)	
vi. [CalRTPA] Transportation Partners re: Earmarks* (<i>The USDOT is making available to California approximately \$43 million in unspent highway earmarks from FY2003-2006 for other projects. A complete list of the earmarked projects and the press release from the USDOT is available at http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/pressroom/redisfy0306earmarks.htm</i>)	
5. Discussion Items:	
A. TIP Update* (<i>Sri Srinivasan</i>) (<i>The current TIP and subsequent TIP Revisions are available online at: http://www.mtc.ca.gov/funding/tip/2011/revisions.htm</i>)	10 min
B. P-TAP Cycle 14 Call for Projects* (<i>Amy Burch</i>)	10 min
C. California Asphalt Pavement Association--Asphalt Design Specs for Local Streets and Roads & Inspection Training Needs (<i>Rita Leahy, CalAPA</i>)	20 min
D. Full Depth Reclamation Overview (<i>Donatas Greb, HIS Engineering</i>)	20 min
6. Informational Items: (“Memo Only” unless otherwise noted)	
A. California Statewide Needs Assessment Status and Workshop Results* (<i>Workshop materials available online at: http://www.catc.ca.gov/reports/index.htm</i>)	
B. PMP Certification Status* (<i>Current PMP Certification status is available online at: http://www.mtcpms.org/ptap/cert.html</i>)	

7. Recommended Agenda Items for Next Meeting: (All)

5 min

8. Nominations for LSRWG 2nd Vice-Chair (All)

The next LSRWG meeting:

Thursday, October 11, 2012

9:30a – 11:30a

MetroCenter, 2nd Floor, Claremont

101-8th Street, Oakland 94607

* = Attachment in Packet

** = Handouts Available at Meeting

Contact Marcella Aranda at maranda@mtc.ca.gov if you have questions regarding this agenda.

**JOINT PARTNERSHIP LOCAL STREETS AND ROADS/PROGRAMMING AND DELIVERY WORKING GROUP
(LSRPWG) MINUTES**

July 16, 2012

Page 1 of 2

1. Introductions

Ben Tripousis (LSRWG Vice-Chair) requested introductions.

2. Minutes of the Local Streets and Roads Working Group Meeting

The minutes of the May 10, 2012 LSRWG meeting were accepted without objection.

3. Programming Updates

a. Local Safety Programs Monitoring Update

Marcella Aranda (MTC) provided a local federal funds delivery update. Caltrans has released combined call for projects for the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) – Cycle 5 and the High Risk Rural Roads Program (HR3) – Cycle 3. Agencies with active red-flagged projects in either of these programs must clear the flag by the application deadline of July 20, 2012 or are deemed ineligible to apply for these cycles.

b. STIP Project Delivery Monitoring Update

Kenneth Kao (MTC) provided a STIP project delivery update. Projects sponsors needing an allocation should submit their request to MTC and Caltrans by July 30 for the September CTC meeting. The July CTC meeting has been canceled.

Kenneth reported that all District 4 Corridor Mobility Improvement Act (CMIA) funds have been delivered. Any CMIA savings will be programmed to the SHOPP.

4. Caltrans Updates

a. *Sylvia Fung (Caltrans Dist. 4)* advised sponsors to take note of significant changes in the Consultant Selection Process and reported that Local Assistance will be downsizing on staffing resources due to the budget. As a result, field reviews will be cut back; however, sponsors are still required to complete all necessary forms.

5. Discussion Items

a. CTC Workshops to Develop Transportation Policy Recommendations

Theresa Romell (MTC) summarized the intent of the workshops. The Working Group is encouraging input on the policy recommendations from all transportation stakeholders and is encouraging attendance at the workshops.

b. OneBayArea Grant Proposal Update

Ross McKeown (MTC) provided an update on the OneBayArea Grant (OBAG) program. Public Participation and Outreach, PDA Growth and Complete Streets are being finalized.

Sean Co (MTC) summarized comments and recommendations/changes incorporated into the Complete Streets requirement. A sample Resolution will be made available to the jurisdictions for their reference. MTC will be holding nine workshops to train jurisdictions on the Complete Streets requirements.

Ross McKeown summarized the impacts of MAP-21 relative to OBAG. The Commission approved the OBAG funding levels regardless of MAP-21. The TE funds are now gone, and has been rolled into the Transportation Alternatives program.

Comments from LSRWG members and attendees:

- *Disappointed that the under the new housing formula some counties are considerably impacted, which is not what was originally communicated during the development process.*

c. LSR Performance Measure Scores

Theresa Romell (MTC) provided draft scores analysis based on the former preventive maintenance formula. The general findings reflect a significant downturn in performance, possibly due to the lack of ARRA funds. The OBAG formula used for Plan Bay Area is likely to be used in the future.

Comments from LSRWG members and attendees:

- *Need to begin a dialogue about how to convey need and influence future distribution formulas.*

**JOINT PARTNERSHIP LOCAL STREETS AND ROADS/PROGRAMMING AND DELIVERY WORKING GROUP
(LSRPWG) MINUTES**

July 16, 2012

Page 2 of 2

- *Recommend determining specific needs for each pavement preservation project.*
- *Need to analyze conditions of PDA roads by county*
- *Jurisdictions are moving toward allocating funds to thoroughfares and nothing for residential roads.*

d. TIP Update

Sri Srinivasan (MTC) reported that the Draft 2013 TIP has been released for public comment until 5:00pm, August 2. The Commission will consider adoption of the 2013 TIP on September 26. Adoption by FHWA is expected by December 17, 2012.

Adam Crenshaw (MTC) provided an update on the 2011 TIP. The deadline to submit a revision request for the final 2011 Administrative Modification is July 27.

6. Informational Items

a. Draft 2011 Regional Condition Summary

Theresa Romell (MTC) reported that the draft summary is expected to be published in September. Comments should be directed to Theresa Romell.

Comments from LSRWG members and attendees:

- *Sponsors should consider chip sealing as it is more cost effective and has advanced technically in recent years.*

7. Recommended Agenda Items for Future Meeting

Proposed Next LSRWG Meeting:

Thursday, September 13, 2012

9:30 a.m. – 11:30 a.m.

MetroCenter, 2nd Floor, Claremont

101-8th Street, Oakland 94607

Proposed Next PDWG Meeting:

Monday, September 17, 2012

10:30 a.m. – 12:30 p.m.

MetroCenter, 3rd Floor, Fishbowl

101-8th Street, Oakland 94607



METROPOLITAN
TRANSPORTATION
COMMISSION

Joseph P. Bort MetroCenter
101 Eighth Street
Oakland, CA 94607-4700
TEL 510.817.5700
TDD/TTY 510.817.5769
FAX 510.817.5848
E-MAIL info@mtc.ca.gov
WEB www.mtc.ca.gov

Memorandum

TO: Partnership Local Streets and Roads Working Group
Partnership Programming and Delivery Working Group

DATE: September 13 2012

FR: Marcella Aranda

RE: FY 2011-12 Federal Programs Delivery Update (STP/CMAQ, RIP-TE, HBP, Local Safety)

ACTION ITEMS and ITEMS OF NOTE:

New Federal Act: “MOVING AHEAD FOR PROGRESS IN THE 21ST CENTURY ACT” (MAP-21): The new federal act, MAP-21, is available online for review at: <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/BILLS-112hr4348enr/pdf/BILLS-112hr4348enr.pdf>.

Federal Obligation Status for FFY 2011-12 (as of August 30, 2012)

Fund Source	FY 2011-12 Obligation Plan (submitted 01/06/12)	FY 2011-12 Programmed Obligation Plan (as of 09/10/12)	Obligations through 08/30/12	% Obligated of Programmed	Balance Remaining	% Remaining
STP	84,459,820	131,051,744	\$130,950,030	99.9%	\$101,714	0.1%
CMAQ	78,560,745	130,950,030	\$113,555,530	86.7%	\$17,394,500	13.3%
RTIP	109,276,549	82,116,000	\$9,856,123	12.0%	\$72,259,877	88.0%
HBP*	72,914,116	64,637,965	\$19,300,312	29.9%	\$45,337,653	70.1%
HSIP*	18,104,714	16,691,690	\$9,129,731	54.7%	\$7,561,959	45.3%
HR3*	2,440,755	2,668,005	\$1,581,500	59.3%	\$1,086,505	40.7%
SRTS*	5,886,320	7,774,711	\$4,112,002	52.9%	\$3,662,709	47.1%
Total	\$371,643,019	\$435,890,145	\$288,485,228	66.2 %	\$147,404,917	33.8%

*Note: Local Safety Programs (HSIP, HR3, SRTS) funds are based on those entered into FMS and may not reflect overall FFY 2011-12 programming and/or obligations. The current approved TIP back up project listings can be found online at: <http://www.mtc.ca.gov/funding/tip/grouped.htm>.

Any funding changes to projects in the Plan should have been added to FY 2011-12 of the TIP through a TIP Revision approved by MTC, before the change is incorporated into the Obligation Plan. Funds not obligated by the regional deadlines are subject to reprogramming within the region to other projects that can use the OA.

INFORMATIONAL BACKGROUND:

AB 1012 (Chapter 783, Statutes of 1999 - Torlakson) established strict timely use of funds and project delivery requirements for transportation projects. Under AB 1012, Surface Transportation Program (STP) and Congestion Mitigation Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) funds must be obligated within three years of the apportionment. The obligation requirement applies to the aggregate programmed

amounts of STP and CMAQ amounts for a given fiscal year. Funds not obligated by the deadline are lost to the region. Furthermore, Obligation Authority (OA) is assigned to the STP/CMAQ apportionments on an annual basis. Regional OA not used by May 1 of each year is made available to other regions on a first-come first-served basis, with any remaining OA not used by the end of each federal fiscal year taken by the state; with no guarantee the funds will be returned.

In addition to the state requirements, MTC's Regional Project Delivery Policy (MTC Resolution 3606) requires the obligation of Federal funds on a project-by-project basis for established regional deadlines of February 1 for the Request for Authorization (RFA) and April 30 to obligate, which are earlier than those required by AB 1012. MTC's intent is to apply the Regional 3606 delivery deadlines to all FHWA-formula funds within the region, including but not limited to STP, CMAQ, and local federal Safety programs. The only exception would be RTIP funds where we should strive for a CTC allocation by April 30, and federal obligation by June 30 of each year. This is to ensure that no funds are lost to the region due to missed state and federal requirements and to facilitate project delivery. Funds that do not meet the regional deadlines are returned to MTC for reprogramming within the region (STP/CMAQ) or reprogrammed to outer years by the California Department of Transportation, Division of Local Assistance (DLA) (local safety, HBP).

Safety Programs Delivery Status and Guidelines

The Department of Transportation (Caltrans) requires local agencies to meet specific delivery timelines for all past and future projects in the local federal Safety Programs: Highway Bridge Program (HBP), Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP), High Risk Rural Roads Program (HR3), and Safe Routes to School Program (SRTS). These project delivery requirements are entirely independent of the FSTIP program year. The project delivery requirements are based upon a set time period to complete three (3) primary milestones of a project. The three milestones and corresponding delivery requirements are:

1. Request Authorization to Proceed with PE within 6 months after the project is amended into the FSTIP.
2. Request Authorization to Proceed with Construction within 30 months (2½ years) after the project is amended into the FSTIP.
3. Complete construction and close-out the project within 54 months (4½ years) after the project is amended into the FSTIP.

The date the project is first amended into the FSTIP (i.e. approved by the FHWA) is the date from which all future delivery performance is evaluated. When the FFY of the project delivery schedule does not match the FFY in the FSTIP, local agencies must utilize the Expedited Project Selection Procedure (EPSP) prior to requesting authorization to begin work on the project.

As always, any sponsor with a project programmed in a later year of the TIP, and not listed in the obligation plan, may advance their project and request an obligation any time after April 30 on a first-come-first served basis, subject to the availability of OA and apportionment. Advancement of projects from later years is highly encouraged.

In an effort to ensure timely delivery, project sponsors must enter their respective Safety Program projects, including HSIP, HR3, and SRTS, into MTC's Fund Management System (FMS) for delivery monitoring purposes only. For projects that have already been entered previously into FMS, but have funding changes, sponsors are requested to email Marcella Aranda the phase and funding adjustments for updating in FMS. These programs will continue to be administered by Caltrans and are included in the approved TIP as Grouped Listings. Sponsors are encouraged to review the updated Grouped Listings to ensure that any changes are captured in FMS for accurate delivery monitoring. The latest approved TIP project listings can be found online at: <http://www.mtc.ca.gov/funding/tip/grouped.htm>.

Please check the Caltrans site (http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/HSIP/delivery_status.htm) for additional Safety Program information and to view the Delivery Status Reports. Project specific questions should be directed to your District Local Assistant Engineer (DLAE).

FHWA Funding Delivery Status Information Now Online:

MTC is now posting two documents from Caltrans that may be helpful to the CMAs and local jurisdictions in tracking federal obligations and E-76 Authorization requests.

The first document is an Excel spreadsheet that shows obligations (authorizations) of FHWA-administered fund sources for the current federal fiscal year for local projects (excluding FTA transfers). The second document is a PDF of the federal authorization requests submitted by District 4 to HQ for processing and approval during the current federal fiscal year. These documents are located at <http://www.mtc.ca.gov/funding/delivery/> (below the "FY 2011-12 FHWA-Administered Funds" heading) and are updated the first week of each month.

Obligations for all federally funded programs discussed in this delivery status update are entered into MTC's Fund Management System. The various Obligation Status Reports can be generated at: <http://fms.mtc.ca.gov/fms/pages/reportManager/reportHomeFundingReports.jsp>

Should you have any questions regarding the STP-CMAQ program, please contact Craig Goldblatt at cgoldblatt@mtc.ca.gov. For questions regarding the Local Programs, including HBP, HSIP, HR3, and SRTS, please contact Marcella Aranda at maranda@mtc.ca.gov.

Attachments:

- i. FFY 2011-12 STP-CMAQ Delivery Report, as of 09/10/12
- ii. FFY 2011-12 STIP Monitoring Update, as of 09/10/12
- iii. FFY 2011-12 Highway Bridge Program, as of 09/10/12
- iv. FFY 2011-12 Local Safety Programs Delivery Report (SRTS, HSIP, HR3), as of 09/10/12

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

STP-CMAQ Obligation Status Report

Fiscal Years: FY 11/12

September 10, 2012

County	Sponsor	Project Name	Phase	TIP ID	Status	Fund Code	Fed Project Data		Appn FY	Prog FY	Fund Programming Information			Obligation Information			Balance Remaining	
							Prefix	ID			STP Amt	CMAQ Amt	Total Amt	Date	STP Amt	CMAQ Amt		Total Amt
Alameda County																		
Alameda	Alameda	Alameda County: Rural Roads Pavement	CON	ALA090069	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-FAS	STPL	5933(110)	11/12	11/12	1,814,750		1,814,750	04/04/12	1,814,750		1,814,750	
Alameda	Alameda	Alameda Co - Central Unincorporated	CON	ALA110026	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5933(111)	11/12	11/12	1,071,000		1,071,000	04/04/12	1,071,000		1,071,000	
Alameda	Albany	Albany - Buchanan Bicycle and	CON	ALA110030	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-RBP-CO	CML	5178(012)	11/12	11/12		1,702,000	1,702,000	06/01/12		1,702,000	1,702,000	
Alameda	Berkeley	City of Berkeley Transportation Action	CON	ALA110007	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-CCI	CML	5057(039)	11/12	11/12		10,000	10,000				10,000	
Alameda	Dublin	Dublin Citywide Street Resurfacing	CON	ALA110024	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5432(016)	11/12	11/12	547,000		547,000	03/16/12	547,000		547,000	
Alameda	Dublin	West Dublin BART Golden Gate Drive	CON	ALA110034	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-REG	CML	5432(015)	11/12	11/12		647,000	647,000	06/01/12		647,000	647,000	
Alameda	Fremont	Fremont CBD/Midtown Streetscape	CON	ALA110012	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-CO	CML	5322(051)	11/12	11/12		1,114,444	1,114,444	03/27/12		1,114,444	1,114,444	
Alameda	Hayward	South Hayward BART Area/Dixon Street	CON	ALA110035	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-REG	CML	5050(038)	11/12	11/12		1,540,000	1,540,000	04/04/12		1,264,000	1,264,000	
Alameda	Livermore	Iron Horse Trail Extension in Downtown	CON	ALA110013	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-CO	CML	5053(025)	11/12	11/12		1,566,000	1,566,000	04/04/12		1,240,760	1,240,760	
Alameda	Livermore	Livermore Village Plaza & Infrastructure	CON	ALA110037	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-REG	CML	5053(022)	11/12	11/12		2,500,000	2,500,000	05/16/12		2,500,000	2,500,000	
Alameda	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	ALA090030	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	916,000		916,000	06/22/12	916,000		916,000	
Alameda	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	ALA090030	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	944,000		944,000	06/22/12	944,000		944,000	
Alameda	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	ALA090030	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	973,000		973,000	06/22/12	973,000		973,000	
Alameda	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	ALA090030	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	1,003,000		1,003,000	06/22/12	1,003,000		1,003,000	
Alameda	Newark	Newark - Cedar Blvd and Jarvis Ave	CON	ALA110016	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5317(014)	11/12	11/12	682,000		682,000	02/17/12	682,000		682,000	
Alameda	Oakland	Various Streets Resurfacing and Bikeway	CON	ALA110006	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5012(110)	11/12	11/12	3,057,000		3,057,000	02/16/12	3,057,000		3,057,000	
Alameda	Oakland	Various Streets Resurfacing and Bikeway	CON	ALA110006	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-RBP-CO	STPL	5012(110)	11/12	11/12	435,000		435,000	02/16/12	435,000		435,000	
Alameda	Oakland	Oakland Foothill Blvd Streetscape	CON	ALA110029	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-TLC-REG	STPL	5012(105)	11/12	11/12	2,200,000		2,200,000	04/04/12	2,200,000		2,200,000	
Alameda	Port of Oakland	Shore Power Initiative	CON	ALA110010	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-CCI	CML	6057(013)	11/12	11/12		3,000,000	3,000,000	02/16/12		3,000,000	3,000,000	
Alameda	SJRC	ACE Preventative Maintenance	CON	ALA110099	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-SR2S-CO			11/12	11/12	1,150,935		1,150,935	08/01/12	1,150,935		1,150,935	
Alameda	San Leandro	San Leandro Downtown-BART Pedestrian	CON	ALA110027	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-REG	CML	5041(036)	11/12	11/12		4,298,437	4,298,437	02/28/12		4,298,437	4,298,437	
Alameda	Union City	Union City Blvd Corridor Bicycle Imp,	CON	ALA110028	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-RBP-CO	CML	5354(030)	11/12	11/12		860,000	860,000	03/22/12		860,000	860,000	
Alameda County Totals											14,793,685	17,237,881	32,031,566		14,793,685	16,626,641	31,420,326	611,240

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

STP-CMAQ Obligation Status Report

Fiscal Years: FY 11/12

September 10, 2012

County	Sponsor	Project Name	Phase	TIP ID	Status	Fund Code	Fed Project Data		Appn FY	Prog FY	Fund Programming Information			Obligation Information			Balance Remaining	
							Prefix	ID			STP Amt	CMAQ Amt	Total Amt	Date	STP Amt	CMAQ Amt		Total Amt
Contra Costa County																		
Contra Costa	BART	Richmond Transit Village Transit & Ped	CON	CC-010021	PROPOSED	STP-T2-FY01-TLC-HIP	STPL	5137(032)	04/05	11/12	856,647		856,647	02/02/12	856,647		856,647	
Contra Costa	Brentwood	Brentwood 2012 Pavement Management	CON	CC-110009	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5300(009)	11/12	11/12	823,000		823,000	05/04/12	823,000		823,000	
Contra Costa	CC County	Montalvin Manor Ped & Transit Access	CON	CC-070087	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-SR2S-CO	RPSTPL	5928(097)	11/12	11/12		265,000	265,000	02/17/12		265,000	265,000	
Contra Costa	CC County	Kirker Pass Road Overlay	CON	CC-110044	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-FAS	STPL	5928(111)	11/12	11/12	1,611,000		1,611,000	04/24/12	1,611,000		1,611,000	
Contra Costa	Concord	Concord Monument Corridor Shared Use	CON	CC-110019	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-RBP-CO	CML	5135(040)	11/12	11/12		666,000	666,000	04/26/12		666,000	666,000	
Contra Costa	Danville	San Ramon Valley Street Smarts SR2S	CON	CC-110050	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-SR2S-CO	CML	5434(019)	11/12	11/12		365,000	365,000	05/22/12		365,000	365,000	
Contra Costa	El Cerrito	San Pablo Avenue Streetscape	CON	CC-070074	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T3-3-TLC-CO	CML	5239(010)	08/09	11/12		506,000	506,000	01/29/09		506,000	506,000	
Contra Costa	El Cerrito	San Pablo Avenue Streetscape	CON	CC-070074	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T3-3-TLC-REG	CML	5239(010)	08/09	11/12		1,800,000	1,800,000	01/29/09		1,800,000	1,800,000	
Contra Costa	El Cerrito	El Cerrito Central Ave & Liberty St	CON	CC-110005	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-CO	CML	5239(020)	11/12	11/12		729,173	729,173	05/22/12		630,295	630,295	
Contra Costa	Lafayette	Lafayette Downtown Bike/Ped Imp &	CON	CC-110006	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-CO	CML	5404(023)	11/12	11/12		400,000	400,000	10/28/11		400,000	400,000	
Contra Costa	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	CC-090035	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	725,000		725,000	06/22/12	725,000		725,000	
Contra Costa	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	CC-090035	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	794,000		794,000	06/22/12	794,000		794,000	
Contra Costa	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	CC-090035	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	747,000		747,000	06/22/12	747,000		747,000	
Contra Costa	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	CC-090035	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	770,000		770,000	06/22/12	770,000		770,000	
Contra Costa	Orinda	Moraga Way Pedestrian Pathway	CON	CC-110048	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-SR2S-CO	CML	5444(014)	11/12	11/12		166,000	166,000	06/01/12		166,000	166,000	
Contra Costa	Pittsburg	Pittsburg N. Parkside Dr. Bike Lanes and	CON	CC-110017	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-RBP-CO	CML	5127(027)	11/12	11/12		900,000	900,000	05/15/12		900,000	900,000	
Contra Costa	Pleasant Hill	Central-East County SR2S Program	CON	CC-110049	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-SR2S-CO	CML	5375(025)	11/12	11/12		725,000	725,000	01/31/12		725,000	725,000	
Contra Costa	Richmond	Dornan Drive/Garrard Blvd Tunnel	CON	CC-030011	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5137(042)	10/11	11/12	500,000		500,000	06/01/12	500,000		500,000	
Contra Costa	Richmond	SR2S - Nystrom, Coronado, Highland,	CON	CC-110031	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-SR2S-CO	SRTSL	5137(041)	11/12	11/12		264,000	264,000	05/11/12		264,000	264,000	
Contra Costa	San Ramon	San Ramon Alcosta Blvd Pavement	CON	CC-110008	HISTORIC	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5437(024)	11/12	11/12	825,000		825,000	05/04/12	825,307		825,307	
Contra Costa	Walnut Creek	Walnut Creek Various Arterials &	CON	CC-110013	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5225(024)	11/12	11/12	1,790,488		1,790,488	04/26/12	1,790,488		1,790,488	
Contra Costa County Totals											9,442,135	6,786,173	16,228,308		9,442,442	6,687,295	16,129,737	98,571

STP-CMAQ Obligation Status Report

Fiscal Years: FY 11/12

September 10, 2012

County	Sponsor	Project Name	Phase	TIP ID	Status	Fund Code	Fed Project Data		Appn FY	Prog FY	Fund Programming Information			Obligation Information			Balance Remaining	
							Prefix	ID			STP Amt	CMAQ Amt	Total Amt	Date	STP Amt	CMAQ Amt		Total Amt
Marin County																		
Marin	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	MRN090020	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	638,000		638,000	06/22/12	638,000		638,000	
Marin	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	MRN090020	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	658,000		658,000	06/22/12	658,000		658,000	
Marin	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	MRN090020	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	678,000		678,000	06/22/12	678,000		678,000	
Marin	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	MRN090020	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	699,000		699,000	06/22/12	699,000		699,000	
Marin	Marin County	Marin County: Southern Marin Roads	CON	MRN090053	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5927(084)	11/12	11/12	1,063,000		1,063,000	05/10/12	1,048,020		1,048,020	14,980
Marin	Marin County	Marin County: Southern Marin Roads	PE	MRN090053	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5927(084)	10/11	11/12	133,000		133,000	11/16/11	133,000		133,000	
Marin	Marin County	Strawberry Point School Pedestrian Imps	CON	MRN110006	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-SR2S-CO	CML	5927(082)	11/12	11/12		420,000	420,000	05/15/12		420,000	420,000	
Marin	Marin County	Marinwood, Greenbrae, Strawberry &	CON	MRN110007	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-CO	CML	5927(083)	11/12	11/12		790,000	790,000	06/01/12		790,000	790,000	
Marin	Marin County	Novato Boulevard Resurfacing	CON	MRN110015	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-FAS	STPL	5927(088)	11/12	11/12	1,006,000		1,006,000	06/06/12	918,959		918,959	87,041
Marin	Marin County	Venetia Valley School SR2S	CON	MRN110016	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-SR2S-REG	CML	5927(089)	11/12	11/12		320,000	320,000	05/16/12		320,000	320,000	
Marin	Mill Valley	Mill Valley - Edgwood Avenue	CON	MRN110009	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5113(012)	11/12	11/12	123,000		123,000	06/06/12	123,000		123,000	
Marin	San Rafael	San Rafael Citywide Street Resurfacing	CON	MRN110008	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5043(033)	11/12	11/12	1,019,000		1,019,000	06/06/12	1,019,000		1,019,000	
Marin	Sausalito	Sausalito - Bridgeway/US 101 Off Ramp	PE	MRN110010	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-RBP-CO	CML	5098(012)	11/12	11/12		88,000	88,000	04/04/12		88,000	88,000	
Marin County Totals											6,017,000	1,618,000	7,635,000		5,914,979	1,618,000	7,532,979	102,021

STP-CMAQ Obligation Status Report

Fiscal Years: FY 11/12

September 10, 2012

County	Sponsor	Project Name	Phase	TIP ID	Status	Fund Code	Fed Project Data		Appn FY	Prog FY	Fund Programming Information			Obligation Information			Balance Remaining	
							Prefix	ID			STP Amt	CMAQ Amt	Total Amt	Date	STP Amt	CMAQ Amt		Total Amt
Napa County																		
Napa	American	American Canyon: Theresa Ave Sidewalk	CON	NAP110007	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-CO			11/12	11/12		200,000	200,000				200,000	
Napa	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	NAP090002	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	699,000		699,000	06/22/12	699,000		699,000	
Napa	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	NAP090002	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	658,000		658,000	06/22/12	658,000		658,000	
Napa	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	NAP090002	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	638,000		638,000	06/22/12	638,000		638,000	
Napa	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	NAP090002	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	678,000		678,000	06/22/12	678,000		678,000	
Napa	Napa	Napa (City): 2011 Cape Seal Pavement	CON	NAP110008	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5042(052)	10/11	11/12	625,000		625,000	03/26/12	625,000		625,000	
Napa	Napa	Napa:Lincoln Ave Bike Lane - Jefferson	CON	NAP110011	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-RBP-CO	CML	5042(053)	11/12	11/12		100,000	100,000	06/22/12		100,000	100,000	
Napa	Napa	Napa:Lincoln Ave Bike Lane - Jefferson	PE	NAP110011	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-RBP-CO	CML	5042(053)	10/11	11/12		70,000	70,000	12/06/11		70,000	70,000	
Napa	Napa	Napa City North/South Bike Connection	PE	NAP110013	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-RBP-CO	CML	5042(054)	11/12	11/12		40,000	40,000	03/16/12		40,000	40,000	
Napa	Napa	Napa City - Linda Vista Pavement	CON	NAP110015	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5042(055)	11/12	11/12	654,000		654,000	06/01/12	654,000		654,000	
Napa	Napa County	Napa County: Silverado Trail Paving	CON	NAP110009	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5921(053)	11/12	11/12	526,000		526,000	06/01/12	526,000		526,000	
Napa	Napa County	Napa County: Silverado Trail Paving	CON	NAP110009	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-FAS	STPL	5921(053)	11/12	11/12	312,000		312,000	06/01/12	312,000		312,000	
Napa	Napa County	Napa County Road Rehab. Various	CON	NAP110019	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-FAS	STPL	5921(054)	11/12	11/12	1,114,000		1,114,000	06/01/12	1,114,000		1,114,000	
Napa County Totals											5,904,000	410,000	6,314,000		5,904,000	210,000	6,114,000	200,000

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

STP-CMAQ Obligation Status Report

Fiscal Years: FY 11/12

September 10, 2012

County	Sponsor	Project Name	Phase	TIP ID	Status	Fund Code	Fed Project Data		Appn FY	Prog FY	Fund Programming Information			Obligation Information			Balance Remaining	
							Prefix	ID			STP Amt	CMAQ Amt	Total Amt	Date	STP Amt	CMAQ Amt		Total Amt
Regional Counties																		
Regional	Alameda	Local Government EV Fleet Program	CON	REG110013	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-CCI	CML	5933(109)	11/12	11/12		2,799,147	2,799,147	07/30/12		2,799,147	2,799,147	
Regional	BAAQMD	Regional Bicycle Sharing Pilot	CON	REG110010	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-CCI	CML	6297(006)	11/12	11/12		4,246,000	4,246,000	02/07/12		4,246,000	4,246,000	
Regional	MTC	Freeway Performance Initiative (FPI)	PE	REG090003	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-FPI	CML	6204(095)	11/12	11/12		100,000	100,000	07/16/12		100,000	100,000	
Regional	MTC	Freeway Performance Initiative (FPI)	ROW	REG090003	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-FPI	CML	6204(095)	11/12	11/12		190,000	190,000	11/29/11		190,000	190,000	
Regional	MTC	Freeway Performance Initiative (FPI)	PE	REG090003	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-2-FPI-REG	CML	6084(160)	11/12	11/12		5,000,000	5,000,000	06/13/12		5,000,000	5,000,000	
Regional	MTC	Freeway Performance Initiative (FPI)	PE	REG090003	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-2-FPI-REG	STPCML	6160(018)	11/12	11/12		5,750,000	5,750,000	06/13/12		5,750,000	5,750,000	
Regional	MTC	Freeway Performance Initiative (FPI)	ROW-CT	REG090003	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-2-FPI-REG	CML	6204(095)	11/12	11/12		1,000,000	1,000,000	07/16/12		1,000,000	1,000,000	
Regional	MTC	Freeway Performance Initiative (FPI)	CON	REG090003	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-FPI-REG	STPL	6160(022)	11/12	11/12	750,000		750,000	08/30/12	750,000		750,000	
Regional	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	REG090038	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-PL-REG	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	699,000		699,000	06/22/12	699,000		699,000	
Regional	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	REG090038	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-PL-REG	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	678,000		678,000	06/22/12	678,000		678,000	
Regional	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	REG090038	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-PL-REG	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	638,000		638,000	06/22/12	638,000		638,000	
Regional	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	REG090038	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-PL-REG	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	658,000		658,000	06/22/12	658,000		658,000	
Regional	MTC	Regional Streets and Roads Program	CON	REG090039	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-PMP-REG	STPL	6084(179)	11/12	11/12	6,000,000		6,000,000	08/17/12	6,000,000		6,000,000	
Regional	MTC	Regional Streets and Roads Program	CON	REG090039	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-PMP-REG	STPL	6084(178)	11/12	11/12	1,200,000		1,200,000	08/07/12	1,200,000		1,200,000	
Regional	MTC	Regional Planning Activities - ABAG	PE	REG090040	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-PL-REG	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	658,000		658,000	06/22/12	658,000		658,000	
Regional	MTC	Regional Planning Activities - ABAG	PE	REG090040	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-PL-REG	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	678,000		678,000	06/22/12	678,000		678,000	
Regional	MTC	Regional Planning Activities - ABAG	PE	REG090040	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-PL-REG	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	699,000		699,000	06/22/12	699,000		699,000	
Regional	MTC	Regional Planning Activities - ABAG	PE	REG090040	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-PL-REG	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	638,000		638,000	06/22/12	638,000		638,000	
Regional	MTC	Regional Planning Activities - BCDC	PE	REG090041	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-PL-REG	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	320,000		320,000	06/22/12	320,000		320,000	
Regional	MTC	Regional Planning Activities - BCDC	PE	REG090041	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-PL-REG	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	340,000		340,000	06/22/12	340,000		340,000	
Regional	MTC	Regional Planning Activities - BCDC	PE	REG090041	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-PL-REG	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	351,000		351,000	06/22/12	351,000		351,000	
Regional	MTC	Regional Planning Activities - BCDC	PE	REG090041	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-PL-REG	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	330,000		330,000	06/22/12	330,000		330,000	
Regional	MTC	511 Traveler Information	CON	REG090042	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-2-RO-REG	STPCML	6084(176)	11/12	11/12		14,270,000	14,270,000	06/29/12		14,270,000	14,270,000	
Regional	MTC	511 Traveler Information	CON	REG090042	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-2-RO-REG	STPCML	6084(176)	11/12	11/12	800,000		800,000	08/03/12		800,000	800,000	
Regional	MTC	511 Traveler Information	CON	REG090042	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-2-RO-REG	STPCML	6084(176)	11/12	11/12	1,200,000		1,200,000	08/03/12		1,200,000	1,200,000	
Regional	MTC	511 Traveler Information	CON	REG090042	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-RO-REG	STPCML	6084(176)	11/12	11/12	2,150,000		2,150,000	08/03/12	2,150,000		2,150,000	
Regional	MTC	511 Traveler Information	CON	REG090042	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-RO-REG	STPCML	6084(176)	11/12	11/12	4,625,000		4,625,000	06/29/12	4,625,000		4,625,000	
Regional	MTC	511 Traveler Information	CON	REG090042	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-RO-REG	STPCML	6084(176)	11/12	11/12	4,850,000		4,850,000	06/29/12	4,850,000		4,850,000	
Regional	MTC	Clipper Fare Collection System	CON	REG090045	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-2-RO-REG	STPCML	6084(177)	11/12	11/12		9,100,000	9,100,000	06/22/12		9,100,000	9,100,000	
Regional	SF County TA	eFleet: Carsharing Electrified	CON	REG110012	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-CCI	CML	6272(033)	11/12	11/12	1,546,000		1,546,000	08/14/12		1,546,000	1,546,000	
Regional	SF County TA	eFleet: Carsharing Electrified	PE	REG110012	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-CCI	CML	6272(033)	11/12	11/12	154,000		154,000	12/28/11		154,000	154,000	
Regional	SFMTA	Electric Vehicle Taxis and Battery Switch	PE	REG110017	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-CCI	CML	6328(043)	11/12	11/12	650,000		650,000	08/03/12		650,000	650,000	
Regional	SFMTA	Electric Vehicle Taxis and Battery Switch	PE	REG110017	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-CCI	CML	6328(043)	11/12	11/12	170,000		170,000	08/03/12		170,000	170,000	
Regional	Son Co TA	Regional Dynamic Ridesharing Pilot	CON	REG110015	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-CCI	CML	6364(010)	11/12	11/12	961,500		961,500	04/04/12		961,500	961,500	
Regional	Son Co TA	Regional Dynamic Ridesharing Pilot	CON	REG110015	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-CCI	CML	6364(010)	11/12	11/12	300,000		300,000	04/04/12		300,000	300,000	
Regional Counties Totals											26,262,000	48,236,647	74,498,647		26,262,000	48,236,647	74,498,647	0

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

STP-CMAQ Obligation Status Report

Fiscal Years: FY 11/12

September 10, 2012

County	Sponsor	Project Name	Phase	TIP ID	Status	Fund Code	Fed Project Data		Appn FY	Prog FY	Fund Programming Information			Obligation Information			Balance Remaining	
							Prefix	ID			STP Amt	CMAQ Amt	Total Amt	Date	STP Amt	CMAQ Amt		Total Amt
San Francisco County																		
San Francisco	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	SF-090030	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	731,000		731,000	06/22/12	731,000		731,000	
San Francisco	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	SF-090030	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	709,000		709,000	06/22/12	709,000		709,000	
San Francisco	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	SF-090030	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	688,000		688,000	06/22/12	688,000		688,000	
San Francisco	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	SF-090030	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	667,000		667,000	06/22/12	667,000		667,000	
San Francisco	SF DPW	Citywide:San Francisco Street	CON	SF-050042	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	HPLUL	5934(154)	11/12	11/12	3,368,000		3,368,000	08/03/12	3,367,985		3,367,985	15
San Francisco	SF DPW	San Francisco - Marina Green Bicycle	CON	SF-110013	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-RBP-CO	CML	5934(163)	11/12	11/12		877,000	877,000	04/12/12		877,000	877,000	
San Francisco	SFMTA	SFGO-Corridor Management	CON	SF-070030	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-CCI	FTACML	6328(053)	11/12	11/12		5,000,000	5,000,000	02/08/12		5,000,000	5,000,000	
San Francisco	SFMTA	Second St Phase 1 - SFgo Signal Rehab	CON	SF-110008	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	FTASTP	6328(055)	11/12	11/12	517,000		517,000	06/14/12	517,015		517,015	-15
San Francisco	SFMTA	San Francisco Market & Haight St.	CON	SF-110016	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-CO	FTACML	6328(056)	11/12	11/12		821,200	821,200	06/14/12		821,200	821,200	
San Francisco	SFMTA	San Francisco Market & Haight St.	PE	SF-110016	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-CO	FTACML	6328(056)	11/12	11/12		127,000	127,000	06/14/12		127,000	127,000	
San Francisco	SFMTA	SFMTA: N-Judah Mobility Maximization	CON	SF-110042	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-2-TPI-REG			11/12	11/12		3,343,336	3,343,336	08/02/12		3,343,336	3,343,336	
San Francisco	SFMTA	SFMTA: N-Judah Mobility Maximization	PE	SF-110042	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-2-TPI-REG			11/12	11/12		407,238	407,238	08/02/12		407,238	407,238	
San Francisco	SFMTA	SFMTA: Mission Mobility Maximization	CON	SF-110043	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-2-TPI-REG			11/12	11/12		5,778,035	5,778,035	08/02/12		5,778,035	5,778,035	
San Francisco	SFMTA	SFMTA: Mission Mobility Maximization	PE	SF-110043	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-2-TPI-REG			11/12	11/12		1,238,360	1,238,360	08/02/12		1,238,360	1,238,360	
San Francisco County Totals											6,680,000	17,592,169	24,272,169		6,680,000	17,592,169	24,272,169	0

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

STP-CMAQ Obligation Status Report

Fiscal Years: FY 11/12

September 10, 2012

County	Sponsor	Project Name	Phase	TIP ID	Status	Fund Code	Fed Project Data		Appn FY	Prog FY	Fund Programming Information			Obligation Information			Balance Remaining	
							Prefix	ID			STP Amt	CMAQ Amt	Total Amt	Date	STP Amt	CMAQ Amt		Total Amt
San Mateo County																		
San Mateo	Burlingame	Burlingame Ave. and Broadway Districts	CON	SM-110008	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-CO	CML	5171(019)	11/12	11/12		301,000	301,000	04/04/12		301,000	301,000	
San Mateo	Daly City	Daly City - Citywide Accessibility	CON	SM-110009	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-CO	CML	5196(036)	11/12	11/12		420,000	420,000	03/16/12		420,000	420,000	
San Mateo	Half Moon Bay	Highway 1 Bicycle/Pedestrian Trail	CON	SM-110027	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-RBP-CO	CML	5357(007)	11/12	11/12		420,000	420,000	03/16/12		420,000	420,000	
San Mateo	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	SM-090024	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	678,000		678,000	06/22/12	678,000		678,000	
San Mateo	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	SM-090024	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	699,000		699,000	06/22/12	699,000		699,000	
San Mateo	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	SM-090024	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	658,000		658,000	06/22/12	658,000		658,000	
San Mateo	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	SM-090024	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	638,000		638,000	06/22/12	638,000		638,000	
San Mateo	Menlo Park	Menlo Park 2010/11 Resurfacing of	CON	SM-110014	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5273(021)	11/12	11/12	385,000		385,000	05/10/12	385,000		385,000	
San Mateo	Redwood City	Bair Island Bay Trail Improvements	CON	SM-110025	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-RBP-CO	CML	5029(023)	11/12	11/12		337,000	337,000	05/10/12		300,000	300,000	37,000
San Mateo	Redwood City	Skyway/Shoreway Bike Route	CON	SM-110026	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-RBP-CO	CML	5029(028)	11/12	11/12		218,000	218,000	03/16/12		159,000	159,000	59,000
San Mateo	Redwood City	Skyway/Shoreway Bike Route	PE	SM-110026	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-RBP-CO	CML	5029(028)	11/12	11/12		38,000	38,000	10/18/11		38,000	38,000	
San Mateo	SSF	South San Francisco: Regional Gap	CON	SM-110023	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-RBP-CO	CML	5177(027)	11/12	11/12		261,000	261,000	02/03/12		261,290	261,290	-290
San Mateo	SamTrans	SAMTRANS: Preventive Maintenance	CON	SM-030023	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-RSI	FTASTP	6014(014)	11/12	11/12	9,942,309		9,942,309	02/14/12	9,942,309		9,942,309	
San Mateo	SamTrans	SAMTRANS - Replace 10 2005 El Dorado	CON	SM-110052	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-RSI	FTASTP	6014(014)	11/12	11/12	1,231,388		1,231,388	02/14/12	1,231,388		1,231,388	
San Mateo	SamTrans	SAMTRANS: Advanced Communication	CON	SM-110053	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-RSI	FTASTP	6014(014)	11/12	11/12	2,115,216		2,115,216	02/14/12	2,115,216		2,115,216	
San Mateo	San Bruno	San Bruno Street Medians and Grand	CON	SM-110011	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-CO	CML	5226(018)	11/12	11/12		654,000	654,000	02/17/12		654,000	654,000	
San Mateo	San Bruno	San Bruno Transit Corridor Ped	CON	SM-110012	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-CO	CML	5226(019)	11/12	11/12		263,000	263,000	02/17/12		263,000	263,000	
San Mateo	San Carlos	San Carlos Pavement Rehab Program	CON	SM-110019	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5267(016)	11/12	11/12	319,000		319,000	03/16/12	319,000		319,000	
San Mateo	San Carlos	East Side Community Transit	CON	SM-110028	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-REG	CML	5267(015)	11/12	11/12		1,795,304	1,795,304	03/22/12		1,795,304	1,795,304	
San Mateo	San Mateo	Delaware Street Bike Lane and	CON	SM-110007	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-REG	CML	5102(038)	11/12	11/12		545,000	545,000	02/28/12		545,000	545,000	
San Mateo	San Mateo	El Camino Real Phase I Improvement	CON	SM-110010	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-CO	CMLHPL	5102(039)	11/12	11/12		203,000	203,000	02/28/12		203,000	203,000	
San Mateo	San Mateo	El Camino Real Phase I Improvement	CON	SM-110010	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-CO	CMLHPL	5102(039)	11/12	11/12		300,000	300,000	04/04/12		300,000	300,000	
San Mateo	San Mateo Co	Resurfacing of Pescadero Creek Road	CON	SM-110035	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-FAS	STPL	5935(061)	11/12	11/12	985,011		985,011	02/16/12	985,011		985,011	
San Mateo County Totals											17,650,924	5,755,304	23,406,228		17,650,924	5,659,594	23,310,518	95,710

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

STP-CMAQ Obligation Status Report

Fiscal Years: FY 11/12

September 10, 2012

County	Sponsor	Project Name	Phase	TIP ID	Status	Fund Code	Fed Project Data		Appn FY	Prog FY	Fund Programming Information			Obligation Information			Balance Remaining	
							Prefix	ID			STP Amt	CMAQ Amt	Total Amt	Date	STP Amt	CMAQ Amt		Total Amt
Santa Clara County																		
Santa Clara	Campbell	Campbell Citywide Arterials and	CON	SCL110021	HISTORIC	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5306(019)	11/12	11/12	500,000		500,000	03/16/12	500,000		500,000	
Santa Clara	Campbell	Campbell Winchester Blvd Streetscape	CON	SCL110039	HISTORIC	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-CO	CML	5306(022)	11/12	11/12		1,500,000	1,500,000	02/16/12		1,500,000	1,500,000	
Santa Clara	Cupertino	Cupertino Various Streets Rehabilitation	CON	SCL110022	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5318(027)	11/12	11/12	500,000		500,000	05/11/12	500,000		500,000	
Santa Clara	Los Gatos	Los Gatos University Avenue	CON	SCL110025	HISTORIC	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5067(019)	11/12	11/12	500,000		500,000	03/16/12	500,000		500,000	
Santa Clara	Milpitas	Milpitas Abel Street Pedestrian	CON	SCL110036	HISTORIC	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-CO	CML	5314(003)	11/12	11/12		788,000	788,000	04/04/12		788,000	788,000	
Santa Clara	Mountain View	Mountain View Church Street	CON	SCL110018	HISTORIC	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5124(025)	11/12	11/12	530,000		530,000	03/16/12	530,000		530,000	
Santa Clara	Palo Alto	Palo Alto 2011 Pavement Program	CON	SCL110019	HISTORIC	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5100(019)	11/12	11/12	549,000		549,000	04/04/12	549,000		549,000	
Santa Clara	San Jose	San Jose: Alameda - A Plan for The	CON	SCL110001	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-TLC-REG	STPL	5005(103)	11/12	11/12	3,132,000		3,132,000	04/04/12	3,132,000		3,132,000	
Santa Clara	San Jose	Innovative Bicycle Detection System	CON	SCL110016	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-CCI	CML	5005(106)	11/12	11/12		1,500,000	1,500,000	05/15/12		1,500,000	1,500,000	
Santa Clara	San Jose	San Fernando Street Enhanced Bikeway &	CON	SCL110033	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-TLC-REG	STPL	5005(105)	11/12	11/12	1,425,000		1,425,000	06/01/12	1,425,000		1,425,000	
Santa Clara	San Jose	San Jose 2012 Streets Resurfacing and	CON	SCL110035	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5005(109)	11/12	11/12	7,588,000		7,588,000	04/12/12	7,588,000		7,588,000	
Santa Clara	Santa Clara	San Tomas Aquino Creek Reach 4 New	CON	SCL110030	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-RBP-CO	CML	5019(028)	11/12	11/12		1,258,000	1,258,000	05/04/12		1,258,000	1,258,000	
Santa Clara	Santa Clara	San Tomas Aquino Creek Spur Trail Imps	CON	SCL110031	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-RBP-CO	CML	5019(030)	11/12	11/12		1,081,000	1,081,000	05/11/12		1,081,000	1,081,000	
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Santa Clara County: Santa Teresa/Hale	CON	SCL110012	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5937(171)	11/12	11/12	1,006,000		1,006,000	04/04/12	1,006,000		1,006,000	
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Santa Clara County: Santa Teresa/Hale	CON	SCL110012	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-FAS	STPL	5937(171)	11/12	11/12	2,041,000		2,041,000	04/04/12	2,041,000		2,041,000	
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Santa Clara County: Expressways	CON	SCL110013	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5937(172)	11/12	11/12	486,000		486,000	03/16/12	486,000		486,000	
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Almaden Expressway Bicycle Signal	CON	SCL110015	HISTORIC	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-CO	CML	5937(166)	11/12	11/12		420,000	420,000	02/17/12		420,000	420,000	
Santa Clara	Saratoga	Saratoga Village Ped Enhancement Phase	CON	SCL110017	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-CO	CML	5332(018)	11/12	11/12		250,900	250,900	03/16/12		250,900	250,900	
Santa Clara	Saratoga	Saratoga Village Ped Enhancement Phase	CON	SCL110017	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-CO	CML	5332(019)	11/12	11/12		776,100	776,100	05/10/12		776,100	776,100	
Santa Clara	Sunnyvale	Sunnyvale Downtown Streetscape	CON	SCL090042	HISTORIC	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-CO	CML	5213(037)	10/11	11/12		594,000	594,000	03/16/12		594,000	594,000	
Santa Clara	Sunnyvale	Hendy Ave Complete Street	CON	SCL110014	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5213(036)	11/12	11/12	1,117,000		1,117,000	08/03/12	1,117,000		1,117,000	
Santa Clara	Sunnyvale	Hendy Ave Complete Street	CON	SCL110014	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-RBP-CO	STPL	5213(036)	11/12	11/12	437,000		437,000	08/03/12	437,000		437,000	
Santa Clara	Sunnyvale	Hendy Ave Complete Street	CON	SCL110014	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-TLC-CO	STPL	5213(036)	11/12	11/12	523,000		523,000	08/03/12	523,000		523,000	
Santa Clara	Sunnyvale	Sunnyvale Ave/Old San Francisco Road	CON	SCL110024	HISTORIC	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5213(043)	11/12	11/12	638,000		638,000	03/16/12	638,000		638,000	
Santa Clara	VTA	US 101 / Capitol-Yerba Buena I/C	CON	SCL050034	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-TLC-CO	STPL	6264(052)	11/12	11/12	1,100,000		1,100,000	06/06/12	1,100,000		1,100,000	
Santa Clara	VTA	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	SCL090035	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	1,110,000		1,110,000	06/22/12	1,110,000		1,110,000	
Santa Clara	VTA	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	SCL090035	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	1,077,000		1,077,000	06/22/12	1,077,000		1,077,000	
Santa Clara	VTA	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	SCL090035	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	1,014,000		1,014,000	06/22/12	1,014,000		1,014,000	
Santa Clara	VTA	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	SCL090035	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	1,045,000		1,045,000	06/22/12	1,045,000		1,045,000	
Santa Clara	VTA	VTA: Stevens Creek Bus Rapid Transit	CON	SCL110010	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-2-TPI-REG			11/12	11/12		712,888	712,888	08/02/12		712,888	712,888	
Santa Clara	VTA	VTA:Light Rail Transit Signal Priority	CON	SCL110119	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-2-TPI-REG			11/12	11/12		1,587,176	1,587,176	08/02/12		1,587,177	1,587,177	
Santa Clara County Totals											26,318,000	10,468,064	36,786,064		26,318,000	10,468,065	36,786,065	-1

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

STP-CMAQ Obligation Status Report

Fiscal Years: FY 11/12

September 10, 2012

County	Sponsor	Project Name	Phase	TIP ID	Status	Fund Code	Fed Project Data		Appn FY	Prog FY	Fund Programming Information			Obligation Information			Balance Remaining	
							Prefix	ID			STP Amt	CMAQ Amt	Total Amt	Date	STP Amt	CMAQ Amt		Total Amt
Solano County																		
Solano	Fairfield	Fairfield: Various Streets Overlay (2011	CON	SOL110010	HISTORIC	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5132(039)	11/12	11/12	1,370,000		1,370,000	03/22/12	1,370,000		1,370,000	
Solano	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	SOL090006	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	638,000		638,000	06/22/12	638,000		638,000	
Solano	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	SOL090006	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	658,000		658,000	06/22/12	658,000		658,000	
Solano	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	SOL090006	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	678,000		678,000	06/22/12	678,000		678,000	
Solano	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	SOL090006	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	699,000		699,000	06/22/12	699,000		699,000	
Solano	STA	Solano Safe Routes to School Program	CON	SOL110019	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-CCI-SOL	STPCML	6249(026)	08/09	11/12		153,906	153,906	06/15/12		154,000	154,000	-94
Solano	Solano County	Vacaville-Dixon Bicycle Route (Phase 5)	CON	SOL090035	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-CCI-SOL	CML	5923(099)	11/12	11/12		467,000	467,000	04/12/12		467,000	467,000	
Solano	Solano County	Solano County: Overlay Various Streets	CON	SOL110017	HISTORIC	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5923(102)	11/12	11/12	1,689,000		1,689,000	03/22/12	1,689,000		1,689,000	
Solano	Suisun City	Grizzly Island Trail - Phase 1	CON	SOL110012	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-RBP-CO	CML	5032(020)	11/12	11/12		814,000	814,000	02/28/12		814,000	814,000	
Solano	Suisun City	Grizzly Island Trail - Phase 1	CON	SOL110012	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-SR2S-CO	CML	5032(020)	11/12	11/12		300,000	300,000	02/28/12		300,000	300,000	
Solano	Vacaville	Ulatis Creek Bike Path - Ulatis to Leisure	CON	SOL070026	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-CCI-SOL	CML	5094(046)	11/12	11/12		630,000	630,000	05/18/12		630,000	630,000	
Solano	Vacaville	Vacaville: Various Streets Pvt	CON	SOL110016	HISTORIC	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5094(056)	11/12	11/12	1,324,000		1,324,000	03/16/12	1,324,000		1,324,000	
Solano	Vallejo	Vallejo: Various Streets Overlay (2011	CON	SOL110014	HISTORIC	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5030(055)	11/12	11/12	1,595,000		1,595,000	02/17/12	1,595,000		1,595,000	
Solano County Totals											8,651,000	2,364,906	11,015,906		8,651,000	2,365,000	11,016,000	-94

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

STP-CMAQ Obligation Status Report

Fiscal Years: FY 11/12

September 10, 2012

County	Sponsor	Project Name	Phase	TIP ID	Status	Fund Code	Fed Project Data		Appn FY	Prog FY	Fund Programming Information			Obligation Information			Balance Remaining	
							Prefix	ID			STP Amt	CMAQ Amt	Total Amt	Date	STP Amt	CMAQ Amt		Total Amt
Sonoma County																		
Sonoma	Cotati	City of Cotati Train Depot	CON	SON110015	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-REG	CML	5383(009)	11/12	11/12		1,416,000	1,416,000	04/12/12		1,416,000	1,416,000	
Sonoma	Healdsburg	Healdsburg Foss Creek Bicycle/Ped	CON	SON050017	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-RBP-CO	CML	5027(013)	11/12	11/12	876,000		876,000	05/04/12	876,000		876,000	
Sonoma	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	SON090008	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	638,000		638,000	06/22/12	638,000		638,000	
Sonoma	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	SON090008	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	658,000		658,000	06/22/12	658,000		658,000	
Sonoma	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	SON090008	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	678,000		678,000	06/22/12	678,000		678,000	
Sonoma	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM -	PE	SON090008	PROPOSED	STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL	STPL	6084(175)	11/12	11/12	699,000		699,000	06/22/12	699,000		699,000	
Sonoma	Petaluma	Petaluma Boulevard South Road Diet	CON	SON110006	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-REG	CML	5022(048)	11/12	11/12		612,000	612,000	03/16/12		612,000	612,000	
Sonoma	Petaluma	Sonoma Mountain Parkway	CON	SON110014	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5022(049)	11/12	11/12	956,000		956,000	03/16/12	956,000		956,000	
Sonoma	Rohnert Park	Arlen Drive and East Cotati Avenue	CON	SON110010	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5379(019)	11/12	11/12	563,000		563,000	03/16/12	563,000		563,000	
Sonoma	Santa Rosa	SMART Bicycle and Pedestrian Path	CON	SON110017	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-RBP-CO	CML	5028(069)	11/12	11/12		948,000	948,000	05/02/12		948,119	948,119	
Sonoma	SantaRosa Bus	Automated Vehicle Location System	CON	SON090007	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-CCI			11/12	11/12		600,000	600,000	08/01/12		600,000	600,000	
Sonoma	Son Co Reg	SMART Trail-Hearn Avenue to Joe	CON	SON110013	PROPOSED	CMAQ-T4-1-RBP-CO	CML	5920(124)	11/12	11/12		516,000	516,000	05/11/12		516,000	516,000	
Sonoma	Sonoma County	2011/12 Asphalt Overlay Program	CON	SON110028	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-FAS	STPL	5920(134)	11/12	11/12	3,917,000		3,917,000	06/01/12	3,917,000		3,917,000	
Sonoma	Windsor	Hembree Lane Resurfacing	CON	SON110012	PROPOSED	STP-T4-1-LSR-CO	STPL	5472(016)	11/12	11/12	348,000		348,000	04/12/12	348,000		348,000	
Sonoma County Totals											9,333,000	4,092,000	13,425,000		9,333,000	4,092,119	13,425,119	-119
Report totals:											131,051,744	114,561,144	245,612,888		130,950,030	113,555,530	244,505,560	1,107,328

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 3A(ii)

STIP Allocation Report

Fiscal Years : FY 11/12

September 10, 2012

County	Sponsor	Project	Phase	TIP ID	Ver	Status	Fund Code	Federal Project No.			STIP Appn FY	FTIP Prog FY	Programmed Amount	FHWA Obligation		CTC Allocation		Remaining CTC Allocation Balance
								Prefix	Project No.	PPNO				Date	Amount	Date	Amount	
Alameda	Emeryville	Emeryville Intermodal Transfer Station: Phase 1	CON	ALA070015	5	PROPOSE	IIP-T2-02-ST			2020	2012	2012	\$ 4,200,000			02/23/2012	\$ 4,200,000	
Alameda IIP Totals												\$ 4,200,000	\$ 0	\$ 4,200,000	\$ 0			
Alameda	LAVTA	Satellite Bus Operating Facility Expan. Ph. 1 & 2	CON	ALA99SA09	15	PROPOSE	RIP-T2-02-ST-ALA			2009K	2011	2012	\$ 4,000,000			06/23/2011	\$ 4,000,000	
Alameda	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM - Alameda	ENV	ALA090030	10	PROPOSE	RIP-T3-08-ALA-ST			2179		2012	\$ 1,000,000			08/11/2011	\$ 1,000,000	
Alameda	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM - Alameda	ENV	ALA090030	10	PROPOSE	RIP-T4-10-ST-ALA			2179		2012	\$ 947,000			08/11/2011	\$ 947,000	
Alameda	Union City	Union City Intermodal Station Infrastructure	CON	ALA990015	27	PROPOSE	RIP-T3-08-ALA-ST			2110		2012	\$ 715,000			06/23/2011	\$ 715,000	
Alameda RIP Totals												\$ 6,662,000	\$ 0	\$ 6,662,000	\$ 0			
Alameda	ACTC	I-580 Landscaping in the City of San Leandro	CON	ALA090067	7	PROPOSE	RIP-TE-T4-10-FED-ALA			0139F	2011	2012	\$ 93,000			10/27/2011	\$ 93,000	
Alameda	ACTC	I-580 Landscaping in the City of San Leandro	CON	ALA090067	7	PROPOSE	RIP-TE-T4-10-FED-SCL			0139F	2011	2012	\$ 257,000			10/27/2011	\$ 257,000	
Alameda	Berkeley	Berkeley Bay Trail Extension - Segment One	CON	ALA090062	6	PROPOSE	RIP-TE-T4-10-FED-ALA			2100G	2011	2012	\$ 1,928,000			12/15/2011	\$ 1,928,000	
Alameda	Dublin	Alamo Canal Regional Trail, I-580 Undercrossing	CON	ALA090066	6	PROPOSE	RIP-TE-T4-10-FED-ALA	RPSTPLE 5432014		2100H	2011	2012	\$ 1,021,000	10/28/2011	\$ 1,021,000	08/11/2011	\$ 1,021,000	
Alameda	Union City	Union City Intermodal Station Infrastructure	CON	ALA990015	27	PROPOSE	RIP-TE-T4-10-FED-ALA	RPSTPLE 5354031		2110A	2011	2012	\$ 3,000,000	01/12/2012	\$ 3,000,000	06/23/2011	\$ 3,000,000	
Alameda RIP-TE Totals												\$ 6,299,000	\$ 4,021,000	\$ 6,299,000	\$ 0			
Contra Costa	Hercules	Hercules Intercity Rail Station	CON	CC-030002	12	PROPOSE	RIP-T3-06A-PTA-CC			2011F		2012	\$ 4,000,000			06/27/2012	\$ 4,000,000	
Contra Costa	Hercules	Hercules Intercity Rail Station	CON	CC-030002	12	PROPOSE	RIP-T3-06-ST-CC			2011F	2009	2012	\$ 4,000,000			06/27/2012	\$ 4,000,000	
Contra Costa	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM - CC County	ENV	CC-090035	9	PROPOSE	RIP-T4-10-ST-CC	PPM12 6072012		2011O		2012	\$ 1,103,000			08/11/2011	\$ 1,103,000	
Contra Costa RIP Totals												\$ 9,103,000	\$ 0	\$ 9,103,000	\$ 0			
Contra Costa	El Cerrito	El Cerrito Moeser Ln and Ashbury Ped and Bike Lane	CON	CC-090066	6	PROPOSE	RIP-TE-T4-10-FED-CC			2025F	2011	2012	\$ 977,000			04/26/2012	\$ 977,000	
Contra Costa	Hercules	Hercules Intercity Rail Station	CON	CC-030002	12	PROPOSE	RIP-TE-T3-06-DIS-CO-FED-CC			2011F	2009	2012	\$ 1,097,000			06/27/2012	\$ 1,097,000	
Contra Costa	Hercules	Hercules (Bio-Rad) Bay Trail	CON	CC-090065	4	PROPOSE	RIP-TE-T4-10-F/ST-CC			2025E		2012	\$ 862,000			06/27/2012	\$ 862,000	
Contra Costa RIP-TE Totals												\$ 2,936,000	\$ 0	\$ 2,936,000	\$ 0			
Marin	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM - Marin	ENV	MRN090020	9	PROPOSE	RIP-T3-08-MRN-ST	PPM12 6406011		2127C		2012	\$ 243,000			08/11/2011	\$ 243,000	
Marin RIP Totals												\$ 243,000	\$ 0	\$ 243,000	\$ 0			
Marin	Larkspur	Citywide Landscaping and Heatherwood Pathway	CON	MRN090051	6	PROPOSE	RIP-TE-T4-10-FED-MRN	RPSTPLE 5166023		2127P		2011	\$ 200,000	12/06/2011	\$ 200,000	06/23/2011	\$ 200,000	
Marin	Marin County	Sir Francis Drake Boulevard Westbound Bike Lane	PSE	MRN090050	6	PROPOSE	RIP-TE-T4-10-F/ST-MRN	RPSTPLE 5927086		2127Q		2012	\$ 57,000			06/23/2011	\$ 57,000	
Marin	Mill Valley	Sycamore Avenue Improvements	CON	MRN090052	4	PROPOSE	RIP-TE-T4-10-F/ST-MRN			2127R		2012	\$ 282,000			04/26/2012	\$ 282,000	
Marin RIP-TE Totals												\$ 539,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 539,000	\$ 0			
Napa	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM - Napa	ENV	NAP090002	9	PROPOSE	RIP-T4-10-ST-NAP			1003E		2012	\$ 24,000			06/23/2011	\$ 24,000	
Napa RIP Totals												\$ 24,000	\$ 0	\$ 24,000	\$ 0			
Regional	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM - MTC	ENV	REG090038	9	PROPOSE	RIP-T3-08-ALA-ST	PPM12 6084171		2100		2012	\$ 114,000			06/23/2011	\$ 114,000	
Regional	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM - MTC	ENV	REG090038	9	PROPOSE	RIP-T3-08-CC-ST	PPM12 6084171		2118		2012	\$ 74,000			06/23/2011	\$ 74,000	
Regional	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM - MTC	ENV	REG090038	9	PROPOSE	RIP-T3-08-MRN-ST	PPM12 6084171		2127		2012	\$ 21,000			06/23/2011	\$ 21,000	
Regional	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM - MTC	ENV	REG090038	9	PROPOSE	RIP-T3-08-NAP-ST	PPM12 6084171		2130		2012	\$ 13,000			06/23/2011	\$ 13,000	
Regional	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM - MTC	ENV	REG090038	9	PROPOSE	RIP-T3-08-SCL-ST	PPM12 6084171		2144		2012	\$ 133,000			06/23/2011	\$ 133,000	
Regional	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM - MTC	ENV	REG090038	9	PROPOSE	RIP-T3-08-SF-ST	PPM12 6084171		2131		2012	\$ 58,000			06/23/2011	\$ 58,000	
Regional	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM - MTC	ENV	REG090038	9	PROPOSE	RIP-T3-08-SM-ST	PPM12 6084171		2140		2012	\$ 60,000			06/23/2011	\$ 60,000	
Regional	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM - MTC	ENV	REG090038	9	PROPOSE	RIP-T3-08-SOL-ST	PPM12 6084171		2152		2012	\$ 35,000			06/23/2011	\$ 35,000	
Regional	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM - MTC	ENV	REG090038	9	PROPOSE	RIP-T3-08-SON-ST	PPM12 6084171		2156		2012	\$ 42,000			06/23/2011	\$ 42,000	

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 3A(ii)

STIP Allocation Report

Fiscal Years : FY 11/12

September 10, 2012

County	Sponsor	Project	Phase	TIP ID	Ver	Status	Fund Code	Federal Project No.			STIP Appn FY	FTIP Prog FY	Programmed Amount	FHWA Obligation		CTC Allocation		Remaining CTC Allocation Balance
								Prefix	Project No.	PPNO				Date	Amount	Date	Amount	
Regional RIP Totals												\$ 550,000	\$ 0	\$ 550,000	\$ 0			
Santa Clara	VTA	Garvee Debt. Srv. - SCL010019, SCL990030-31	CON	SCL030012	8	PROPOSE	RIP-T2-02-GARVEE-DS-NHS-SCL				2012	2012	\$ 16,100,000		07/01/2011	\$ 16,100,000		
Santa Clara	VTA	Capitol Expressway LRT Extension	CON	SCL050009	10	PROPOSE	RIP-T4-10-FED-SCL			2174B		2012	\$ 18,540,000		04/26/2012	\$ 18,540,000		
Santa Clara	VTA	Regional Planning Activities and PPM - Santa Clara	ENV	SCL090035	9	PROPOSE	RIP-T3-08-SCL-ST	PPM12	6264049	2255		2012	\$ 547,000		08/11/2011	\$ 547,000		
Santa Clara	VTA	Regional Planning Activities and PPM - Santa Clara	ENV	SCL090035	9	PROPOSE	RIP-T4-10-ST-SCL	PPM12	6264049	2255		2012	\$ 148,000		08/11/2011	\$ 148,000		
Santa Clara RIP Totals												\$ 35,335,000	\$ 0	\$ 35,335,000	\$ 0			
Santa Clara	Gilroy	Gilroy School Crossings, Sidewalks & Bicycle Lanes	CON	SCL110049	2	PROPOSE	RIP-TE-T4-10-F/ST-SCL	RPSTPL	5034024	2255H	2012	2012	\$ 697,000	07/06/2012	\$ 697,000	05/24/2012	\$ 697,000	
Santa Clara	Los Altos Hills	Safe Routes to Los Altos Hills Schools	CON	SCL110056	4	PROPOSE	RIP-TE-T4-10-F/ST-SCL	RPSTPL	5324006	2255G		2012	\$ 800,000	06/26/2012	\$ 800,000	05/24/2012	\$ 800,000	
Santa Clara	Milpitas	Escuela Parkway Pedestrian and Bicycle Enhancement	CON	SCL110055	3	PROPOSE	RIP-TE-T4-10-F/ST-SCL	RPSTPL	5314009	2255F		2012	\$ 501,000	03/27/2012	\$ 501,000	10/27/2011	\$ 501,000	
Santa Clara	San Jose	San Carlos Multimodal Streetscape Improvements	CON	SCL090045	5	PROPOSE	RIP-TE-T4-10-F/ST-SCL	RPSTPL	5005101	9035G		2012	\$ 59,549	01/25/2012	\$ 59,549	06/23/2011	\$ 59,549	
Santa Clara	San Jose	San Carlos Multimodal Streetscape Improvements	CON	SCL090045	5	PROPOSE	RIP-TE-T4-10-F/ST-SCL	RPSTPL	5005101	9035G		2012	\$ 1,440,451	09/08/2011	\$ 1,440,451	06/23/2011	\$ 1,440,451	
Santa Clara	Sunnyvale	Sunnyvale Downtown Streetscape	CON	SCL090042	6	HISTORIC	RIP-TE-T4-10-FED-SCL	RPSTPL	5213042	9035J		2011	\$ 1,500,000	10/31/2011	\$ 1,500,000	06/23/2011	\$ 1,500,000	
Santa Clara RIP-TE Totals												\$ 4,998,000	\$ 4,998,000	\$ 4,998,000	\$ 0			
San Francisco	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM - SF County	ENV	SF-090030	9	PROPOSE	RIP-T3-08-SF-ST	PPM12	6272035	2007		2012	\$ 515,000		08/11/2011	\$ 515,000		
San Francisco RIP Totals												\$ 515,000	\$ 0	\$ 515,000	\$ 0			
San Francisco	SF DPW	San Francisco - Arellio Walker Stairway Imps.	CON	SF-090036	5	PROPOSE	RIP-TE-T4-10-F/ST-SF			9098J		2012	\$ 918,000		03/29/2012	\$ 918,000		
San Francisco	SF DPW	San Francisco Point Lobos Streetscape	CON	SF-090038	5	PROPOSE	RIP-TE-T4-10-F/ST-SF	RPSTPL	5934159	9098H		2012	\$ 408,000	08/03/2012	\$ 355,123	04/26/2012	\$ 408,000	
San Francisco	SFMTA	Phelan Loop Pedestrian and Street Beautification	CON	SF-090037	6	PROPOSE	RIP-TE-T4-10-F/ST-SF			9098E	2012	2012	\$ 574,000				\$ 574,000	
San Francisco	SFMTA	San Francisco Bicycle Parking	CON	SF-090039	6	PROPOSE	RIP-TE-T4-10-FED-SF	RPSTPL	6328042	9098G		2011	\$ 235,000	12/22/2011	\$ 235,000	06/23/2011	\$ 235,000	
San Francisco	SFMTA	Church and Duboce Bike / Pedestrian Enhancements	CON	SF-090041	5	PROPOSE	RIP-TE-T4-10-F/ST-SF			9098D		2012	\$ 341,000		06/28/2012	\$ 341,000		
San Francisco	SFMTA	Church and Duboce Bike / Pedestrian Enhancements	PSE	SF-090041	5	PROPOSE	RIP-TE-T4-10-FED-SF	RPSTPL	6328036	9098D		2011	\$ 47,000	04/04/2012	\$ 47,000	09/22/2010	\$ 47,000	
San Francisco	SFMTA	Sunset Boulevard Ped Safety and Education	CON	SF-090042	6	PROPOSE	RIP-TE-T4-10-F/ST-SF			9098F		2012	\$ 464,000		06/28/2012	\$ 464,000		
San Francisco RIP-TE Totals												\$ 2,987,000	\$ 637,123	\$ 2,413,000	\$ 574,000			
San Mateo	BART	Daly City BART Station Improvements	CON	SM-070030	6	PROPOSE	RIP-T3-06A-PTA-SM			1003J	2008	2012	\$ 900,000		06/23/2011	\$ 900,000		
San Mateo	Caltrans	US 101 Auxiliary Lanes - 3rd to Millbrae	CON-CT	SM-010031	14	PROPOSE	RIP-T3-08-SM-ST			0700C		2012	\$ 33,000				\$ 33,000	
San Mateo	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM - San Mateo	ENV	SM-090024	9	PROPOSE	RIP-T3-08-SM-ST	PPM12	6419014	2140A		2012	\$ 690,000		08/11/2011	\$ 690,000		
San Mateo	SMCTA	US 101 / Willow Road Interchange Reconstruction	PSE	SM-010047	16	PROPOSE	RIP-T4-12-ST-SM			0690A		2012	\$ 1,471,000				\$ 1,471,000	
San Mateo RIP Totals												\$ 3,094,000	\$ 0	\$ 1,590,000	\$ 1,504,000			
Solano	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM - Solano	ENV	SOL090006	11	PROPOSE	RIP-T3-08-SOL-ST			2263		2012	\$ 229,000		08/11/2011	\$ 229,000		
Solano RIP Totals												\$ 229,000	\$ 0	\$ 229,000	\$ 0			
Sonoma	Caltrans	Watershed Awareness Signs	CON	SON110002	2	PROPOSE	IIP-TE-T4-10-F/ST			0449N		2012	\$ 77,000				\$ 77,000	
Sonoma	Caltrans	Watershed Awareness Signs	CON-CT	SON110002	2	PROPOSE	IIP-TE-T4-10-F/ST			0449N		2012	\$ 15,000				\$ 15,000	
Sonoma IIP-TE Totals												\$ 92,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 92,000			
Sonoma	MTC	Regional Planning Activities and PPM - Sonoma	ENV	SON090008	9	PROPOSE	RIP-T3-08-SON-ST			0770E		2012	\$ 607,000		08/11/2011	\$ 607,000		
Sonoma	Son Co TA	US 101 Marin/Sonoma Narrows (Sonoma)	CON	SON070004	14	PROPOSE	RIP-T3-06A-ST-SON			0360H		2012	\$ 7,395,000		05/23/2012	\$ 7,395,000		
Sonoma RIP Totals												\$ 8,002,000	\$ 0	\$ 8,002,000	\$ 0			
Sonoma	Rohnert Park	Copeland Creek Bike Path Reconstruction	CON	SON090032	5	PROPOSE	RIP-TE-T4-10-F/ST-SF			5156J		2012	\$ 135,000		02/23/2012	\$ 135,000		
Sonoma	Rohnert Park	Copeland Creek Bike Path Reconstruction	CON	SON090032	5	PROPOSE	RIP-TE-T4-10-F/ST-SOL			5156J		2012	\$ 176,000		02/23/2012	\$ 176,000		

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 3A(ii)

STIP Allocation Report

Fiscal Years : FY 11/12

September 10, 2012

County	Sponsor	Project	Phase	TIP ID	Ver	Status	Fund Code	Federal Project No.			STIP Appn FY	FTIP Prog FY	Programmed Amount	FHWA Obligation		CTC Allocation		Remaining CTC Allocation Balance
								Prefix	Project No.	PPNO				Date	Amount	Date	Amount	
Sonoma	Rohnert Park	Copeland Creek Bike Path Reconstruction	CON	SON090032	5	PROPOSE	RIP-TE-T4-10-F/ST-SON			5156J	2012	\$ 289,000			02/23/2012	\$ 289,000		
Sonoma RIP-TE Totals												\$ 600,000		\$ 0		\$ 600,000	\$ 0	
Report totals:												\$ 86,408,000		\$ 9,856,123		\$ 84,238,000	\$ 2,170,000	

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 3A(iii)

Local Highway Bridge Program (HBP) Report

Fiscal Years : FY 11/12

September 10, 2012

Alameda County

Projects Listed Under Group Listing VAR991007

County	Sponsor	Project Name	FMS ID	Fund Code	Prefix	Federal		Bridge No.	Phase	Appn FY	Prog FY	Program Amount	Oblig Date	Oblig Amount	Remaining Balance
						Proj ID	Fund No.								
Alameda	Fremont	PM00028, Fremont Bridge Maintenance Project	5196.00	HBP-T4-L		5322034	3397		CON		11/12	563,051			563,051
Alameda	Fremont	PM00028, Fremont Bridge Maintenance Project	5196.00	HBP-T4-L		5322034	3397		PE		11/12	66,398			66,398
Alameda	Fremont	Old Canyon Rd Bridge Scour Countermeasure	5456.00	HBP-T4-L	BHLO	5322050	3865	33C0017	PE	11/12	11/12	175,000	02/28/2012	175,000	0
Alameda	Oakland	Replacement of Embarcadero Bridge (33C0030)	5223.00	HBP-T4-L	STPLZ	5012037	2101	33C0030	ROW	10/11	11/12	88,530			88,530
Alameda	Oakland	Bridge Preventive Maintenance (PM00002)	5212.00	HBP-T4-L		5012083	3304	PM00002	CON	11/12	11/12	1,726,335			1,726,335
Alameda	Oakland	Bridge Preventive Maintenance (PM00002)	5212.00	HBP-T4-L		5012083	3304	PM00002	PE		11/12	457,700			457,700
Alameda	Oakland	Seismic Retro of Hegenberger Rd Bridge	5219.00	HBP-T4-L	STPLZ	5012027	2128	33C0202	CON	10/11	11/12	11,084,465	04/16/2012	8,605,950	2,478,515
Alameda	Oakland	Seismic Retro of Hegenberger Rd Bridge	5219.00	HBP-T4-L	STPLZ	5012027	2128	33C0202	ROW	10/11	11/12	88,530	04/16/2012	88,530	0
Alameda	Oakland	Bridge #33C0215, Leimert Blvd, over Sausal	5221.00	HBP-T4-L	STPLZ	5012025	2130	33C0215	ROW		11/12	221,325	03/22/2012	417,523	-196,198
Alameda	San Leandro	Bridge Preventive Maintenance-SL (PM00037)	5189.00	HBP-T4-L		5041045	3443	33C0134	CON	10/11	11/12	619,710			619,710
Alameda	San Leandro	Bridge Preventive Maintenance-SL (PM00037)	5189.00	HBP-T4-L		5041045	3443	PM00037	PE		11/12	66,398			66,398
Alameda County Totals												15,157,442	9,287,003	5,870,439	

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 3A(iii)

Local Highway Bridge Program (HBP) Report

Fiscal Years : FY 11/12

September 10, 2012

Contra Costa County															
County	Sponsor	Project Name	TIP ID	Fund Code	Prefix	Federal Proj ID	Fund No.	Bridge No.	Phase	Appn FY	Prog FY	Program Amount	Oblig Date	Oblig Amount	Remaining Balance
Contra Costa	Antioch	Antioch - Wilbur Ave Bridge Widening	CC-050002	HBP-T3-L	BHLS	5038006		28C0054	CON		11/12	12,212,835	03/26/2012	12,212,835	0
Contra Costa County Totals												12,212,835	12,212,835	0	

Projects Listed Under Group Listing VAR991007

County	Sponsor	Project Name	FMS ID	Fund Code	Prefix	Federal Proj ID	Fund No.	Bridge No.	Phase	Appn FY	Prog FY	Program Amount	Oblig Date	Oblig Amount	Remaining Balance
Contra Costa	CC County	BRIDGE 28C0024, Orwood Road Bridge	5254.00	HBP-T3-L		5928045	1175	28C0024	ROW		11/12	100,000			100,000
Contra Costa	CC County	Byron Highway Bridge Replacement Project	5327.00	HBP-T3-L		5928104	1677	28C0121	PE		11/12	154,928			154,928
Contra Costa	CC County	Byron Highway Bridge Replacement Project	5327.00	HBP-T3-L		5928104	1677	28C0121	PE		11/12	177,060			177,060
Contra Costa	CC County	Boulevard Way Bridge Scour Repair Project	5328.00	HBP-T4-L		5928082	3280	28C0196	PE		11/12	277,984			277,984
Contra Costa	Concord	PM00023, Citywide Bridge Repair Program	5305.00	HBP-T4-L		5135039	3392	PM00023	ROW		11/12	26,559			26,559
Contra Costa	Orinda	Bridge 28C0386 Manzanita Dr over San Pablo	5337.00	HBP-T4-L	BRLO	5444006	668	28C0386	CON		12/13	1,264,710	05/04/2012	1,264,710	0
Contra Costa	Pittsburg	Seismic Retrofit N Parkside Dr over Willow Pass	5265.00	HBP-T4-L		5127005	2061	28C0165	PE		11/12	19,477			19,477
Contra Costa	Pleasant Hill	Golf Club Road Bridge Replacement (28C0363)	5457.00	HBP-T4-L	BRLS	5375009	247	28C0363	CON	11/12	11/12	3,098,550			3,098,550
Contra Costa	Pleasant Hill	Golf Club Road Bridge Replacement (28C0363)	5457.00	HBP-T4-L		5375009	247	28C0363	PE		11/12	235,667			235,667
Contra Costa	Pleasant Hill	Golf Club Road Bridge Replacement (28C0363)	5457.00	HBP-T4-L		5375009	247	28C0363	ROW		11/12	228,407			228,407
Contra Costa County Totals												5,583,342	1,264,710	4,318,632	

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 3A(iii)

Local Highway Bridge Program (HBP) Report

Fiscal Years : FY 11/12

September 10, 2012

Marin County															
County	Sponsor	Project Name	TIP ID	Fund Code	Prefix	Federal Proj ID	Fund No.	Bridge No.	Phase	Appn FY	Prog FY	Program Amount	Oblig Date	Oblig Amount	Remaining Balance
Marin	Ross	Lagunitas Rd Bridge Rehab - No. 27C0071	MRN110025	HBP-T4-L	BRLS	5176003	3150	27C0071	PE	10/11	10/11	27,760	07/06/2012	77,906	-50,146
Marin County Totals												27,760	77,906	-50,146	

Projects Listed Under Group Listing VAR991007

County	Sponsor	Project Name	FMS ID	Fund Code	Prefix	Federal Proj ID	Fund No.	Bridge No.	Phase	Appn FY	Prog FY	Program Amount	Oblig Date	Oblig Amount	Remaining Balance
Marin	Fairfax	BRIDGE NO. 27C0142, AZALEA AVE, OVER	5266.00	HBP-T4-L		5277003	680	27C0142	PE	11/12	11/12	12,394			12,394
Marin	Fairfax	BRIDGE NO. 27C0144, CREEK ROAD OVER SAN	5267.00	HBP-T4-L		5277005	2052	27C0144	PE	11/12	11/12	221,325			221,325
Marin	Larkspur	BRIDGE NO. 27C0150, ALEXANDER AVE	5269.00	HBP-T4-L		5166004	1669	27C0150	CON	14/15	11/12	2,719,907			2,719,907
Marin	Ross	PM00022, Bridge Preventive Maintenance	5264.00	HBP-T4-L		5176007	3391	PM00022	CON	14/15	11/12	86,317			86,317
Marin County Totals												3,039,943	0	3,039,943	

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 3A(iii)

Local Highway Bridge Program (HBP) Report

Fiscal Years : FY 11/12

September 10, 2012

Napa County

Projects Listed Under Group Listing VAR991007

County	Sponsor	Project Name	FMS ID	Fund Code	Prefix	Federal Proj ID	Fund No.	Bridge No.	Phase	Appn FY	Prog FY	Program Amount	Oblig Date	Oblig Amount	Remaining Balance
Napa	Napa	Trancas Street Bridge Scour Repair	5461.00	HBP-T4-L	BHLS	5042042			ROW		10/11	13,000	08/23/2012	11,509	1,491
Napa County Totals												13,000		11,509	1,491

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 3A(iii)

Local Highway Bridge Program (HBP) Report

Fiscal Years : FY 11/12

September 10, 2012

Regional County															
County	Sponsor	Project Name	TIP ID	Fund Code	Prefix	Federal Proj ID	Fund No.	Bridge No.	Phase	Appn FY	Prog FY	Program Amount	Oblig Date	Oblig Amount	Remaining Balance
Regional	Caltrans	GL: Bridge Rehab/Recon. - Highway Bridge	VAR991007	HBP-T3-L					CON		11/12	7,547,028		0	7,547,028
Regional	Caltrans	GL: Bridge Rehab/Recon. - Highway Bridge	VAR991007	HBP-T3-L					CON		11/12	33,229,321		0	33,229,321
Regional County Totals												40,776,349	0	40,776,349	

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 3A(iii)

Local Highway Bridge Program (HBP) Report

Fiscal Years : FY 11/12

September 10, 2012

San Francisco County															
County	Sponsor	Project Name	TIP ID	Fund Code	Prefix	Federal Proj ID	Fund No.	Bridge No.	Phase	Appn FY	Prog FY	Program Amount	Oblig Date	Oblig Amount	Remaining Balance
San Francisco	SF County TA	Yerba Buena Island (YBI) Ramp Improvements	SF-070027	HBP-T3-L	STPLZ	6272032			PE		11/12	63,835	02/01/2012	63,835	0
San Francisco	SF County TA	Yerba Buena Island (YBI) Ramp Improvements	SF-070027	HBP-T3-L	STPLZ	6272027			PE		11/12	961,387	02/01/2012	961,387	0
San Francisco	SF County TA	Yerba Buena Island (YBI) Ramp Improvements	SF-070027	HBP-T3-L	STPLZ	6272026	3547	01CA0002	PE		11/12	2,406,369	02/01/2012	2,406,369	0
San Francisco	SF County TA	Yerba Buena Island (YBI) Ramp Improvements	SF-070027	HBP-T3-L	STPLZ	6272028			PE		11/12	197,307	02/01/2012	197,307	0
San Francisco	SF County TA	Yerba Buena Island (YBI) Ramp Improvements	SF-070027	HBP-T3-L	STPLZ	6272031			PE		11/12	36,753	02/01/2012	36,753	0
San Francisco	SF County TA	Yerba Buena Island (YBI) Ramp Improvements	SF-070027	HBP-T3-L	STPLZ	6272029			PE		11/12	201,176	02/01/2012	201,176	0
San Francisco	SF County TA	Yerba Buena Island (YBI) Ramp Improvements	SF-070027	HBP-T3-L	STPLZ	6272030			PE		11/12	21,278	02/01/2012	21,278	0
San Francisco	SF County TA	Yerba Buena Island (YBI) Ramp Improvements	SF-070027	HBP-T3-L	BRLS	6272023			ROW		11/12	2,655,900	04/05/2012	2,102,587	553,313
San Francisco	SF County TA	Yerba Buena Island (YBI) Ramp Improvements	SF-070027	HBP-T4-L	STPLZ	6272024	3546	01CA0001	PE		11/12	40,622	02/01/2012	40,622	0
San Francisco County Totals												6,584,627	6,031,314	553,313	

Projects Listed Under Group Listing VAR991007

County	Sponsor	Project Name	FMS ID	Fund Code	Prefix	Federal Proj ID	Fund No.	Bridge No.	Phase	Appn FY	Prog FY	Program Amount	Oblig Date	Oblig Amount	Remaining Balance
San Francisco	Caltrain	Bridge No. 34C0072, 22nd St Over Caltrain RR	5179.00	HBP-T4-L		6170023	3180	34C0072	ROW	11/12	11/12	520,000			520,000
San Francisco	Caltrain	Bridge No. 34C0073, 23rd St Over Caltrain RR	5180.00	HBP-T4-L		6170021	3179	34C0073	ROW	11/12	11/12	420,000			420,000
San Francisco County Totals												940,000	0	940,000	

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 3A(iii)

Local Highway Bridge Program (HBP) Report

Fiscal Years : FY 11/12

September 10, 2012

San Mateo County

Projects Listed Under Group Listing VAR991007

County	Sponsor	Project Name	FMS ID	Fund Code	Prefix	Federal		Bridge No.	Phase	Appn FY	Prog FY	Program			Remaining Balance
						Proj ID	Fund No.					Amount	Oblig Date	Oblig Amount	
San Mateo	Half Moon Bay	BRIDGE NO. 35C0025, MAIN ST OVER	5224.00	HBP-T4-L		5357006	3561	35C0025	ROW	11/12	11/12	88,530			88,530
San Mateo	San Mateo	Bermuda Drive Bridge Rehabilitation (35C0077)	5190.00	HBP-T4-L	BRLS	5102033	3428	35C0077	PE		09/10	72,595	02/17/2012	72,595	0
San Mateo	San Mateo	Bermuda Drive Bridge Rehabilitation (35C0077)	5190.00	HBP-T4-L	BRLS	5102033	3428	33C0077	PE		10/11	60,200	02/17/2012	60,200	0
San Mateo	San Mateo	Bermuda Drive Bridge Rehabilitation (35C0077)	5190.00	HBP-T4-L		5102033	3428	35C0077	PE		11/12	199,193			199,193
San Mateo	San Mateo Co	PM00041, Bridge Preventive Maintenance	5287.00	HBP-T4-L	BPMP	5935062	3466	PM00041	PE	11/12	11/12	88,530	03/16/2012	88,530	0
San Mateo	SSF	Bridge No. PM00049 Bridge Preventive	5200.00	HBP-T4-L		5177026	3609	PM00049	CON	11/12	11/12	169,004			169,004
San Mateo	SSF	Bridge No. PM00049 Bridge Preventive	5200.00	HBP-T4-L	BPMP	5177026	3609		PE		11/12	4,648	06/12/2012	26,790	-22,142
San Mateo	Woodside	Portola Rd Bridge Replacement (35C0055)	5469.00	HBP-T4-L	BRLS	5333012	3790	35C0055	PE		11/12	167,109	03/16/2012	167,109	0
San Mateo	Woodside	Mountain Home Rd Bridge Rehab (35C0122)	5470.00	HBP-T4-L	BHLS	5333013	3793	35C0122	PE		11/12	95,106	03/16/2012	95,106	0
San Mateo	Woodside	Kings Mountain Rd Bridge Rehab (35C0123)	5471.00	HBP-T4-L	BHLS	5333014	3791	35C0123	PE		11/12	119,595	03/16/2012	119,595	0
San Mateo County Totals												1,064,510	629,925	434,585	

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 3A(iii)

Local Highway Bridge Program (HBP) Report

Fiscal Years : FY 11/12

September 10, 2012

Santa Clara County															
County	Sponsor	Project Name	TIP ID	Fund Code	Prefix	Federal Proj ID	Fund No.	Bridge No.	Phase	Appn FY	Prog FY	Program Amount	Oblig Date	Oblig Amount	Remaining Balance
Santa Clara	Caltrans	Mathilda Avenue Bridge Replacement - No.	SCL050006	HBP-T3-S	BRLS	5213018		37C0058	PE		08/09	471,865	05/11/2012	447,077	24,788
Santa Clara County Totals												471,865	447,077	24,788	

Projects Listed Under Group Listing VAR991007

County	Sponsor	Project Name	FMS ID	Fund Code	Prefix	Federal Proj ID	Fund No.	Bridge No.	Phase	Appn FY	Prog FY	Program Amount	Oblig Date	Oblig Amount	Remaining Balance
Santa Clara	Los Altos	BRIDGE NO. 37C0115, FREMONT AVENUE,	5258.00	HBP-T4-L		5309004	1596	37C0115	PE	10/11	11/12	124,800			124,800
Santa Clara	Los Altos	BRIDGE NO. 37C0115, FREMONT AVENUE,	5258.00	HBP-T4-L		5309004	1596	37C0115	ROW	10/11	11/12	44,265			44,265
Santa Clara	Los Altos	BRIDGE NO. 37C0358, PORTLAND AVE, OVER	5324.00	HBP-T4-L		5309005	1603	37C0538	PE		11/12	36,900			36,900
Santa Clara	Los Altos	BRIDGE NO. 37C0358, PORTLAND AVE, OVER	5324.00	HBP-T4-L	BHLS	5309005	1603	37C0358	PE	10/11	09/10	235,200	03/16/2012	100,772	134,428
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Brdg# 37C0117, Loyola Dr Bridge Widening	4731.00	HBP-T4-L	BHLO	5937174	3724		PE	10/11	11/12	68,820	03/16/2012	68,820	0
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Bridge #37C0103 Bloomfield Av over Carnadero	5201.00	HBP-T4-L	BHLS	5937139	3202	37C0103	CON	11/12	11/12	174,183	03/22/2012	174,183	0
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Bridge #37C0103 Bloomfield Av over Carnadero	5201.00	HBP-T4-L	BHLS	5937139			CON-CT	10/11	10/11	91,298	07/06/2012	91,298	0
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Bridge #37C0170 Masten Ave over Llagas Creek	5204.00	HBP-T4-L	BHLO	5937142	3205	37C0170	CON	12/13	13/14	227,000	04/12/2012	227,000	0
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Bridge #37C0094 Uvas Rd over Uvas Creek	5207.00	HBP-T4-L	BRLS	5937123	1710	37C0094	PE	11/12	11/12	26,559	04/12/2012	30,985	-4,426
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Bridge #37C0094 Uvas Rd over Uvas Creek	5207.00	HBP-T4-L	BRLS	5937123	1710	37C0094	ROW	10/11	11/12	26,559	04/12/2012	26,559	0
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Bridge #37C0095 Uvas Rd over Little Uvas	5209.00	HBP-T4-L	BRLO	5937124	1711	37C0095	PE	10/11	10/11	75,251	04/12/2012	75,251	0
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Bridge #37C0095 Uvas Rd over Little Uvas	5209.00	HBP-T4-L	BRLO	5937124	1711	37C0095	ROW	10/11	10/11	26,559	04/12/2012	13,279	13,280
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Bridge #37C0096 Uvas Rd over Llagas Creek	5210.00	HBP-T4-L	BRLO	5937106	1709	37C0096	PE	11/12	11/12	30,986	04/12/2012	30,986	0
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Bridge #37C0096 Uvas Rd over Llagas Creek	5210.00	HBP-T4-L	BRLO	5937106	1709	37C0096	ROW	11/12	11/12	53,118	04/12/2012	17,705	35,413
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Bridge #37C0518 Bowden Ct over Llagas Creek	5211.00	HBP-T4-L	BHLO	5937143	3207	37C0518	CON	12/13	12/13	224,000	04/12/2012	224,000	0
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Bridge #37C0159 Alamitos Rd over Alamitos	5214.00	HBP-T4-L		5937058	1221	37C0159	PE	10/11	11/12	28,000			28,000
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Bridge #37C0159 Alamitos Rd over Alamitos	5214.00	HBP-T4-L	STPLZ	5937058	1221	37C0159	ROW	11/12	11/12	60,000			60,000
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Bridge #37C0534 Frazier Lake Rd over Furlong	5215.00	HBP-T4-L	BHLO	5937140	3209	37C0534	CON	11/12	12/13	322,200	03/22/2012	322,200	0
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Bridge #37C0550 Llagas Ave over Llagas Creek	5228.00	HBP-T4-L	BHLO	5937145	3212	37C0550	CON	11/12	12/13	284,000	04/12/2012	284,000	0
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Bridge #37C0574 Stevens Cyn Rd over Stevens	5231.00	HBP-T4-L	BRLO	5937107	1378	37C0574	ROW	11/12	11/12	30,000			30,000
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Bridge #37C0575 Stevens Cyn Rd over Stevens	5232.00	HBP-T4-L	BRLO	5937109	1377	37C0575	ROW	10/11	11/12	30,000			30,000
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Bridge #37C0581 Walnut Ave over Pacheco	5233.00	HBP-T4-L	BHLO	5937141	3214	37C0581	CON	13/14	12/13	247,500	03/22/2012	247,500	0
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Bridge Inspection Program in Santa Clara	5234.00	HBP-T4-L			3155		PE	11/12	11/12	531,180			531,180
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Bridge #37C0053 San Tomas Expwy over Los	5290.00	HBP-T4-L	BPMP	5937156	3478	37C0053	PE	09/10	09/10	61,971	03/16/2012	66,398	-4,427
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Bridge #37C0368 W Middle Ave/W Little Llagas	5296.00	HBP-T4-L	BPMP	5937152	3473	37C0368	CON	14/15	11/12	167,233	01/18/2012	167,233	0
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Bridge #37C0172 New Ave over Red Fox Creek	5298.00	HBP-T4-L	BRLO	5937182	189	37C0172	PE	11/12	11/12	490,000	03/16/2012	490,000	0
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Bridge #37C0172 New Ave over Red Fox Creek	5298.00	HBP-T4-L	BRLO	5937182	189	37C0172	ROW	12/13	12/13	10,000	05/11/2012	10,000	0
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Bridge #37C0377 Watsonville Rd/W Little	5300.00	HBP-T4-L	BPMP	5937153	3474	37C0377	CON	14/15	11/12	239,651	01/18/2012	239,651	0
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Bridge #37C0513 Arastradero Rd over Los	5302.00	HBP-T4-L			185	37C0513	PE	11/12	11/12	300,000			300,000
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Bridge #37C0573 Stevens Canyon Rd over	5307.00	HBP-T4-L			1334	37C0573	PE	11/12	11/12	300,000			300,000
Santa Clara	Saratoga	Bridge No. 37C0114: Quito Road Bridge	5277.00	HBP-T4-L		5332004	932	37C0113	ROW	11/12	11/12	88,530			88,530
Santa Clara	Saratoga	Bridge No. 37C0114: Quito Road Bridge	5277.00	HBP-T4-L		5332004	932	37C0114	ROW	11/12	11/12	88,530			88,530
Santa Clara	Saratoga	Bridge No. 37C0294, 4th Street Bridge	5281.00	HBP-T4-L			1793	37C0294	ROW	11/12	11/12	8,853			8,853
Santa Clara	Sunnyvale	Bridge No. 37C0765 Fair Oaks Ave Over	5276.00	HBP-T4-L	BHLS	5213039	3262	37C0765	PE	11/12	11/12	1,646,658	03/16/2012	1,646,658	0

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 3A(iii)

Local Highway Bridge Program (HBP) Report

Fiscal Years : FY 11/12

September 10, 2012

County	Sponsor	Project Name	FMS ID	Fund Code	Prefix	Federal		Bridge No.	Phase	Appn FY	Prog FY	Program Amount	Oblig Date	Oblig Amount	Remaining Balance
						Proj ID	Fund No.								
Santa Clara	Sunnyvale	Bridge No. 37C0765 Fair Oaks Ave Over	5276.00	HBP-T4-L	BHLS	5213039	3262	37C0765	ROW	10/11	11/12	1,770,600			1,770,600
Santa Clara	Sunnyvale	Bridge No. 37C0254 Mtn. View Alviso Rd Over	5275.00	HBP-T4-L	BRLS	5213040	3215	37C0254	PE		11/12	702,043	03/16/2012	702,043	0
Santa Clara	Sunnyvale	Bridge No. 37C0254 Mtn. View Alviso Rd Over	5275.00	HBP-T4-L		5213040	3215	37C0254	ROW		11/12	885,300			885,300
Santa Clara County Totals												9,757,747		5,256,521	4,501,226

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 3A(iii)

Local Highway Bridge Program (HBP) Report

Fiscal Years : FY 11/12

September 10, 2012

Solano County															
County	Sponsor	Project Name	TIP ID	Fund Code	Prefix	Federal Proj ID	Fund No.	Bridge No.	Phase	Appn FY	Prog FY	Program Amount	Oblig Date	Oblig Amount	Remaining Balance
Solano	Caltrans	Suisun Valley Rd Bridge Replacement	SOL050006	HBP-T3-L					CON	12/13	11/12	3,036,579		0	3,036,579
Solano	Caltrans	Suisun Valley Rd Bridge Replacement	SOL050006	HBP-T4-L					PE		11/12	200,000		0	200,000
Solano County Totals												3,236,579	0	3,236,579	

Projects Listed Under Group Listing VAR991007

County	Sponsor	Project Name	FMS ID	Fund Code	Prefix	Federal Proj ID	Fund No.	Bridge No.	Phase	Appn FY	Prog FY	Program Amount	Oblig Date	Oblig Amount	Remaining Balance
Solano	Solano County	Gordon Valley Road Bridge (23C0182)	5321.00	HBP-T4-L	BRLS	5923080	3306	23C0182	CON		12/13	1,035,801	05/18/2012	1,035,800	1
Solano	Solano County	Gordon Valley Road Bridge (23C0182)	5321.00	HBP-T4-L		5923080	3306	23C0182	PE		11/12	88,530			88,530
Solano	Solano County	Gordon Valley Road Bridge (23C0182)	5321.00	HBP-T4-L		5923080	3306	23C0182	ROW		11/12	26,559			26,559
Solano	Solano County	Winters Road Bridge (23C0243)	5322.00	HBP-T4-L	BRLO	5923067	1558	23C0243	PE		11/12	784,000	05/18/2012	624,000	160,000
Solano	Solano County	Winters Road Bridge (23C0243)	5322.00	HBP-T4-L		5923067	1558	23C0243	ROW		11/12	100,000			100,000
Solano	Vallejo	Bridge - Mare Island Causeway West Approach	5270.00	HBP-T4-L	BRLS	5030054	3469	23C0258	CON		12/13	2,140,478	05/10/2012	447,077	1,693,401
Solano	Vallejo	Bridge - Mare Island Causeway West Approach	5270.00	HBP-T4-L		5030054	3469	23C0258	ROW		11/12	39,839			39,839
Solano County Totals												4,215,207	2,106,877	2,108,330	

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 3A(iii)

Local Highway Bridge Program (HBP) Report

Fiscal Years : FY 11/12

September 10, 2012

Sonoma County															
County	Sponsor	Project Name	TIP ID	Fund Code	Prefix	Federal Proj ID	Fund No.	Bridge No.	Phase	Appn FY	Prog FY	Program Amount	Oblig Date	Oblig Amount	Remaining Balance
Sonoma	Sonoma County	Replace Chalk Hill Road Bridge 20C0242	SON090025	HBP-T4-L	BRLO	5920118			PE		11/12	531,180	04/11/2012	531,180	0
Sonoma	Sonoma County	BRIDGE NO. 20C0248, LAMBERT BRIDGE RD	SON090026	HBP-T4-L	STPLZ	5920050		20C0248	PE		11/12	442,650		0	442,650
Sonoma	Sonoma County	Replace West Dry Creek Road Bridge 20C0407	SON090027	HBP-T3-L					PE		11/12	354,120		0	354,120
Sonoma County Totals												1,327,950	531,180	796,770	

Projects Listed Under Group Listing VAR991007

County	Sponsor	Project Name	FMS ID	Fund Code	Prefix	Federal Proj ID	Fund No.	Bridge No.	Phase	Appn FY	Prog FY	Program Amount	Oblig Date	Oblig Amount	Remaining Balance
Sonoma	Sonoma City	Chase St Bridge over Nathanson Creek	5455.00	HBP-T4-L		5114016	3643	20C0497	ROW	11/12	11/12	39,839			39,839
Sonoma	Sonoma County	BRIDGE NO. 20C0155,WOHLER RD. OVER	5242.00	HBP-T4-L		5920034	2011	20C0155	PE		11/12	354,120			354,120
Sonoma	Sonoma County	BRIDGE NO. 20C0018, BOHEMIAN HWY OVER	5251.00	HBP-T4-L	STPLZ	5920135	1999	20C0018	PE		11/12	177,060	04/11/2012	177,060	0
Sonoma	Sonoma County	BRIDGE NO. 20C0112,PORTER CREEK RD,	5239.00	HBP-T4-L		5920045	236	20C0112	ROW		11/12	177,060			177,060
Sonoma County Totals												748,079	177,060	571,019	
Report Grand Totals												64,637,965	19,300,312	45,337,653	
Grand Totals For Projects Listed Under Group Listing VAR991007												40,519,270	18,733,605	21,785,665	

Metropolitan Transportation Commission
Local Safety Program (HSIP, HRRR, SRTS) Obligation Status Report

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 3A(iv)

Fiscal Years: FY 11/12
September 10, 2012

County	Sponsor	Project Name	Phase	TIP ID	Fund Code	FMS ID	Fed Project Data		Appn FY	Prog FY	Fund Programming Information			Obligation Information			Balance Remaining	
							Prefix	ID			HSIP Amt	HRRR Amt	SRTS Amt	Date	HSIP Amt	HRRR Amt		SRTS Amt
Alameda County																		
Alameda	Alameda	Park St. Corridor Safety & Operation Improvement	PE	REG070009	HSIP-T4-4	5312.00	HSIPL	5014038	11/12	11/12	166,250			01/18/12	126,350		39,900	
Alameda	Alameda	Shoreline and Westline Drive Bike Lanes	ENV	REG070009	HSIP-T4-4	5313.00	HSIPL	5014037	11/12	11/12	18,781			01/18/12	18,781			
Alameda	Alameda	Shoreline and Westline Drive Bike Lanes	PSE	REG070009	HSIP-T4-4	5313.00	HSIPL	5014037	11/12	11/12	56,344			01/18/12	48,989		7,355	
Alameda	Alameda	Castro Valley & Wisteria St HSIP	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T3-2	5155.00	HSIPL	5933096	11/12	11/12	576,900						576,900	
Alameda	Alameda	Foothill & Mines Rd Roadside Obstacle Removal HSIP	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T3-2	5156.00	HSIPL	5933097	10/11	11/12	426,600						426,600	
Alameda	Alameda	Maud Ave Sidewalk Installation SRTS	CON	REG090001	SRTS-T3-1	5157.00		5933090	10/11	11/12			508,000				508,000	
Alameda	Albany	SRTS Project Coordinator	CON	REG090001	SRTS-T4-3	5546.00	SRTSLNI	5178013	10/11	10/11			185,000	08/16/12			185,000	
Alameda	Fremont	Paseo Padre Parkway Electrolier Replacement	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-3	5163.00	HSIPL	5322041	12/13	11/12	120,150			02/16/12	120,150			
Alameda	Fremont	Walnut Argonaut Lane Reduction & Roundabout	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-3	5165.00		5322042	12/13	11/12	458,190						458,190	
Alameda	Fremont	Mowry/ Overacker Intersection Improvements	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T3-1	5167.00	HSIPL	5322030	10/11	11/12	163,890						163,890	
Alameda	Fremont	Fremont/Alder Signal & Ped Crossing Improvements	PE	REG070009	HSIP-T4-4	5316.00	HSIPL	5322048	11/12	11/12	42,700			11/08/11	42,700			
Alameda	Fremont	Fremont Blvd/Eggers Drive Intersection Improvement	PE	REG070009	HSIP-T4-4	5318.00	HSIP	5322049	11/12	11/12	316,200			11/08/11	40,500		275,700	
Alameda	Oakland	Oakland Safe Routes To School (SRTS), Cycle 2	CON	REG090001	SRTS-T3-2	5089.00	SRTSL	5012102	10/11	11/12			802,148	02/03/12			753,172	
Alameda	Oakland	W. Grand Ave - Left Turn Phasing HSIP	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T3-2	5100.00	HSIPL	5012096	11/12	11/12	222,930			06/30/11	222,930			
Alameda	Oakland	Countdown Ped Heads HSIPL-5012 (097)	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T3-2	5105.00	HSIPL	5012097	11/12	11/12	80,640			07/08/11	80,640			
Alameda	Oakland	HSIP4-04-012 Hegenberger Rd./Edes Ave	PE	REG070009	HSIP-T4-4	5297.00	HSIPL	5012113		11/12	162,088			01/25/12	162,088			
Alameda	Oakland	HSIP4-04-011 Bancroft Ave/94th Ave	PE	REG070009	HSIP-T4-4	5299.00	HSIPL	5012114		11/12	86,625			01/23/12	86,625			
Alameda	Oakland	HSIP4-04-005 San Pablo Ave/ West St	PE	REG070009	HSIP-T4-4	5301.00	HSIPL	5012115		11/12	71,280			01/23/12	71,280			
Alameda	San Leandro	Washington/Monterey Signal Upgrade	PSE	REG070009	HSIP-T4-4	5310.00	HSIPL	5041041	10/11	11/12	66,321			12/15/11	66,330		-9	
Alameda County Totals											3,035,889	0	1,495,148		1,087,363	0	938,172	2,505,502

Metropolitan Transportation Commission
Local Safety Program (HSIP, HRRR, SRTS) Obligation Status Report

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 3A(iv)

Fiscal Years: FY 11/12
September 10, 2012

County	Sponsor	Project Name	Phase	TIP ID	Fund Code	FMS ID	Fed Project Data		Appn FY	Prog FY	Fund Programming Information			Obligation Information			Balance Remaining	
							Prefix	ID			HSIP Amt	HRRR Amt	SRTS Amt	Date	HSIP Amt	HRRR Amt		SRTS Amt
Contra Costa County																		
Contra Costa	CC County	Deer Valley Road Safety Improvements	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T3-2	5095.00	HSIPL	5982089	10/11	11/12	730,000			04/24/12	653,499		76,501	
Contra Costa	CC County	Deer Valley Shoulder Widening-Marsh Creek Road	CON	REG070008	HRRR-T3-1	5098.00		5928084		11/12		768,600					768,600	
Contra Costa	CC County	Marsh Creek Road Safety Improvements - Russelmann	PE	REG070009	HSIP-T4-3	5096.00		5928096		11/12	112,500			06/22/12	112,500			
Contra Costa	CC County	Marsh Creek Road Shoulder Widening-Lydia	CON	REG070008	HRRR-T3-1	5097.00	HRRRL	5928085	11/12	11/12		666,000		06/13/12		666,000		
Contra Costa	CC County	Camino Tassajara Shoulder Widening-Finley Road	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-3	5099.00		5928105	12/13	11/12	700,000						700,000	
Contra Costa	CC County	Camino Tassajara Safety Imp. N. of Windemere Pkwy	PE	REG070009	HSIP-T4-4	5365.00	HSIPL	5928110		11/12	225,000			12/28/11	225,000			
Contra Costa	CC County	Pacifica Avenue Sidewalk Project	PE	REG090001	SRTS-T4-3	5397.00	SRTSL	5928112		11/12			107,000	06/22/12			131,000	
Contra Costa	El Cerrito	Ohlone Greenway In-Pavement Crosswalk Lights	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T3-2	5122.00		5239015	11/12	11/12	468,306						468,306	
Contra Costa	El Cerrito	Arlington Bl Safe Route to Madera ES	CON	REG090001	SRTS-T3-2	5124.00		5239016	11/12	11/12			202,400				202,400	
Contra Costa	El Cerrito	Potrero Av, S. 55th to 56th Safety Improvements	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T3-2	5129.00	HSIPL	5239013	10/11	11/12	501,657			05/02/12	501,657			
Contra Costa	Lafayette	St. Mary's Rd. & Topper Ln. Safety Improvements	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-3	5123.00	HSIPL	5404022	12/13	11/12	109,440			03/29/11	109,440			
Contra Costa	Pleasant Hill	Oak Park Blvd at Patterson Blvd, Signal Upgrade	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T3-2	5139.00	HSIPL	5375020	10/11	11/12	239,040			04/13/11	239,040			
Contra Costa	Pleasant Hill	Pleasant Hill Road at Geary Road Signal Upgrade	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T3-2	5140.00		5375022	11/12	11/12	235,800						235,800	
Contra Costa	Pleasant Hill	Pleasant Hill Road at Geary Road Signal Upgrade	PE	REG070009	HSIP-T3-2	5140.00	HSIPL	5375022	09/10	11/12	27,000			08/14/12	27,000			
Contra Costa	Pleasant Hill	Contra Costa Bl/Golf Club Rd Intersection Upgrade	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-3	5141.00			12/13	11/12	650,000						650,000	
Contra Costa	Richmond	SR2S - Nystrom, Coronado, Highland, Wilson & Wash.	CON	CC-110031	SRTS-T3-2	5121.00	SRTSL	5137041	11/12	11/12			477,000	05/11/12			477,000	
Contra Costa	Richmond	SRTS1 - Ford, Grant, King & Lincoln	CON	REG090001	SRTS-T3-1	5130.00			10/11	11/12			412,167				412,167	
Contra Costa	San Ramon	Bollinger Ped & Signal Improvements	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-3	5131.00			12/13	11/12	313,200						313,200	
Contra Costa	San Ramon	Alcosta/Davona Traffic Signal Improvements	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T3-2	5151.00	HSIPL	5437021	10/11	11/12	229,500			06/22/11	229,500			
Contra Costa County Totals											4,541,443	1,434,600	1,198,567		2,097,636	666,000	608,000	3,802,974

Metropolitan Transportation Commission
Local Safety Program (HSIP, HRRR, SRTS) Obligation Status Report

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 3A(iv)

Fiscal Years: FY 11/12
September 10, 2012

County	Sponsor	Project Name	Phase	TIP ID	Fund Code	FMS ID	Fed Project Data		Appn FY	Prog FY	Fund Programming Information			Obligation Information			Balance Remaining	
							Prefix	ID			HSIP Amt	HRRR Amt	SRTS Amt	Date	HSIP Amt	HRRR Amt		SRTS Amt
Marin County																		
Marin	Marin County	Marin County Guard Rail HRRR Project	CON	REG070008	HRRR-T3-2	5077.00	HRRRL	5927077	13/14	11/12		227,250		03/28/11		164,855	62,395	
Marin	Marin County	Redwood Hwy Frontage Rd/US 101 (DeSilva Island Dr)	PE	REG070009	HSIP-T4-4	5278.00	HSIPL	5927090		11/12	63,000			12/15/11	67,500		-4,500	
Marin	Mill Valley	Mill Valley SRTS Projects	CON	REG090001	SRTS-T3-2	5084.00	SRTSL	5113011	12/13	11/12			490,290	04/24/12			75,000	415,290
Marin	Ross	SFD Blvd at Lagunitas Rd Intersection Improvements	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-3	5081.00			12/13	11/12	388,900							388,900
Marin	San Anselmo	San Anselmo School Route Improvements	PE	REG090001	SRTS-T4-3	5436.00				11/12			67,791					67,791
Marin County Totals											451,900	227,250	558,081		67,500	164,855	75,000	929,876

Metropolitan Transportation Commission
Local Safety Program (HSIP, HRRR, SRTS) Obligation Status Report

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 3A(iv)

Fiscal Years: FY 11/12
September 10, 2012

County	Sponsor	Project Name	Phase	TIP ID	Fund Code	FMS ID	Fed Project Data		Appn FY	Prog FY	Fund Programming Information			Date	Obligation Information			Balance Remaining
							Prefix	ID			HSIP Amt	HRRR Amt	SRTS Amt		HSIP Amt	HRRR Amt	SRTS Amt	
Napa County																		
Napa	Napa County	Wooden Valley Road HR3 Safety	CON	REG070008	HRRR-T3-2	4674.00			13/14	11/12		233,730						233,730
Napa	Napa County	North Kelly Road HR3 Safety	CON	REG070008	HRRR-T3-2	4675.00			13/14	11/12		21,780						21,780
Napa	Napa County	Deer Park Road HSIP Safety	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T3-2	4683.00			11/12	11/12	17,370							17,370
Napa County Totals											17,370	255,510	0	0	0	0	272,880	

Metropolitan Transportation Commission
Local Safety Program (HSIP, HRRR, SRTS) Obligation Status Report

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 3A(iv)

Fiscal Years: FY 11/12
September 10, 2012

County	Sponsor	Project Name	Phase	TIP ID	Fund Code	FMS ID	Fed Project Data		Appn FY	Prog FY	Fund Programming Information			Obligation Information			Balance Remaining
							Prefix	ID			HSIP Amt	HRRR Amt	SRTS Amt	Date	HSIP Amt	HRRR Amt	
San Francisco County																	
San Francisco	SFDPH	SF SRTS Cycle 3 - Education and Outreach	CON	REG090001	SRTS-T4-3	5406.00	SRTSLNI	6447005		13/14			500,000	08/03/12			500,000
San Francisco	SFMTA	Fulton Curb Ramps	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T3-2	5090.00	HSIPL	6328026	10/11	11/12	228,269						228,269
San Francisco	SFMTA	Paul Ave and Bayshore Blvd. Signal Upgrade	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T3-2	5091.00	HSIPL	6328031	10/11	11/12	283,500						283,500
San Francisco	SFMTA	Chinatown Safe Routes to School	CON	REG090001	SRTS-T3-1	5116.00		6328019	10/11	11/12			686,390				686,390
San Francisco	SFMTA	Jefferson Elementary Safe Routes to School	CON	REG090001	SRTS-T3-1	5120.00		6328020	10/11	11/12			486,200				486,200
San Francisco	SFMTA	T-Line Light Rail Corridor Signals	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-3	5117.00			12/13	11/12	888,840						888,840
San Francisco	SFMTA	Sunset Blvd. Signals at Kirkham, Santiago, Ulloa	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-3	5118.00	HSIPL	6328039	12/13	11/12	755,550						755,550
San Francisco	SFMTA	Alamo Elementary Safe Routes to School	CON	REG090001	SRTS-T3-2	5119.00		6328040	12/13	11/12			693,025				693,025
San Francisco	SFMTA	Continental Crosswalks	PE	REG070009	HSIP-T4-4	5323.00	HSIPL	6328047	11/12	11/12	70,000		11/16/11	70,000			
San Francisco	SFMTA	Upgrade Traffic Signals - Masonic Avenue	PE	REG070009	HSIP-T4-4	5329.00	HSIPL	6328048	12/13	11/12	160,736		11/16/11	161,000			-264
San Francisco	SFMTA	Denman Safe Routes to School	PE	REG090001	SRTS-T4-3	5404.00	SRTSL	6328050		11/12			136,000	06/01/12			124,000
San Francisco	SFMTA	Tenderloin Safe Routes to School	PE	REG090001	SRTS-T4-3	5405.00	SRTSL	6328051		11/12			133,000	06/01/12			121,000
San Francisco County Totals											2,386,895	0	2,634,615	231,000	0	745,000	4,045,510

Metropolitan Transportation Commission
Local Safety Program (HSIP, HRRR, SRTS) Obligation Status Report

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 3A(iv)

Fiscal Years: FY 11/12
September 10, 2012

County	Sponsor	Project Name	Phase	TIP ID	Fund Code	FMS ID	Fed Project Data		Appn FY	Prog FY	Fund Programming Information			Obligation Information			Balance Remaining	
							Prefix	ID			HSIP Amt	HRRR Amt	SRTS Amt	Date	HSIP Amt	HRRR Amt		SRTS Amt
San Mateo County																		
San Mateo	Atherton	Valparaiso at Hoover In-Roadway Lighted Crosswalk	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-3	5126.00	HSIPL	5261007	12/13	11/12	27,900			06/30/11	27,585		315	
San Mateo	Atherton	Valparaiso at Hoover In-Roadway Lighted Crosswalk	PE	REG070009	HSIP-T4-3	5126.00	HSIPL	5261007		10/11	393			12/11/11	393			
San Mateo	Daly City	Gellert Blvd. Bicycle Lanes	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-3	5101.00	HSIP	5196034	12/13	11/12	88,650			04/04/11	87,109		1,541	
San Mateo	Daly City	Hickey Blvd/Callan Blvd Signal Modifications	PE	REG070009	HSIP-T4-4	5279.00	HSIPL	5196037	12/13	11/12	33,300			06/01/12	33,300			
San Mateo	Menlo Park	Safe Routes to Hillview Middle School	CON	REG090001	SRTS-T3-1	5112.00	SRTSL	5273017	10/11	11/12			127,600	05/10/12		117,600	10,000	
San Mateo	Menlo Park	Menlo Park: Oak Grove Ave./Merrill St.	PE	REG070009	HSIP-T4-4	5315.00	HSIPL	5273022	11/12	11/12	5,445			12/28/11	4,950		495	
San Mateo	Redwood City	Hoover Elementary SRTS	PE	REG090001	SRTS-T4-3	5526.00	SRTSL	5029(030)	11/12	11/12			56,500	04/26/12			56,500	
San Mateo	Redwood City	Redwood City School District Safe Walking/Cycling	CON	REG090001	SRTS-T4-3	5542.00	SRTSLNI	5029029	11/12	11/12			204,000	05/22/12		204,000		
San Mateo	SSF	Sister Cities Blvd Guardrail Project	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-3	5110.00	HSIPL	5177024	12/13	11/12	270,000			03/16/12	270,000			
San Mateo	SSF	Grand Avenue/Magnolia Avenue Traffic Signal	PE	REG070009	HSIP-T4-4	5317.00	HSIPL	5177028	12/13	11/12	67,500			10/24/11	66,825		675	
San Mateo	SSF	Los Cerritos School West Orange Ave Improvements	PE	REG090001	SRTS-T4-3	5403.00	SRTSL	5177029		11/12			17,500	03/22/12		27,500	-10,000	
San Mateo	San Carlos	SR 82 and Belmont Ave Crosswalk Improvements	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-3	5080.00	HSIP	5267017	12/13	11/12	171,000			06/01/12	171,000			
San Mateo	Woodside	Woodside School's Safety Improvement Project	PE	REG070009	HSIP-T4-4	5314.00	HSIPL	5333015	11/12	11/12	36,000			07/06/12	36,000			
San Mateo County Totals											700,188	0	405,600		697,162	0	349,100	59,526

Metropolitan Transportation Commission
Local Safety Program (HSIP, HRRR, SRTS) Obligation Status Report

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 3A(iv)

Fiscal Years: FY 11/12
September 10, 2012

County	Sponsor	Project Name	Phase	TIP ID	Fund Code	FMS ID	Fed Project Data		Appn FY	Prog FY	Fund Programming Information			Obligation Information			Balance Remaining	
							Prefix	ID			HSIP Amt	HRRR Amt	SRTS Amt	Date	HSIP Amt	HRRR Amt		SRTS Amt
Santa Clara County																		
Santa Clara	Campbell	Pollard Road Bicycle and Pedestrian Traffic Safety	CON	REG090001	SRTS-T3-2	5104.00	SRTSL	5306017	11/12	11/12			205,700	08/15/11			205,173	527
Santa Clara	Campbell	Radar Speed Feedback Signs	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-4	5286.00	HSIPL	5306023	11/12	13/14	181,800			04/12/12	181,800			
Santa Clara	Campbell	Radar Speed Feedback Signs	PE	REG070009	HSIP-T4-4	5286.00	HSIPL	5306023	11/12	11/12	16,200			01/13/12	16,200			
Santa Clara	Los Altos	Homestead Rd Safety Improvements	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-4	5325.00	HSIPL	5309015	11/12	11/12	609,000			08/03/12	609,000			
Santa Clara	Palo Alto	City of Palo Alto San Antonio HSIP Project	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T3-2	5127.00	HSIPL	5100015	11/12	11/12	900,000			12/27/11	900,000			
Santa Clara	Palo Alto	City of Palo Alto Alma Street HSIP Project	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T3-2	5128.00	HSIPL	5100014	11/12	11/12	900,000			03/23/12	900,000			
Santa Clara	San Jose	Minidoka Avenue Sidewalk Improvement	CON	REG090001	SRTS-T3-2	5082.00	SRTSL	5005097	10/11	11/12			621,000	02/28/11			621,000	
Santa Clara	Santa Clara	Pomeroy Ave/Benton St Intersection Project	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-3	5085.00	HSIPL	5019026	12/13	11/12	562,860			05/02/12	562,860			
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Felter Road Safety Improvements	CON	REG070008	HRRR-T3-2	5106.00	HRRRL	5937129	12/13	11/12		459,000		11/04/11		459,000		
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Co	Black Road Safety Improvements	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T3-2	5107.00	HSIPL	5937138	10/11	11/12	486,000			11/04/11	486,000			
Santa Clara	Saratoga	Highway 9 Safety Improvements	CON	SCL070050	HSIP-T3-1	4130.00	HSIPL	5332011	10/11	11/12	900,000			05/11/12	899,721			279
Santa Clara	Sunnyvale	Remington/Bernardo Traffic Signal	PE	REG070009	HSIP-T4-4	5422.00	HSIPL	5213045	11/12	11/12	68,550			01/18/12	68,550			
Santa Clara County Totals											4,624,410	459,000	826,700		4,624,131	459,000	826,173	806

Metropolitan Transportation Commission
Local Safety Program (HSIP, HRRR, SRTS) Obligation Status Report

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 3A(iv)

Fiscal Years: FY 11/12
September 10, 2012

County	Sponsor	Project Name	Phase	TIP ID	Fund Code	FMS ID	Fed Project Data		Appn FY	Prog FY	Fund Programming Information			Obligation Information			Balance Remaining
							Prefix	ID			HSIP Amt	HRRR Amt	SRTS Amt	Date	HSIP Amt	HRRR Amt	
Solano County																	
Solano	Solano County	Gibson Canyon Road Realignment	CON	REG070008	HRRR-T3-1	5087.00	HRRRL	5923081	10/11	11/12		291,645		06/01/12		291,645	
Solano County Totals											0	291,645	0	0	291,645	0	0

Metropolitan Transportation Commission
Local Safety Program (HSIP, HRRR, SRTS) Obligation Status Report

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 3A(iv)

Fiscal Years: FY 11/12
September 10, 2012

County	Sponsor	Project Name	Phase	TIP ID	Fund Code	FMS ID	Fed Project Data		Appn FY	Prog FY	Fund Programming Information			Obligation Information			Balance Remaining	
							Prefix	ID			HSIP Amt	HRRR Amt	SRTS Amt	Date	HSIP Amt	HRRR Amt		SRTS Amt
Sonoma County																		
Sonoma	Petaluma	Left-turn Signal Modification	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T4-3	5109.00		5022047	12/13	11/12	381,600						381,600	
Sonoma	Petaluma	Crinella Dr/ Casa Grande Rd Lighted Crosswalks	PE	REG070009	HSIP-T4-4	5311.00	HSIPL	5022051	11/12	11/12	28,125			11/08/11	28,125			
Sonoma	Petaluma	Safe Routes to School Plan 2011	CON	REG090001	SRTS-T4-3	5438.00	SRTSLNI	5022052	10/11	11/12			129,600	04/12/12			129,600	
Sonoma	Santa Rosa	Reconfigure Roadways for Bike Lanes - Sonoma Ave	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T3-2	5150.00	HSIPL	5028059	11/12	11/12	238,035			06/13/11	238,035			
Sonoma	Santa Rosa	Reconfigure Roadways for Bike Lanes - Sonoma Ave	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T3-2	5150.00	HSIPL	5028059		11/12	151,965			12/28/11	58,779		93,186	
Sonoma	Santa Rosa	Kawana and Bellevue Elementary School Access Imps	CON	REG090001	SRTS-T3-2	5168.00	SRTSL	5028058	11/12	11/12			526,400	12/14/11			440,957	
Sonoma	Sonoma City	Napa/Leveroni Rd. at Broadway (SR12)	CON	REG070009	HSIP-T3-2	5086.00			12/13	11/12	133,870						133,870	
Sonoma County Totals											933,595	0	656,000		324,939	0	570,557	694,099
Report totals:											16,691,690	2,668,005	7,774,711		9,129,731	1,581,500	4,112,002	12,311,173

From: Dara Wheeler <dara_wheeler@dot.ca.gov>
To: MAP 21 Implementation Group
<MAP_21_Implementation_Group@dot.ca.gov>
Date: 08/13/12 11:20 AM
Subject: [CalRTPA] Updated MAP-21 chart and summary from the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans)
Attachments: SAFETEA LU Vs MAP21 Proposal Revision1 8-9-12.pdf; MAP-21 proposal bullets.pdf

Dear MAP-21 stakeholders,

Thank you for your participation in the conference call on Wednesday the 8th, and the comments and recommendations on the Administration's proposal for MAP-21. Funding levels for MAP-21 remain unchanged from the 2012 Federal Fiscal Year, and the Administration's proposal reflects a status quo approach by providing the same bottom line funding splits between state and local shares. The Department has updated the charts that detail the proposal to incorporate comments as appropriate, and to help clarify the intent. Also attached is a discussion document describing the highlights of the proposal.

Working groups are being established to discuss potential changes that will take into account federal guidance and performance measures. The Department will forward the names of the working group(s) as soon as it's formed, and a teleconference with all stakeholders will be held in September.

(See attached file: SAFETEA LU vs MAP21 Proposal Revision1 8-9-12.pdf)(See attached file: MAP-21 proposal bullets.pdf)

NORMA ORTEGA
Chief Financial Officer
California Department of Transportation
916-654-3986

Moving Forward with MAP-21 *August 8, 2012*

The new federal Surface Transportation Act, known as “Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century” or MAP-21 was signed in to law on July 6, 2012. Prior to this overhaul of federal surface transportation laws, the last major changes at the federal level occurred with the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA, pronounced Ice-Tea), more than 20 years ago. Given the major changes inherent in MAP-21, a measured approach to implementation is in order. A measured approach will also allow time to receive additional guidance from the Federal Department of Transportation. The bullets below detail the highlights of the Administration’s proposal for MAP-21.

- Funding levels remain the same as Federal Fiscal Year 2012 at \$3.5 billion.
- The Administration’s proposal focuses on maintaining a status quo funding level for overall funding – ensuring that the State and Local Agencies each receive a total share of funding consistent with total funding received under prior federal acts.
- One cannot view each program in isolation because of the dramatic restructuring of programs and funding included in MAP-21. Many programs were eliminated or combined, and new programs were created. The significant changes to programs and program eligibility add to the challenge of creating a funding plan that maintains current total funding levels.
- The proposal retains the existing funding splits between the State and the Locals/Regions - approximately \$2.2 Billion State (62%), approximately \$1.3 billion for Locals/Regions (38%). Changes in this split in the next two years could result in projects that are almost ready to go to construction being removed from the program due to lack of funding.
- Allows for a transitional period recognizing that the regions and the State already have projects programmed against these funds under existing rules:
 - Continues the current level of RSTP funding.
 - Continues the current level of SHOPP program.
 - Continues the current level of Bridge funding for both state and local bridges. The program would continue to be administered by the state.
 - Continues the flow of CMAQ and MP apportionments to regions using existing formulas.
 - Divides the HSIP program as required in MAP-21 after setting aside funds for SRTS.
 - Continues the Safe Routes to School program which would remain administered by the state.
 - Transportation Alternatives Program funding would continue under the STIP formula after setting aside \$5 million for Recreational Trails.
- Legislative changes are not necessary to allow apportionment of funds within California, provided that the state pursues a “status quo” approach discussed above.
- MAP-21 includes and inflation increased to apportionments for FFY 2014. Increases will be applied to programs and all splits.
- Working groups are being established to discuss potential program changes that will take into account federal guidance and performance measurements for MAP-21. The intention is to have a first draft of proposed legislation in early 2013. There will need to be a transition period to implement any program changes.

Administration's Proposal for MAP-21 - Programmatic Apportionment Distribution Compared to Current SAFETEA-LU Extension

SAFTEA-LU FFY 2012			
Program	\$*M	State	Local
IM	575	575	
NH	727	727	
HBP			
	550	248	303
STP			
	721	270	451
BIP	25	25	
EB			
	182	182	
Subtotal			
	2,780	2,027	753
CMAQ			
	468		468
MP			
	42		42
HSIP			
	134	67	67
RRXing	15	7	7
SRTS			
	21		21
RT	5	5	
TE	78	78	
TOTAL			
	3,544	2,185	1,359
		62%	38%

MAP-21 FFY 2013			
Program	\$*M	State	Local
NHP	1,897	1,897	
Bridges on NHS are eligible under NHPP or STP			
Bridges off-system are eligible under STP			
STP			
	873	436	436
Equity Bonus funds discontinued, funding inherent in other programs			
Subtotal			
	2,770	2,334	436
CMAQ			
	445		445
MP			
	48		48
HSIP			
	209	104	104
SRTS may be funded from HSIP or TA, or STP			
TAP	72	36	36
TOTAL			
	3,544	2,474	1,069
		70%	30%

MAP-21 Rev. FFY 2013			
Program	\$*M	State	Local
NHP	1,897	1,670	
NHS Bridges			
			228
Bridges on NHS are eligible under NHPP or STP			
Bridges off-system are eligible under STP			
Off-system Bridges			
			75
STP			
	873	347	451
Equity Bonus funds discontinued, funding inherent in other programs			
Subtotal			
	2,770	2,017	753
CMAQ			
	445		445
MP			
	48		48
HSIP			
	209	94	94
SRTS			
			21
SRTS may be funded from TA, HSIP, or STP			
TAP	72	67	-
Recreational Trails			
		5	
TOTAL			
	3,544	2,183	1,361
		62%	38%

Notes:

- 1-Consolidation of programs under MAP-21 provides flexibility for new programs to fund projects previously funded under discontinued SAFETEA-LU programs.
- 2-MAP-21 includes an inflation increase to apportionments for FFY 2014. Increases will be applied to all programs and all splits.
- 3-Highway Bridge work may be funded under new programs such as STP or NHPP with performance targets that if not met, would result in penalties to NHPP funds.
- 4-Funds set aside from the NHPP and STP for the local bridges will be managed by the Department, with the advice of the Highway Bridge Program Advisory Committee, in order to meet the Performance Measures and avoid penalties.
- 5-The Local share shown represents subvention funds to Local Assistance that are voted as a lump-sum item by the CTC annually, with the exception of MP.
- 6-The TAP is proposed for distribution through the STIP formula, to fund programmed TE projects.
- 7-State Highway System projects or Local projects may be funded from State or Local shares if programmed by the MPOs/voted by the CTC.
- 8-Penalty under 23 USC 164 (+/- \$70M) and State Planning and Research take down (2% from each program for a total of +/- \$70M) is not included in these estimates.
- 9-Estimates are preliminary and based current available data.
- 10-For on-system bridges not on NHS, State may exchange NHPP with STP.

From: Dara Wheeler <dara_wheeler@dot.ca.gov>
To: MAP 21 Implementation Group <MAP_21_Implementation_Group@dot.ca.gov>
Date: 08/21/12 1:30 PM
Subject: [CalRTPA] MAP 21 Update

On behalf of Norma Ortega, Chief Financial Officer for the California Department of Transportation:

Hello All - Thank you for all the input into the MAP 21 implementation effort. The working group kicked off the first session today. The members of the working group are:

1. Pat DeChellis, LA County Public Works Department (CSAC)
2. Jennifer Whiting, League of California Cities
3. Ron DeCarli, SLOCOG
4. Sharon Scherzinger, EDCTC
5. Randy Rentscher/Rebecca Long, MTC
6. Sharon Neely, SCAG
7. Will Ridder, SJCOG
8. Keith Dunn, SHCC
9. Rachel Moriconi, SCCRTC
10. Frank Flores (or designee), LA Metro
11. Kurt Evans, VTA Government Affairs Manager
12. Laura Cohen, Rails to Trails, TE Advocate
13. Deb Hubsmith, Safe Routes to Schools
14. Josh Shaw, CTA
15. Jackie Montgomery, CalAct
16. Jermaine Hannon, FHWA
17. Mitch Weiss, CTC
18. Rachel Falsetti, Caltrans

I have asked Rachel Falsetti to lead the MAP 21 Working Group. That work will be shared with all stakeholders. We are planning an ALL stakeholder conference call in early September. We will notify you of the date shortly.

Please call me if you have any questions. Thank you.

NORMA ORTEGA
Chief Financial Officer
California Department of Transportation
916-654-3986

From: William Ridder <Ridder@sjcog.org>
To: "CalRTPA@yahoogroups.com" <CalRTPA@yahoogroups.com>
CC: "Martinez, Erica" <Erica.Martinez@asm.ca.gov>
Date: 08/27/12 10:57 AM
Subject: [CalRTPA] FW: MAP-21 state legislation introduced.
Attachments: SKMBT_28312082409290.pdf

Dear All:

On Friday we introduced MAP-21 state implementing legislation: SB 1027. The proposal addresses the following categories: STP, CMAQ, HSIP and TA. After hearing many discussions, it's clear that while MAP-21 provides a lot of flexibility, our core priorities for these funds have not radically changed. The bill provides one potential roadmap for implementing our federal transportation funds. The bill is a marker for a broader and more robust conversation. I am sure that there are some aspects of the bill that you will like and other aspects that will benefit from more discussion. As such, the bill is not intended to move forward in the last days of session, but rather its purpose is to serve as a visual roadmap for a collective conversation with stakeholders and the Legislature.

Please send me your questions, comments, concerns, complaints, etc.

I look forward to helping good things happen with these funds next year.

Erica--
Office of Speaker John A. Perez
916 319 2465

86209

08/24/12 12:07 AM
 RN 12 23512 PAGE 1
 Substantive

AMENDMENTS TO SENATE BILL NO. 1027
 AS AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JULY 3, 2012

Amendment 1

In the title, in line 1, strike out “relating to the Budget Act of 2012” and insert:

to amend Sections 182.6, 182.7, 2331, 2333, 2333.5, 2370, 2371, 2372, 2373, and 2374 of, to amend the heading of Chapter 7.5 (commencing with Section 2370) of Division 3 of, and to add Sections 182.51, 2375, 2376, 2377, 2378, 2379, 2380, and 2381 to, the Streets and Highways Code, relating to transportation

Amendment 2

On page 1, before line 1, insert:

SECTION 1. Section 182.51 is added to the Streets and Highways Code, to read:

182.51. (a) Commencing with the 2012–13 federal fiscal year, of the federal Surface Transportation Program funds apportioned to California that remain after funding from this source transportation planning responsibilities with a 2 percent set aside and off-system bridge activities described in subsection (b)(2) of Section 133 of Title 23 of the United States Code with a set aside equivalent to 15 percent of the amount of funds that were apportioned to the state for the federal Highway Bridge Program for the 2008–09 federal fiscal year, the department shall, pursuant to federal law, apportion 62.5 percent of the funds to metropolitan planning organizations and transportation planning agencies as provided in Section 182.6. The funds apportioned under this subdivision shall be treated as regional surface transportation program funds and shall be subject to the processes and requirements of Section 182.6.

(b) The remaining 37.5 percent of federal Surface Transportation Program funds apportioned to California that may be expended pursuant to federal law in any area of the state shall be available for obligation and expenditure by the department in any area of the state.

(c) Notwithstanding Sections 182 and 182.5, Sections 188, 188.8, and 825 do not apply to the funds described in subdivision (a).

SEC. 2. Section 182.6 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:

182.6. (a) Notwithstanding Sections 182 and 182.5, Sections 188, 188.8, and 825 do not apply to the expenditure of an amount of federal funds ~~equal to~~ required to be apportioned to metropolitan planning organizations and transportation planning agencies pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 182.51, including the amount of federal funds apportioned to the state pursuant to that portion of subsection (b)(3) (b)(2) of Section 104, subsections (a) and (c) of Section 157, and subsection (d) of Section 160 of Title 23 of the United States Code that is allocated within the state subject to subsection (d)(3) (d)(1) of Section 133 of that code. These funds ~~shall be~~ are known as the regional surface transportation program funds. The department, the transportation planning agencies, the county transportation commissions, and the metropolitan planning



RN1223512

86209

08/24/12 12:07 AM
 RN 12 23512 PAGE 2
 Substantive

organizations may do all things necessary in their jurisdictions to secure and expend those federal funds in accordance with the intent of federal law and this chapter.

(b) ~~(1)~~ The regional surface transportation program funds shall be apportioned by the department to the metropolitan planning organizations designated pursuant to Section 134 of Title 23 of the United States Code and, in areas where none has been designated, to the transportation planning agency designated pursuant to Section 29532 of the Government Code. The funds shall be apportioned in the manner and in accordance with the formula set forth in subsection ~~(d)(3)~~ ~~(d)(1)~~ of Section 133 of Title 23 of the United States Code, except that the apportionment shall be among all areas of the state. ~~Funds~~

~~(2) Funds~~ apportioned under this ~~subdivision~~ section shall remain available for three federal fiscal years, including the federal fiscal year apportioned.

(c) Where county transportation commissions have been created by Division 12 (commencing with Section 130000) of the Public Utilities Code, all regional surface transportation program funds shall be further apportioned by the metropolitan planning organization to the county transportation commission on the basis of relative population.

In the Monterey Bay region, all regional surface transportation program funds shall be further apportioned, on the basis of relative population, by the metropolitan planning organization to the regional transportation planning agencies designated under subdivision (b) of Section 29532 of the Government Code.

(d) The applicable metropolitan planning organization, county transportation commission, or transportation planning agency shall annually apportion the regional surface transportation program funds for projects in each county, as follows:

(1) An amount equal to the amount apportioned under the federal-aid urban program in federal fiscal year 1990–91 adjusted for population. The adjustment for population shall be based on the population determined in the 1990 federal census except that no county shall be apportioned less than 110 percent of the apportionment received in the 1990–91 fiscal year. These funds shall be apportioned for projects implemented by cities, counties, and other transportation agencies on a fair and equitable basis based upon an annually updated five-year average of allocations. Projects shall be nominated by cities, counties, transit operators, and other public transportation agencies through a process that directly involves local government representatives.

(2) An amount not less than 110 percent of the amount that the county was apportioned under the federal-aid secondary program in federal fiscal year 1990–91, for use by that county.

(e) The department shall notify each metropolitan planning organization, county transportation commission, and transportation planning agency receiving an apportionment under this section, as soon as possible each year, of the amount of obligation authority estimated to be available for program purposes.

The metropolitan planning organization and transportation planning agency, in cooperation with the department, congestion management agencies, cities, counties, and affected transit operators, shall select and program projects in conformance with federal law. The metropolitan planning organization and transportation planning agency shall submit its transportation improvement program prepared pursuant to Section 134 of Title 23 of the United States Code to the department for incorporation into the state transportation improvement program not later than August 1 of each even-numbered year beginning in 1994.

86209

08/24/12 12:07 AM
 RN 12 23512 PAGE 3
 Substantive

(f) Not later than July 1 of each year, the metropolitan planning organizations, and the regional transportation planning agencies, receiving obligational authority under this article shall notify the department of the projected amount of obligational authority that each entity intends to use during the remainder of the current federal fiscal year, including, but not limited to, a list of projects that will be obligated by the end of the current federal fiscal year. Any federal obligational authority that will not be used shall be redistributed by the department to other projects in a manner that ensures that the state will continue to compete for and receive increased obligational authority during the federal redistribution of obligational authority. If the department does not have sufficient federal apportionments to fully use excess obligational authority, the metropolitan planning organizations or regional transportation planning agencies relinquishing obligational authority shall make sufficient apportionments available to the department to fund alternate projects, when practical, within the geographical areas relinquishing the obligational authority. Notwithstanding this subdivision, the department shall comply with subsections ~~(d)(3)~~ (d)(1) and (f) of Section 133 of Title 23 of the United States Code.

(g) A regional transportation planning agency that is not designated as, nor represented by, a metropolitan planning organization with an urbanized area population greater than 200,000 pursuant to the 1990 federal census may exchange its annual apportionment received pursuant to this section on a dollar-for-dollar basis for nonfederal State Highway Account funds, which shall be apportioned in accordance with subdivision (d).

(h) (1) If a regional transportation planning agency described in subdivision (g) does not elect to exchange its annual apportionment, a county located within the boundaries of that regional transportation planning agency may elect to exchange its annual apportionment received pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (d) for nonfederal State Highway Account funds.

(2) A county not included in a regional transportation planning agency described in subdivision (g), whose apportionment pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (d) was less than 1 percent of the total amount apportioned to all counties in the state, may exchange its apportionment for nonfederal State Highway Account funds. If the apportionment to the county was more than 3 ½ percent of the total apportioned to all counties in the state, it may exchange that portion of its apportionment in excess of 3 ½ percent for nonfederal State Highway Account funds. Exchange funds received by a county pursuant to this section may be used for any transportation purpose.

(i) The department shall be responsible for closely monitoring the use of federal transportation funds, including regional surface transportation program funds to assure full and timely use. The department shall prepare a quarterly report for submission to the commission regarding the progress in use of all federal transportation funds. The department shall notify the commission and the appropriate implementation agency whenever there is a failure to use federal funds within the three-year apportionment period established under paragraph (2) of subdivision (b).

(j) The department shall provide written notice to implementing agencies when there is one year remaining within the three-year apportionment period established under paragraph (2) of subdivision (b) ~~of this section~~.

86209

08/24/12 12:07 AM
 RN 12 23512 PAGE 4
 Substantive

(k) Within six months of the date of notification required under subdivision (j), the implementing agency shall provide to the department a plan to obligate funds that includes, but need not be limited to, a list of projects and milestones.

(l) If the implementing agency has not met the milestones established in the implementation plan required under subdivision (k), prior to the end of the three-year apportionment period established under paragraph (2) of subdivision (b), the commission shall redirect those funds for use on other transportation projects in the state.

(m) Notwithstanding subdivisions (g) and (h), regional surface transportation program funds available under this section exchanged pursuant to Section 182.8 may be loaned to and expended by the department. The department shall repay from the State Highway Account to the Traffic Congestion Relief Fund all funds received as federal reimbursements for funds exchanged under Section 182.8 as they are received from the Federal Highway Administration, except that those repayments are not required to be made more frequently than on a quarterly basis.

(n) Prior to determining the amount for local subvention required by this section, the department shall first deduct the amount authorized by the Legislature for increased department oversight of the federal subvented program.

SEC. 3. Section 182.7 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:

182.7. (a) Notwithstanding Sections 182 and 182.5, Sections 188, 188.8, and 825 do not apply to the expenditure of an amount of federal funds equal to the amount of federal funds apportioned to the state pursuant to ~~subsection (b)(2)~~ (b)(4) of Section 104 of Title 23 of the United States Code. These funds shall be known as the congestion mitigation and air quality program funds and shall be expended in accordance with Section 149 of Title 23 of the United States Code. The department, the transportation planning agencies, and the metropolitan planning organizations may do all things necessary in their jurisdictions to secure and expend those federal funds in accordance with the intent of federal law and this chapter.

(b) ~~The congestion mitigation and air quality program funds, including any funds to which subsection (c) of Section 110 of Title 23 of the United States Code, as added by subdivision (a) of Section 1310 of Public Law 105-178, applies, shall be apportioned by the department to the metropolitan planning organizations designated pursuant to Section 134 of Title 23 of the United States Code and, in areas where none has been designated, to the transportation planning agency established by Section 29532 of the Government Code. The All funds apportioned to the state pursuant to Section 104(b)(4) of Title 23 of the United States Code shall be apportioned to metropolitan planning organizations and transportation planning agencies responsible for air quality conformity determinations in federally designated air quality nonattainment and maintenance areas within the state in the manner and in accordance with the formula set forth in subsection (b)(2) of Section 104 of Title 23 of the United States Code. Funds apportioned as follows:~~

(1) The department shall apportion these funds in the ratio that the weighted nonattainment and maintenance population in each federally designated area within the state bears to the total of all weighted nonattainment and maintenance area populations in the state.

(2) Subject to paragraph (3), the weighted nonattainment and maintenance area population shall be calculated by multiplying the population of each area in the state

86209

08/24/12 12:07 AM
 RN 12 23512 PAGE 5
 Substantive

that is a nonattainment area or maintenance area as described in Section 149(b) of Title 23 of the United States Code for ozone or carbon monoxide by the following factors:

(A) A factor of 1.0, if, at the time of apportionment, the area is a maintenance area.

(B) A factor of 1.0, if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a marginal ozone nonattainment area under Subpart 2 of Part D of Title I of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. Sec. 7511 et seq.).

(C) A factor of 1.1, if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a moderate ozone nonattainment area under Subpart 2 of Part D of Title I of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. Sec. 7511 et seq.).

(D) A factor of 1.2, if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a serious ozone nonattainment area under Subpart 2 of Part D of Title I of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. Sec. 7511 et seq.).

(E) A factor of 1.3, if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a severe ozone nonattainment area under Subpart 2 of Part D of Title I of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. Sec. 7511 et seq.).

(F) A factor of 1.4, if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as an extreme ozone nonattainment area under Subpart 2 of Part D of Title I of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. Sec. 7511 et seq.).

(G) A factor of 1.0, if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is not a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, but is classified under Subpart 3 of Part D of Title I of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. Sec. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area for carbon monoxide.

(H) A factor of 1.0, if, at the time of apportionment, an area is designated as a nonattainment area for ozone under Subpart 1 of Part D of Title I of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. Sec. 7512 et seq.).

(3) If, in addition to being designated as a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone as described in paragraph (2), any county within the area is also classified under Subpart 3 of Part D of Title I of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. Sec. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment or maintenance area described in paragraph (2) for carbon monoxide, the weighted nonattainment or maintenance area population of the county, as determined under subparagraphs (A) to (F), inclusive, or subparagraph (H) of paragraph (2), shall be further multiplied by a factor of 1.2.

(4) Funds allocated under this subdivision shall remain available for three federal fiscal years, including the federal fiscal year apportioned. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the formula for distributing apportionments made to metropolitan planning organizations and transportation planning agencies eligible for funding according to subsection (b)(2) of Section 104 of Title 23 of the United States Code shall, for the 2007 and 2008 federal fiscal years, provide apportionments for the Monterey Bay and Santa Barbara regions such that each shall receive 50 percent of its 2005 apportionment in federal fiscal year 2007 and 25 percent of its 2005 apportionment in federal fiscal year 2008.

(c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), where county transportation commissions have been created by Division 12 (commencing with Section 130000) of the Public Utilities Code, all congestion mitigation and air quality program funds shall be further apportioned by the metropolitan planning organization to the county transportation commission on the basis of relative population within the federally designated air quality nonattainment and maintenance areas after first apportioning to the

86209

08/24/12 12:07 AM
RN 12 23512 PAGE 6
Substantive

nonattainment and maintenance areas in the manner and in accordance with the formula set forth in ~~subsection (b)(2) of Section 104 of Title 23 of the United States Code~~ subdivision (b).

In the Monterey Bay region, all congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program funds shall be further apportioned, on the basis of relative population, by the metropolitan planning organization to the regional transportation planning agencies designated under subdivision (b) of Section 29532 of the Government Code.

(d) The department shall notify each metropolitan planning organization, transportation planning agency, and county transportation commission receiving an apportionment under this section, as soon as possible each year, of the amount of obligational authority estimated to be available for expenditure from the federal apportionment. The metropolitan planning organizations, transportation planning agencies, and county transportation commissions, in cooperation with the department, congestion management agencies, cities and counties, and affected transit operators, shall select and program projects in conformance with federal law. Each metropolitan planning organization and transportation planning agency shall, not later than August 1 of each even-numbered year beginning in 1994, submit its transportation improvement program prepared pursuant to Section 134 of Title 23 of the United States Code to the department for incorporation into the state transportation improvement program.

(e) Not later than July 1 of each year, the metropolitan planning organizations and the regional transportation planning agencies receiving obligational authority under this section, shall notify the department of the projected amount of obligational authority that each entity intends to use during the remainder of the current federal fiscal year, including, but not limited to, a list of projects that will use the obligational authority. Any federal obligational authority that will not be used shall be redistributed by the department to other projects in a manner that ensures that the state will continue to compete for and receive increased obligational authority during the federal redistribution of obligational authority. If the department does not have sufficient federal apportionments to fully use excess obligational authority, the metropolitan planning organization or transportation planning agency relinquishing obligational authority shall make sufficient ~~apportionments~~ funding available to the department to fund alternate projects, when practical, within the geographical areas relinquishing the obligational authority. Notwithstanding this subdivision, the department shall comply with subsection (f) of Section 133 of Title 23 of the United States Code.

(f) The department shall be responsible for closely monitoring the use of federal transportation funds, including congestion management and air quality funds to assure full and timely use. The department shall prepare a quarterly report for submission to the commission regarding the progress in use of all federal transportation funds. The department shall notify the commission and the appropriate implementation agency whenever there is a failure to use federal funds within the three-year apportionment period established under paragraph (4) of subdivision (b).

(g) The department shall provide written notice to implementing agencies when there is one year remaining within the three-year apportionment period established under paragraph (4) of subdivision (b).

86209

08/24/12 12:07 AM
 RN 12 23512 PAGE 7
 Substantive

(h) Within six months of the date of notification required under subdivision (g), the implementing agency shall provide to the department a plan to obligate funds that includes, but need not be limited to, a list of projects and milestones.

(i) If the implementing agency has not met the milestones established in the implementation plan required under subdivision (h), prior to the end of the three-year apportionment period established under paragraph (4) of subdivision (b), the commission shall redirect those funds for use on other transportation projects in the state.

(j) Congestion mitigation and air quality program funds available under this section exchanged pursuant to Section 182.8 may be loaned to and expended by the department. The department shall repay from the State Highway Account to the Traffic Congestion Relief Fund all funds received as federal reimbursements for funds exchanged under Section 182.8 as they are received from the Federal Highway Administration, except that those repayments are not required to be made more frequently than on a quarterly basis.

(k) Prior to determining the amount for local subvention required by this section, the department shall first deduct the amount authorized by the Legislature for increased department oversight of the federal subvented program.

SEC. 4. Section 2331 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:

2331. The Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act-A Legacy for Users of 2005 (Public Law 109-059), also known as SAFETEA-LU, elevated the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) to a core program (23 U.S.C. Sec. 148). SAFETEA-LU authorized appropriations for programs relating to highway safety improvements that can reduce the number of fatal and serious injury accidents. The federal Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21; Public Law 112-141), continued the core HSIP program includes two set-aside programs: the railway-highway crossing program (23 U.S.C. Sec. 130) and the high-risk rural roads program (23 U.S.C. Sec. 148(f)). The purpose of this chapter is to implement these programs in this state. The commission, the department, boards of supervisors, and city councils are authorized to do all things necessary in their respective jurisdictions to secure and expend federal funds in accordance with the intent of ~~that federal act~~ law and this chapter, and to coordinate with local law enforcement agencies' community policing efforts.

SEC. 5. Section 2333 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:

2333. In each annual proposed budget prepared pursuant to Section 165, there shall be included an amount equal to the estimated apportionment available from the federal government for the programs described in Sections 2331 and 2333.5. The commission may allocate a portion of those funds each year for use on city streets and county roads, as permissible by federal law. It is the intent of the Legislature that the commission allocate the total funds received from the federal government under Section 148 of Title 23 of the United States Code in approximately equal amounts between state highways and local roads, to the extent permissible by federal law and after providing for a set aside for the Safe Routes to School Program described in Section 2333.5 that is equal to California's 2011-12 federal fiscal year apportionment for the Safe Routes to School Program as previously authorized under Section 1404 of SAFETEA-LU. To the extent that certain activities eligible under the Safe Routes to School Program as it existed under SAFETEA-LU are not eligible for funding under the HSIP Program as it exists under MAP-21, the department shall allocate a like

86209

08/24/12 12:07 AM
 RN 12 23512 PAGE 8
 Substantive

amount of replacement funds from any other federal funds available for obligation and expenditure by the department, including, but not limited to, Surface Transportation Program funds pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 182.51. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the share of any railroad of the cost of maintaining railroad crossing protection facilities funded, in whole or in part, by funds described in Section 2331 shall be the same share it would be if no federal funds were involved and the crossing protection facilities were funded pursuant to an order of the Public Utilities Commission pursuant to Section 1202 of the Public Utilities Code; and in case of dispute, the Public Utilities Commission shall determine that share pursuant to this section.

SEC. 6. Section 2333.5 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:

2333.5. (a) The department, in consultation with the Department of the California Highway Patrol, shall establish and administer a "Safe Routes to School" construction program for construction of bicycle and pedestrian safety and traffic calming projects to be funded in federal fiscal years 2012–13 and 2013–14, and as may be continued by federal law in subsequent years, as provided by the set aside from HSIP and otherwise in Section 2333.

(b) The department shall award grants to local governmental agencies under the program based on the results of a statewide competition that requires submission of proposals for funding and rates those proposals on all of the following factors:

- (1) Demonstrated needs of the applicant.
- (2) Potential of the proposal for reducing child injuries and fatalities.
- (3) Potential of the proposal for encouraging increased walking and bicycling among students.
- (4) Identification of safety hazards.
- (5) Identification of current and potential walking and bicycling routes to school.
- (6) Use of a public participation process, including, but not limited to, a public meeting that satisfies all of the following:
 - (A) Involves the public, schools, parents, teachers, local agencies, the business community, key professionals, and others.
 - (B) Identifies community priorities and gathers community input to guide the development of projects included in the proposal.
 - (C) Ensures that community priorities are reflected in the proposal.
 - (D) Secures support for the proposal by relevant stakeholders.
- (7) Benefit to a low-income school, defined for purposes of this section to mean a school where at least 75 percent of students are eligible to receive free or reduced-price meals under the National School Lunch Program.

(c) Any annual budget allocation to fund grants described in subdivision (b) shall be in addition to any federal funding received by the state that is designated for "Safe Routes to School" projects pursuant to Section 1404 of SAFETEA-LU or any similar program funded through a subsequent transportation act.

(d) Any federal funding received by the state that is designated for "Safe Routes to School" projects shall be distributed by the department under the competitive grant process, consistent with all applicable federal requirements.

(e) Prior to the award of any construction grant or the department's use of those funds for a "Safe Routes to School" construction project encompassing a freeway, state highway, or county road, the department shall consult with, and obtain approval from,

86209

08/24/12 12:07 AM
 RN 12 23512 PAGE 9
 Substantive

the Department of the California Highway Patrol, ensuring that the "Safe Routes to School" proposal complements the California Highway Patrol's Pedestrian Corridor Safety Program and is consistent with its statewide pedestrian safety statistical analysis.

(f) The department is encouraged to coordinate with law enforcement agencies' community policing efforts in establishing and maintaining the "Safe Routes to School" construction program.

(g) In the development of guidelines and procedures governing this program, the department shall fully consider the needs of low-income schools.

SEC. 7. The heading of Chapter 7.5 (commencing with Section 2370) of Division 3 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:

CHAPTER 7.5. FEDERAL FUNDS FOR TRANSPORTATION ~~ENHANCEMENTS~~ ALTERNATIVES

SEC. 8. Section 2370 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:

2370. As used in this chapter, the following terms have the following meanings:

(a) "Community conservation corps" shall have the same meaning as defined in Section 14507.5 of the Public Resources Code.

(b) "Transportation ~~enhancement~~ alternatives project" means a project constructed or undertaken with funds made available to the state pursuant to Section ~~133(b)(8)~~ 213 of Title 23 of the United States Code.

SEC. 9. Section 2371 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:

2371. (a) The department, in consultation with community conservation corps, the California Conservation Corps, the commission, regional transportation planning agencies, county transportation commissions or authorities, and congestion management agencies, shall develop criteria that give priority in the selection of projects to the sponsors of eligible projects that partner with, or commit to employ the services of, a community conservation corps or the California Conservation ~~Corp~~ Corps to construct or undertake the project.

(b) Regional transportation planning agencies, county transportation commissions or authorities, and congestion management agencies, when selecting candidates for transportation ~~enhancement~~ alternatives projects, shall utilize the criteria in subdivision (a) that give priority in the selection of projects to the sponsors of eligible projects that partner with, or commit to employ the services of, a community conservation corps or the California Conservation Corps to construct or undertake the project.

SEC. 10. Section 2372 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:

2372. The department, regional transportation planning agencies, county transportation commissions or authorities, or congestion management agencies shall be authorized to enter into cooperative agreements, grant agreements, or procurement contracts with community conservation corps pursuant to the simplified contract requirements authorized by Section 18.36(j) of Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations in order to enable community conservation corps to utilize transportation ~~enhancement~~ alternatives project funds.

SEC. 11. Section 2373 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:

2373. The commission, when developing guidelines for the state transportation improvement program and the state highway operations and protection program, shall include guidance to encourage the allocation of funds for transportation ~~enhancement~~

86209

08/24/12 12:07 AM
 RN 12 23512 PAGE 10
 Substantive

alternatives projects to qualified community conservation corps and the California Conservation Corps as partners with applicants that commit to employ the services of corps members in the construction of those projects.

SEC. 12. Section 2374 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:

2374. The criteria prepared pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 2373 and the guidelines prepared pursuant to Section 2371 relative to the allocation of funds for transportation ~~enhancement~~ alternatives projects to qualified community conservation corps and the California Conservation Corps shall further the purposes of this chapter.

SEC. 13. Section 2375 is added to the Streets and Highways Code, to read:

2375. Projects or activities eligible to be funded under this chapter as transportation alternatives projects may include any eligible projects or activities under Title 23 of the United States Code, including the following:

(a) Transportation alternatives, as defined in Section 101 of Title 23 of the United States Code.

(b) The federal Recreational Trails Program under Section 206 of Title 23 of the United States Code.

(c) The federal Safe Routes to School Program under Section 1404 of the SAFETEA-LU (23 U.S.C. Sec. 402; Public Law 109-59).

(d) Planning, designing, or constructing boulevards and other roadways largely in the right-of-way of former interstate system routes or other divided highways.

SEC. 14. Section 2376 is added to the Streets and Highways Code, to read:

2376. For each of the 2012–13 and 2013–14 fiscal years, the department shall allocate the funds reserved by the United States Secretary of Transportation from the state's apportionments to implement transportation alternatives projects as provided in this section:

(a) (1) Fifty percent in each fiscal year shall be allocated to, and may be obligated by, metropolitan transportation organizations in proportion to their relative shares of the population of the state in the following areas:

(A) In urbanized areas of the state with an urbanized area population of over 200,000.

(B) In areas of the state other than urban areas with a population greater than 5,000 and less than or equal to 200,000.

(C) In other areas of the state.

(2) Fifty percent may be obligated in any area of the state.

(b) Funds attributed to an urbanized area under paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) may be obligated in the metropolitan area established under Section 134 of Title 23 of the United States Code that encompasses the urbanized area.

(c) Except as provided in paragraph (2) of subdivision (a), the amount of funds that the department is required to obligate under subparagraph (A) of paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) shall be obligated in urbanized areas described in that subparagraph based on the relative population of the areas.

(d) The allocation of funds required under subdivision (a) shall be after the deduction of a set aside equal to the amount of the funds apportioned to the state for federal fiscal year 2009 under Section 104(h)(2) of Title 23 of the United States Code to be obligated for projects relating to recreational trails under Section 206 of Title 23 of the United States Code. The state shall return 1 percent of those set aside funds to the United States Secretary of Transportation for the administration of that program

86209

08/24/12 12:07 AM
 RN 12 23512 PAGE 11
 Substantive

as provided in Section 2380. The state shall also comply with the provisions of the administration of the recreational trails program under Section 206 of Title 23 of the United States Code, including the use of apportioned funds described under subsection (d)(3)(A) of that section.

SEC. 15. Section 2377 is added to the Streets and Highways Code, to read:

2377. (a) The department or metropolitan planning organization, as applicable, shall each develop a competitive process to allow eligible entities to submit projects for funding that achieve the objectives of eligible projects and activities.

(b) "Eligible entity" means all of the following:

- (1) A local government.
- (2) A regional transportation authority.
- (3) A transit agency.
- (4) A natural resource or public land agency.
- (5) A school district, local educational agency, or school.
- (6) A tribal government.

(7) Any other local or regional governmental entity with responsibility for or oversight of transportation or recreational trails, other than a metropolitan planning organization or the state, that the state determines to be eligible, consistent with the goals of this section.

(c) For funds suballocated to metropolitan planning areas for urbanized areas of the state with an urbanized area population of over 200,000, the metropolitan planning organization shall select projects carried out within the boundaries of the applicable metropolitan planning area, in consultation with the department.

(d) Notwithstanding subdivisions (a) and (c), where county transportation commissions have been created by Division 12 (commencing with Section 130000) of the Public Utilities Code, all transportation alternatives funds shall be further suballocated by the metropolitan planning organization to the county transportation commission on the basis of relative population and the county transportation commission shall develop the competitive selection process.

SEC. 16. Section 2378 is added to the Streets and Highways Code, to read:

2378. For funds suballocated to a metropolitan planning area under subparagraph (A) of paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) of Section 2376, the metropolitan planning organization or county transportation commission created by Division 12 (commencing with Section 130000) of the Public Utilities Code shall select projects carried out within the boundaries of the applicable metropolitan planning area, in consultation with the department.

SEC. 17. Section 2379 is added to the Streets and Highways Code, to read:

2379. Beginning with the 2012–13 fiscal year, if on August 1 of that fiscal year the unobligated balance of available funds reserved by the state under Section 213 of Title 23 of the United States Code exceeds 100 percent of the reserved amount in a fiscal year, the department may thereafter obligate the amount of excess funds for any eligible activity, as follows:

(a) An activity that is eligible to receive funding under Section 2375.

(b) An activity for which the United States Secretary of Transportation has approved the obligation of funds for any state under Section 149 of Title 23 of the United States Code.

SEC. 18. Section 2380 is added to the Streets and Highways Code, to read:

86209

08/24/12 12:07 AM
RN 12 23512 PAGE 12
Substantive

2380. (a) The department shall obligate an amount of funds reserved under Section 213 of Title 23 of the United States Code equal to the amount of the funds apportioned to the state for the 2009–10 fiscal year under Section 104(h)(2) of Title 23 of the United States Code for projects relating to recreational trails under Section 206 of Title 23 of the United States Code.

(b) The department shall return 1 percent of those funds to the United States Secretary of Transportation for the administration of that program.

(c) The department shall comply with the provisions of the administration of the federal Recreational Trails Program under Section 206 of Title 23 of the United States Code, including the use of apportioned funds described under subsection (d)(3)(A) of that section.

SEC. 19. Section 2381 is added to the Streets and Highways Code, to read:

2381. The state may opt out of the federal Recreational Trails Program under subsection (f) of Section 213 of Title 23 of the United States Code if the Governor notifies the United States Secretary of Transportation not later than 30 days prior to apportionments being made for any fiscal year.

Amendment 3

On page 1, strike out lines 1 and 2

- 0 -

From: "(DLAWUA) DLA Website Update Announcement"
<dla-website-updates-announce@lists.dot.ca.gov>
To: <dla-website-updates-announce@lists.dot.ca.gov>
Date: 07/31/12 12:13 PM
Subject: [DLAWUA] List Server Announcement: DLA-OB 12-05 "Revised Form FHWA-1273 for Federal-aid Construction Contracts"

Announcement: DLA-OB 12-05 - "Revised Form FHWA-1273 for Federal-aid Construction Contracts" has been posted to the Local Assistance website at http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/DLA_OB/DLA_OB.htm.

The revised Form FHWA-1273 dated May 1, 2012 shall be physically included in the contract of all Federal-aid construction projects authorized on or after August 9, 2012; and of all Federal-aid construction projects advertised on or after September 1, 2012

Change: The current Form FHWA-1273 has been superseded by a revised Form FHWA-1273 dated May 1, 2012 which is to be physically included in the Federal-aid construction contract.

Impacts: Failure to include the revised Form FHWA-1273 dated May 1, 2012 in the contract could make the contract ineligible for federal reimbursement.

Contact: Eugene Shy, telephone (916) 651 6552, or email eugene.shy@dot.ca.gov

DLA-Website-Updates-Announce mailing list
DLA-Website-Updates-Announce@lists.dot.ca.gov
<http://lists.dot.ca.gov/mailman/listinfo/dla-website-updates-announce>

From: "(DLAWUA) DLA Website Update Announcement"
<dla-website-updates-announce@lists.dot.ca.gov>
To: <dla-website-updates-announce@lists.dot.ca.gov>
Date: 08/30/12 1:18 PM
Subject: [DLAWUA] New Federal Requirements added to Sample Notice to Bidders and Special Provisions (Boilerplate)

Announcement: Updated Sample Notice to Bidders and Special Provisions has been posted on the Local Assistance website at: http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/sam_boil/sam_boil.htm

Change: New FHWA-1273, May 1, 2012, (DLA Office Bulletin 12-05)and new Race Conscious DBE (DLA Office Bulletin 12-04)Specification Language have been updated in the Sample Notice to Bidders and Special Provisions on the DLA website.

Impacts: Impacts Federal-aid projects. The new FHWA-1273 must be used on all Federal-aid projects authorized after August 9, 2012 and or advertised after September 1, 2012. The new race conscious specifications must be used on all Federal-aid projects authorized after July 1, 2012 and or advertised after August 17, 2012.

Contact: Questions regarding this change should be directed to: Henry Wells at (530) 651-8911 or henry_wells@dot.ca.gov

DLA-Website-Updates-Announce mailing list
DLA-Website-Updates-Announce@lists.dot.ca.gov
<http://lists.dot.ca.gov/mailman/listinfo/dla-website-updates-announce>

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

DIVISION OF DESIGN

OFFICE OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS

1120 N STREET, MS-28

SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

PHONE (916) 654-2589

FAX (916) 654-4097

TTY 711

www.dot.ca.gov

*Flex your power!
Be energy efficient!*

August 15, 2012

Mr. Wil Ridder, AICP
Chair, Regional Transportation Planning Agency
Senior Regional Planner,
San Joaquin Council of Governments
555 East Webber Avenue
Stockton, CA 95202

Dear Mr. Ridder:

Caltrans is proposing a new maintenance article for inclusion with all future cooperative agreements between the Department of Transportation (Caltrans) and the Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) members.

Currently, the logic behind standard cooperative agreements assumes that any partner with which Caltrans is entering into the cooperative agreement actually performs long-term maintenance. Transportation agencies typically do not perform maintenance. Therefore, the standard "maintenance agreement articles" included in cooperative agreements between Caltrans and a transportation agency is inadequate and new language is being proposed.

INTENT

The intent of the proposed article is to more appropriately align the roles, responsibilities and expectations for the development of maintenance agreements when Caltrans enters into a cooperative agreement with a transportation agency.

Transportation agencies typically do not perform maintenance and therefore should not be expected to enter into maintenance agreements with Caltrans. If the scope of work associated with the project (that is being advanced through a cooperative agreement between Caltrans and a transportation agency) requires future sharing of maintenance, Caltrans will work directly with the appropriate city or county to complete the maintenance agreement. However Caltrans may require assistance from the transportation agency. The transportation agency is in a unique position to encourage a city or county to work with Caltrans to complete a maintenance agreement (when one is necessary).

Page 2

PROPOSED ARTICLE

“Caltrans and the local agency having land use jurisdiction adjacent to the project limits will develop and execute a new or amended maintenance agreement prior to completion of work. [RTPA member] will encourage the local agency to work with Caltrans in good faith.”

Should any RTPA member desire to comment, they are encouraged to respond to Chuong Truong via email at Chuong_T_Truong@dot.ca.gov, or by mail to the attention of Mr. Truong at the address posted in the letter head.

I am hopeful that the mutual interests of Caltrans and the RTPA can be served through strong partnering and open channels of communication.

Sincerely,

GARY GUTIERREZ
California Department of Transportation
Division of Design
Chief, Office of Cooperative Agreements

From: "(DLAWUA) DLA Website Update Announcement"
<dla-website-updates-announce@lists.dot.ca.gov>
To: <dla-website-updates-announce@lists.dot.ca.gov>
Date: 08/22/12 8:24 AM
Subject: [DLAWUA] Fw: Local Assistance Bridge Program Accepting Project Applications

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted by Eric Bost () on Wednesday, August 22, 2012 at 08:16:19

Announcement: Denix Anbiah, Chief, Division of Local Assistance has issued a letter to all cities and counties informing them that federal funds are available under MAP-21 to rehabilitate and replace deficient bridges and to fund bridge preventive maintenance activities. Caltrans will continue to use existing procedures to program these projects under MAP-21. For details, please see the letter at this link: <http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/>

Contact: Caltrans District Local Assistance Engineers
<http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/dlae.htm>

DLA-Website-Updates-Announce mailing list
DLA-Website-Updates-Announce@lists.dot.ca.gov
<http://lists.dot.ca.gov/mailman/listinfo/dla-website-updates-announce>

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

DIVISION OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE

P.O. BOX 942873, MS-1

SACRAMENTO, CA 94273-0001

PHONE (916) 653-1776

FAX (916) 654-2409

TTY 711

www.dots.ca.gov

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 4A

*Flex your power!
Be energy efficient!*

August 20, 2012

To All Cities and Counties in California

Dear Directors:

Under the new federal "Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act" (MAP-21), federal funds are available to rehabilitate or replace deficient bridges in California. The purpose of this letter is to request that your agency review your inventory of public highway bridges that potentially need replacement, rehabilitation, or preventive maintenance. Your agency should take action to address any public safety issues and to extend the life of your agency's bridge inventory.

Caltrans has posted on its Structures Maintenance and Investigations (SM&I) web site the inventory of all local public highway bridges. Bridges eligible for major reconstruction or replacement have a Sufficiency Rating (SR) less than or equal to 80 and are flagged Structurally Deficient (SD) or Functionally Obsolete (FO) by federal inspection coding standards. These bridges have deficiencies that should be addressed by each bridge owner. The bridge list may be found on this link:

<http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/structur/strmaint/localbrlist.pdf>

Please review Chapter 6 of the Local Assistance Program Guidelines, for application forms and requirements. Bridges that are off the federal aid system are eligible for 100% federal reimbursement.

Applications for federal funds should be submitted to your District Local Assistance Engineer (DLAE), no later than September 30th, and January 31st of each year to ensure timely inclusion into the Local Assistance Bridge Program and the Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP). See Office Bulletin 10-01 for the Bridge Program-FTIP procedures.

Caltrans also requests your agency to develop a bridge preventive maintenance program to keep bridges that are in good condition from becoming deficient. Guidelines/requirements for requesting federal funds to develop local agency bridge preventive maintenance programs are in the Local Assistance HBP web site:

<http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/hbrr99hbrr99a.htm>

"Caltrans improves mobility across California"

If you have any questions, please contact your District Local Assistance Engineer.

Sincerely,



DENIX D. ANBIAH
Chief
Division of Local Assistance

From: "(DLAWUA) DLA Website Update Announcement"
<dla-website-updates-announce@lists.dot.ca.gov>
To: <dla-website-updates-announce@lists.dot.ca.gov>
Date: 08/22/12 8:41 AM
Subject: [DLAWUA] State-legislated Safe Routes to School (SR2S) Program New Program Delivery Status Reports

Announcement: A New Quarterly Program Status Report and the SR2S Program Delivery Requirements has been posted to the Local Assistance website
at: http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/saferoutes/sr2s_delivery_status.htm

Change: The Department of Transportation (Caltrans) is now requiring local agencies to meet specific delivery timelines for the state-legislated SR2S Program.

Impacts: Agencies with existing SR2S projects that are not meeting these timelines during a future funding cycle will not be able to compete for additional funds until their projects are back on schedule.

Contact: Questions or comments regarding this change should be directed to the District Local Assistance Engineer.

Submit: Submit

DLA-Website-Updates-Announce mailing list
DLA-Website-Updates-Announce@lists.dot.ca.gov
<http://lists.dot.ca.gov/mailman/listinfo/dla-website-updates-announce>

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR
P.O. BOX 942873, MS-49
SACRAMENTO, CA 94273-0001
PHONE (916) 654-5266
FAX (916) 654-6608
TTY 711
www.dot.ca.gov



*Flex your power!
Be energy efficient!*

August 28, 2012

Dear Transportation Partner,

As you are likely aware, the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) recently announced a unique opportunity for transportation stimulus. The USDOT is making available \$473 million in unspent highway earmarks from FY2003-2006 for other projects "that will create jobs and help improve transportation." The move is intended to speed the stimulus and job creation impact of federal transportation spending by freeing earmark funding for projects that may have lost momentum. Of the \$473 million available nationwide, approximately \$43 million is currently earmarked for projects in California. A complete list of the earmarked projects and the press release from the USDOT is available at <http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/pressroom/redisfy0306earmarks.htm>

According to the USDOT, state departments of transportation will have the ability to use their unspent earmarked highway funds on any eligible surface transportation project. In essence, the USDOT is allowing earmark funding to be used either on the original earmarked project, or on any other eligible project. In either case, the federal funds must be obligated by December 31, 2012. Additionally, by October 1, 2012, states must submit to the USDOT a list of projects that will use the funds, whether they are the original earmarked project or a replacement project. If any state is unable to obligate the funds by December 31, 2012, then the unobligated funds will be lost to that state and redistributed to other states that were able to do so.

Caltrans' Division of Local Assistance has already begun contacting each recipient/sponsor of the earmark projects listed. The recipient of each earmark will be asked if the federal funds earmarked for the project can be obligated by the December deadline. That information is due by September 3, 2012. If the original grant recipient is unable to obligate the funds, Caltrans requests that the earmark recipient work with their Regional Transportation Agency to identify an alternative project that could be obligated by December 31, 2012. We are currently working with the California Transportation Commission to evaluate the extent and method to which these funds can be applied to new projects. If no replacement project can be found, then the state may use the funds on a STIP or SHOPP project.

Transportation Partner
August 28, 2012
Page 2

The timeline for responding to the USDOT with a final list of projects to use the available funding is rather short, so we will be moving quickly to meet the requirements of the reallocation. If California is successful in obligating all of the funds, the state will be eligible for any redistribution of unused balances. California has been eminently successful in past efforts to capture redistributed funds, and I urge everyone to act swiftly to do so again.

Please continue to work with Caltrans' Division of Local Assistance to expedite this effort.

Sincerely,



MALCOLM DOUGHERTY
Director

cc: Denix Anibah, Chief, Division of Local Assistance



**METROPOLITAN
TRANSPORTATION
COMMISSION**

Joseph P. Bort MetroCenter
101 Eighth Street
Oakland, CA 94607-4700
TEL 510.817.5700
TDD/TTY 510.817.5769
FAX 510.817.5848
E-MAIL info@mtc.ca.gov
WEB www.mtc.ca.gov

Memorandum

TO: CMA Directors and Programming Staff

DATE: September 5, 2012

FR: Alix Bockelman and Sri Srinivasan, MTC

RE: Postponement of the 2013 TIP Adoption

Staff is recommending postponement of the 2013 TIP adoption to achieve better alignment with the Plan Bay Area development schedule. Staff is proposing to amend the current TIP to include funding in the outer years of the 2011 TIP (FY 2012-13 and FY 2013-14) for projects requiring near-term federal action.

Background:

The federally required Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is a comprehensive listing of Bay Area surface transportation capital projects that receive federal funds or are subject to a federally required action or are regionally significant. MTC, as the federally designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the nine-county San Francisco Bay Area Region, prepares and adopts the TIP at least once every four years. Federal regulations also require an opportunity for public comment prior to TIP approval.

The Draft 2013 TIP and accompanying Transportation-Air Quality Conformity Analysis were released for public review and comment on June 22, 2012. MTC held a public hearing on July 11, 2012, and the comment period closed on August 2, 2012.

Several commenters noted the timing mismatch between the scheduled adoption of the 2013 TIP and Plan Bay Area. MTC and the other Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) in California have historically followed a Caltrans directed update schedule (that is consistent statewide) to update the TIP every two years. However, staff is recommending postponement of the 2013 TIP adoption to achieve better alignment with the Plan Bay Area development schedule, with an anticipated adoption in spring 2013.

Staff will continue the Draft 2013 TIP development through the fall and winter, and make necessary changes as a result of the adoption of Plan Bay Area. The revised 2013 TIP adoption schedule will provide additional opportunities for public review.

Next Steps:

Given the proposed deferral in the adoption of the TIP, staff has reviewed the project changes requested in the 2013 TIP and is recommending to move forward with three routine revisions to the 2011 TIP:

- Two administrative modifications (2011-26 and 2011-27): Among other changes, the two administrative modifications
 - Updates the funding plan of the several projects to reprogram funds from FY 2011-12 to FY2012-13 or FY 2013-14, consistent with the changes made as part of the 2013 TIP process;
 - Updates the funding plan and back-up lists of some grouped listings and splits out the programming for fiscal years 2013 onwards to form new listings, per Caltrans' request, to reflect CTC actions or commission actions for changes in regional programs;
 - Updates the funding plan of several transit projects to reflect changes in the Transit Capital Priority program (FY 2011-12 POP changes); and
 - Per sponsor requests, updates the funding plan to change the programming year of unobligated earmarks and other federal funds.
- One amendment (2011-28): Among other changes, the revision:
 - Updates the funding plans of several projects to reflect programming actions taken by federal agencies - Federal Highway Administration (FHWA); Federal Transit Administration (FTA); the state department of transportation - Caltrans and the MTC Commission;
 - Adds two new non-exempt projects and five exempt projects to the TIP;
 - Deletes four duplicate projects from the TIP; and
 - Updates the funding plan and back-up listing of eight Caltrans managed grouped listings to reflect the latest information from Caltrans and splits out the programming for fiscal years 2013 onwards to form new listings, per Caltrans' request, with a net increase in SHOPP programming of \$254.4 million.

These routine revisions will make many of the changes requested as part of the 2013 TIP update. In addition, staff has analyzed the projects to ensure that projects that had funding in the four years of the 2013 TIP still have funding in the latter two years of the 2011 TIP (FY2012-13 and FY2013-14). Changes not recommended at this time include project archiving and project schedule delays – these changes will occur with the 2013 TIP. The revised 2011 TIP, covering the four-year period of FY 2010-11 through FY 2013-14, will be submitted to Caltrans by October 1, 2012. This TIP will be included as part of the 2013 Federal Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (2013 FSTIP) which is on schedule for federal approval in late December 2012.

Until MTC adopts an updated 2013 TIP in spring 2013, the 2011 TIP is considered to be active. However, given that the FSTIP covers the four-year period of FY 2012-13 through FY 2015-16, Caltrans may only recognize two years (FY 2012-13 and FY 2013-14). Funds programmed in any other year may be considered to be for informational purposes only. To reiterate, a project with no funding in FY 2012-13 and FY 2013-14 will likely require a TIP revision for any federal action to occur.

TIP Update Memo
September 5, 2012

If, based on your review of the proposed 2011 TIP revisions above, you have proposed revisions that are necessary to support a near-term federal action, please let MTC staff know as soon as possible, preferably before September 10th.

We appreciate and recognize the significant amount of time that many of you have put into the 2013 TIP development process. The efforts to-date will not be lost in the proposed extended 2013 TIP development process.

If you have questions or concerns, please contact Sri Srinivasan (ssrinivasan@mtc.ca.gov) or Adam Crenshaw (acrenshaw@mtc.ca.gov).

J:\COMMITTE\Partnership\Partnership TFWG\Transit Finance WG\2012\12 Memos\09_September\TIP Development update.doc



METROPOLITAN
TRANSPORTATION
COMMISSION

Joseph P. Bort MetroCenter
101 Eighth Street
Oakland, CA 94607-4700
TEL 510.817.5700
TDD/TTY 510.817.5769
FAX 510.817.5848
E-MAIL info@mtc.ca.gov
WEB www.mtc.ca.gov

Memorandum

TO: Local Streets and Roads Working Group

DATE: September 13, 2012

FR: Amy Burch

RE: P-TAP 14 Call for Projects

The Pavement Management Technical Assistance Program (P-TAP) provides Bay Area jurisdictions with expertise in implementing and maintaining a pavement management program, primarily the MTC StreetSaver® software. MTC has programmed over \$10.6 million in regional Surface Transportation Program (STP) funds during the last thirteen rounds of P-TAP. In total, MTC has funded about 520 projects and assisted all Bay Area jurisdictions with their pavement needs.

MTC is soliciting applications for Round 14 projects (see attached). The application is now available online and will be due **October 15, 2012 by 4:00 p.m.** Please follow the following link to apply for P-TAP 14: <http://new.streetsaveronline.com/forms/ptap14application>

MTC will notify grant finalists in December, 2012, contingent upon Administration Committee approval. All eligible Bay Area cities and counties are encouraged to apply.

Feel free to contact me at 510-817-5735 and aburch@mtc.ca.gov with questions.



METROPOLITAN
TRANSPORTATION
COMMISSION

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 5B
Joseph P. Bort MetroCenter
101 Eighth Street
Oakland, CA 94607-4700
TEL 510.817.5700
TTY/TDD 510.817.5769
FAX 510.817.5848
E-MAIL info@mtc.ca.gov
WEB www.mtc.ca.gov

September 14, 2012

Adrienne J. Tissier, Chair
San Mateo County

Amy Rein Worth, Vice Chair
Cities of Contra Costa County

Tom Azumbrado
U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development

Tom Bates
Cities of Alameda County

David Campos
City and County of San Francisco

Dave Cortese
Santa Clara County

Bill Dodd
Napa County and Cities

Dorene M. Giacopini
U.S. Department of Transportation

Federal D. Glover
Contra Costa County

Mark Green
Association of Bay Area Governments

Scott Haggerty
Alameda County

Anne W. Halsted
San Francisco Bay Conservation
and Development Commission

Steve Kinsey
Marin County and Cities

Sam Liccardo
Cities of Santa Clara County

Jake Mackenzie
Sonoma County and Cities

Kevin Mullin
Cities of San Mateo County

Bijan Sartipi
State Business, Transportation
and Housing Agency

James P. Spering
Solano County and Cities

Scott Wiener
San Francisco Mayor's Appointee

Steve Heminger
Executive Director

Ann Flemer
Deputy Executive Director, Policy

Andrew B. Fremier
Deputy Executive Director, Operations

Cities and Counties
San Francisco Bay Area

RE: Pavement Management Technical Assistance Program (P-TAP) Round 14

Dear Public Works Directors of the San Francisco Bay Area:

MTC is soliciting projects for the Pavement Management Technical Assistance Program (P-TAP) Round 14. Applications are due **Monday, October 15, 2012 by 4:00 p.m.** MTC expects to formally confirm finalists in December, contingent upon Administration Committee approval.

All eligible Bay Area cities and counties are encouraged to apply. This includes jurisdictions that previously applied for P-TAP funds but were not selected and past P-TAP recipients that may need additional funds to implement, maintain or update specific components of their pavement management program (PMP).

P-TAP provides Bay Area jurisdictions with assistance and expertise in implementing and maintaining a PMP. The program's success has enabled P-TAP to expand assistance to all Bay Area cities and counties. MTC has programmed over \$10.6 million in regional Surface Transportation Program (STP) funds during the last thirteen rounds of P-TAP. In total, MTC has funded about 520 projects and assisted all Bay Area jurisdictions with their pavement needs.

Jurisdictions applying for a P-TAP grant will have the option of selecting from the following types of projects: 1) Pavement Management Systems (PMS) projects, and 2) roadway design projects including the development of Plans, Specifications, and Estimates (PS&E). MTC may consider other projects related to pavement management pending availability of funds.

Through P-TAP, MTC has retained qualified consulting firms to provide assistance to Bay Area jurisdictions for eligible pavement projects. MTC will select the most appropriate firm to assign to each awarded jurisdiction based on the firm's expertise, jurisdictions' previous experience with the firm, the jurisdiction's preference, and the firm's geographic proximity with the jurisdiction. MTC does not guarantee that jurisdictions will be assigned their preferred firm.

Jurisdictions are expected to work directly with P-TAP consultants to complete the projects. Attachment A outlines the jurisdiction's and consultant's responsibilities for

their P-TAP project. By accepting a P-TAP grant, jurisdictions authorize MTC and their assigned consulting firms to inspect their roadways.

Projects will be selected on the basis of the scoring criteria that staff presented to the San Francisco Bay Area Partnership Technical Advisory Committee (PTAC) on September 21, 2009 and as updated with the Local Streets and Roads Working Group on September 8, 2011 (Attachment B). This includes scores for the type of project; the number of centerline miles in a jurisdiction; when a jurisdiction last received a P-TAP grant; and certification status.

For Round 14, approximately \$1.5 million in federal funds is expected to be available for programming. Jurisdictions will also be awarded up to two years subscription to StreetSaver® On-line. The minimum grant amount awarded will be \$10,000 with a maximum cap of \$100,000 awarded per jurisdiction. The project amount awarded will include both the MTC's STP contribution as well as a local contribution of 20%. The local contribution includes the local match of 11.47%; the remaining 8.53% pays for the StreetSaver® subscription referenced above.

You can determine your jurisdiction's maximum eligible award amount by multiplying \$300 by the number of centerline miles in your jurisdiction. For example, if a jurisdiction has 50 centerline miles of road, then the maximum amount of project funding would be \$15,000 (the local contribution will be 20% of \$15,000, which is \$3,000).

The actual award amount for individual jurisdictions will depend on the number of eligible applications received. The local contribution requirement of 20% of the grant sum needs to be paid to MTC by the local jurisdiction prior to the start of the project, and **no later than February 15, 2013**. Failure to submit local contribution by the February 15 deadline will result in the loss of funding for the project, and removal from the P-TAP round. MTC staff will then select an alternate project for participation in this round.

All P-TAP 14 projects will be implemented in Fiscal Year 2012-13, and need to be completed by April 30, 2014. MTC will directly reimburse consultants working on P-TAP projects. Project sponsors are responsible for project costs exceeding the P-TAP grant amount. All grants are subject to availability of funds allocated for P-TAP by MTC. If your jurisdiction would like to participate in P-TAP, please complete the application form online:

<http://new.streetsaveronline.com/forms/ptap14application>

Applications are due on **October 15, 2012 by 4:00 p.m.** For additional information contact: Amy Burch, Project Manager, at (510) 817-5735 or Sui Tan at (510) 817-5844.

Sincerely,

Alix Bockelman
Director, Programming and Allocations

P-TAP Round 14 Grant Requirements

This document provides an overview of the grant requirements for P-TAP Round 14, including schedule deadlines and deliverables checklists for all P-TAP projects, and budget options reports, information on GIS linkage and certification letters for Pavement Management System (PMS) projects. The Regional Pavement Condition Report is also discussed.

Schedule Deadlines

<u>Task #</u>	<u>Activity/Deliverable – PMS Projects</u>	<u>Due Date</u>
1	Workscope, Schedule and Budget	June 1, 2013
2	Condition Survey Data and System Updates	October 1, 2013
3	Budget Analysis, Calculations and Reports	December 1, 2013
4	Budget Options Report (Final Report)	April 30, 2014

<u>Task #</u>	<u>Activity/Deliverable – PS&E Projects</u>	<u>Due Date</u>
1	Workscope, Schedule and Budget	June 1, 2013
2	35% of Workscope Tasks	October 1, 2013
3	95% of Workscope Tasks	December 1, 2013
4	100% of Submittal (Final Report)	April 30, 2014

Schedule Milestones

September 14, 2012 MTC advertises call for projects
 October 15, 2012 Applications due to MTC
 December 2012 MTC notifies grant finalists after Administration Committee approval
 February 15, 2013 Local contribution checks due to MTC
 March/April, 2013 Projects start
 May 1, 2013 Deadline to set up StreetSaver® Online account profile (new/desktop users only)
 April 30, 2014 Final Report due to MTC

Deliverables Checklists

Attachments A-1 and A-2 provide examples of the deliverables checklists for PMS and PS&E projects. MTC requires that agency staff sign off on deliverables before paying consultants for work completed. The checklists provide agencies with an additional measure of quality control.

Budget Options Reports (BOR) – PMS Projects

Attachment A-3 is an outline of the required components for a BOR (e.g., final report).

GIS Linkage and Maps – PMS Projects

Starting in P-TAP Round 12, GIS linkage is mandatory for all PMS projects. There are two options available to meet this GIS requirement: the jurisdiction may either do the linkage in-house or ask the P-TAP consultant to complete the links to the agency's base map. If a jurisdiction has already

established a GIS map separate from the TIGER maps available in StreetSaver®, but has not yet linked the map to pavement data, MTC provides a one-time GIS mapping integration service for \$1,500 that may be included as part of the P-TAP grant amount. For more information on the GIS Mapping Integration, please go to the Pavement Management Program website:

<http://www.mtcpms.org/products/index.html>

Certification Letters – PMS Projects

Agencies are required to sign and mail their certification letters to MTC within two weeks of receipt from their P-TAP consultant. Certification letters for Round 14 are due to MTC by April 30, 2014.

For more information on the certification letters, and for a sample letter, please go to:

<http://www.mtcpms.org/ptap/cert.html>

Regional Pavement Condition Report – PMS Projects

Using data from StreetSaver®, MTC performs condition summaries of the region's roadways. These summaries are published on an annual basis in MTC's State of the System Report, designed to provide the public with an overall assessment of the region's transportation network. In order for MTC to include your jurisdiction's current conditions, please ensure that your P-TAP consultant completes Task 2 - Condition Survey Data and System Updates - by November 30, 2013.

Feel free to contact me with any questions at 510.817.5735 and aburch@mtc.ca.gov on P-TAP.

Attachments

- A-1) Deliverables Checklist for PMS Projects
- A-2) Deliverables Checklist for PS&E Projects
- A-3) Budget Options Report Overview

Consultant: _____ Agency: _____ Sign-off by: _____ <i>Please print full name</i> _____ Date: _____ PTAP - PMS Project Deliverable Checklist	Workscope, Schedule, & Budget	Condition Survey Data and System Update	Budget Analysis, Calculations & Reports	Final Project Report	Remarks
Local Match Received Project Kick-Off Roles/Responsibilities Communication Protocol Conflict Resolution Traffic Control Discussed Expectations (Performance Review, BOR, etc) Scope of Work Defined QA/QC Plan Submitted Final Agreed Upon Price Schedule / Completion Date Estimate of Hours of each Task Jurisdiction Staff Initial - Above Work Completed					
Inventory Reviewed & Audited Sectionalized Streets As Needed M&R Update Performed Reinspection (___% network) Remediation Work Documented Agency participated in Field Survey? (Yes/No) Jurisdiction Staff Initial - Above Work Completed					
Verified Agency's budget assumptions Checked Interest & Inflation Rates Run 5-year Analysis Review Decision Trees Unit Costs Update Developed Multi-Year Work Plan Impacts Shown on GIS Maps Executive Summary Discussion of Pros/Cons of Current Practice Recommendations Discussion of Final Results w/ Agency Jurisdiction Staff Initial - Above Work Completed					
Final Report Local Acknowledgement of Data Acceptance Agency's DB Disconnection (Email Sui Tan) Prepare and Sign PMS Certification Jurisdiction Staff Initial - Above Work Completed					

Note: All invoices submitted with each deliverable must include:

- Project Name (PTAP 14, City or County of _____)
- Amount of Current Invoice
- Contract Amount
- Amount Invoiced to Date
- Contract Balance

Consultant: _____
 Agency: _____
 Sign-off By: Please print full name
 Date: _____

PTAP - PS&E Project Checklist

Phase I: General Administration

Phase II: Pavement Evaluation Report

Phase III: Design Development

Remarks

Phase I: General Administration

- Local Match Received
- Project Kick-Off Meeting
- Roles/Responsibilities
- Communication Protocol
- Scope of Work Defined
- Limit of Work Area Identified
- Final Agreed Upon Price
- Schedule / Completion Date
- Estimate of Hours Per Task
- Work Scope Delivered to MTC for Approval
- Jurisdiction Staff Initial - Above Work Completed

Phase II: Pavement Evaluation Report

- Deflection Testing
- Coring & R-value Sampling
- R-value Testing
- Visual Evaluation of Surface Conditions
- Drainage Problems Identified
- ADA / Accessibility Problems Identified
- Traffic Circulation Problems Identified
- Photographs of Site Conditions
- Cost Estimate Prepared for Each Segment
- Recommendations Prepared From Investigation
- Delivery of Pavement Evaluation Report
- Jurisdiction Staff Initial - Above Work Completed

Phase III: Design Development

- Scaled Base Plans Created
- Utility Research
- Areas of Rehabilitation/Maintenance Items Shown
- Notes, Legends, etc. Included on Plans
- Construction Details Included on Plans
- Title Sheet w/Location Map, Legend, General Notes
- Cost Estimate of all Items of Work for Each Segment
- Bid Package Prepared
- General and Supplemental Conditions Prepared
- Technical Specifications Prepared
- Delivery of PS&E at 35% completion for City Review
- Meet with City Staff to Discuss Redline Comments
- Delivery of PS&E at 65% completion for City Review
- Meet with City Staff to Discuss Redline Comments
- Delivery of PS&E at 100% completion
- Jurisdiction Staff Initial - Above Work Completed

Budget Options Report (BOR): Components of a Quality Report P-TAP

■ Audience

- Technical level – maintenance and engineering personnel
- Policy level – Public Works Directors, City Managers, County Executives, City Councils
- MTC encourages local jurisdictions and P-TAP consultants to present results/recommendations to policy level personnel

■ Purpose

- Translates technical analysis into pavement repair options
- Links needs analysis with annual and multi-year programming
- Shows impacts of varying levels of budgets which may increase funding
- Provides most cost-effective pavement repair recommendations
- Facilitates securing management buy-in to obtain policy board approvals
- Provides MTC with insight into jurisdictions' pavement management programs and policies

■ Content

- Executive Summary
 - Background explanations to define concepts, establish the BOR context
 - Highlights current/future pavement conditions and needs
 - Highlights past funding levels for pavement maintenance and rehabilitation with estimates for current/future anticipated revenue
 - Summarizes minimum three scenarios with clear depiction of impacts:
 - Maintain annual budget estimates over the next five years
 - Maintain existing PCI over the next five years
 - Increase current PCI by five-points over the next five years
 - GIS – for three budget scenarios analyses, show impacts through the use of GIS maps in the StreetSaver® GIS Toolbox
 - **Suggestions that clearly lay out realistic options, for example:**
 - Budget
 - Maintain current funding in order to maintain PCI, or
 - Increase budget to \$X in order to improve PCI to X
 - Pavement Maintenance – promote pavement preservation principles to capture cost savings
 - Policy Recommendations – Explore possibility of assessing impact fees based on garbage/recycling truck routes
- Supporting documentation (intended for technical level audience)

■ Recommended format

- Length - Minimum five page executive summary to effectively communicate critical information
- Graphics - Clear summary graphics essential

SCORING CRITERIA FOR P-TAP 14 PROJECTS

<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Score Range</u>	<u>Total Points</u>
1	<u>Scope of Work Requested</u> <i>Jurisdictions applying for Pavement Management System (PMS) projects will receive higher scores.</i>	5 to 25 PMS = 25 PS&E = 5	25
2	<u>Centerline Miles</u> <i>Jurisdictions with fewer centerline miles will receive higher scores.</i>	10 to 20 <100 = 20 100-300 = 15 >300 = 10	20
3	<u>Prior P-TAP Recipient</u> <i>Jurisdictions that have not recently received P-TAP funds will receive higher scores.</i>	0 to 30 Round 11 or earlier = 30 Round 12 = 15 Round 13 = 0	30
4	<u>Certification Status</u> <i>Jurisdictions without current PMP certification will receive higher scores.</i>	10 to 25 Currently Expired = 25 Expired by year end = 15 Certified for 1-2 years = 10	25
*Additional Criteria			
	<u>P-TAP Survey Completed</u> <i>Jurisdictions that submit their P-TAP surveys will receive a five-point bonus.</i>	5	5
<u>Total Points Possible</u>			<u>105</u>

From: Annette Gilbertson <Annette_Gilbertson@dot.ca.gov>
To: <CalRTPA@yahooogroups.com>
Date: 07/24/12 8:39 AM
Subject: [CalRTPA] Statewide Transportation Needs Assessment Workgroup Information

RTPAs,

In an effort to keep everyone informed of the progress of the Statewide Transportation System Needs Assessment workgroup findings and policy recommendations report, the material related to this effort has been posted on the Commission's web page at <http://www.catc.ca.gov/reports/index.htm>.

If you have any questions in regard to this effort please contact Susan Bransen at (916) 653-2090/ susan.bransen@dot.ca.gov.

Sent on Behalf of:
Susan Bransen
Deputy Director
California Transportation Commission

By:
Annette Gilbertson
Associate Deputy Director
California Transportation Commission



[Home](#) | [Products](#) | [Events](#) | [News](#) | [Publications](#) | [Clients](#) | [Support](#) | [FAQs](#) | [P-TAP](#)

[P-TAP](#) [PMP Certification](#)

Pavement Management Program Certification Listing

In accordance with section 2108.1 of the Streets and Highway Code, MTC requires cities and counties submitting pavement maintenance and rehabilitation projects for funding to utilize a Pavement Management Program (PMP).

Section 2108.1 of the Streets and Highway Codes says:

By July 1, 1990, the City, County, State Cooperation Committee in the department shall develop and adopt a pavement management program to be utilized on local streets or highways that receive funding under the state transportation improvement program. The pavement management program shall be transmitted to every county or city for possible adoption or incorporation into an existing pavement management program. The City, County, State Cooperation Committee shall solicit recommendations from transportation planning agencies and any other entity the committee deems appropriate.

Based on the recommendation of the joint City, County, State Cooperation Committee, the MTC will grant certification to a jurisdiction when all of the following applies:

1. The Pavement Management Program used by the jurisdiction is capable of completing all the following:
 - Storing inventory data for all roads within the jurisdiction
 - Assessing the pavement condition based on distress information
 - Identifying all pavement sections that need rehabilitation or replacement
 - Calculating budget needs for rehabilitating or replacing deficient pavement sections
2. The jurisdiction completes all the following:
 - Reviews and updates the inventory information for all roads every two years. The review will include checking for road network completeness along with checking for the accuracy of the existing management sections.
 - Completes inspection of pavement sections for arterial and collector routes in the system every two years, and residential routes every 5 years.
 - Calculates budget needs for rehabilitating or replacing deficient pavement sections for the current year and the next three years.

To be certified please submit the following to MTC:

1. Your jurisdiction's latest updated pavement management database. If you are not using MTC PMP, please submit items #2 and #3 only. If you are using an MTC PMP software program please submit all files associated with the version of StreetSaver you are using. If you need assistance in accessing these files, please contact your [PMP coordinator](#).
2. The following 3 budget scenarios reports: 1) a report showing sections selected for treatment over the next five years based on

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 6B

your jurisdiction's annual budget estimates, 2) a report showing what would need to be done to maintain your jurisdiction's existing PCI, and 3) a scenario depicting a five-point increase of your jurisdiction's current PCI over the next five years. *(These types of reports are typically generated as part of the Pavement Management Technical Assistance Program (P-TAP) projects.)*

3. A signed letter by the Public Works Director, or equivalent department head, stating that all of the requirements in parts 1 and 2 above have been met. "[Sample letter](#)"

MTC will post certification status updates of Bay Area jurisdictions on this page the first day of every month. The updated certification will have an expiration date two years from the date when the last inspection of arterials and collectors in your network was completed.

Temporary exemptions from the certification process

* A jurisdiction may apply for a one-year extension if the department head submits a letter stating that reinspection will occur within one year. Extensions may not continue beyond three years from the last major inspection date.

** A jurisdiction, whose certification is expiring, may apply for pending status if it is in the process of inspecting its network. You must notify the MTC in writing of your request for pending status, and include a reasonable date when inspections will be completed, or your certification will be considered expired. **Jurisdictions who received a pending status because of their participation with P-TAP 12 had until April 30, 2012 to submit their documentation or will be reverted back to 24 months after the "Last Major Inspection" date.** P-TAP 13 awardees have until April 30, 2013 to submit their documentation or be reverted back to 24 months after the "Last Major Inspection" date. Certified Status will reflect "Yes" until the original expiration date and will revert to "Pending" with the P-TAP round listed in the Certification Expiration Date if the jurisdiction is a current P-TAP awardee.

NOTE: Failure to submit your PMP Certification letter and/or extension request by the above deadlines and/or your Certification Expiration Date will result in a lapse in compliance and any Pending status will revert back to its original expiration date.

The information should be forwarded to your [PMP Contact](#).

Last Updated: September 5, 2012

[Alameda County](#) [Contra Costa County](#) [Marin County](#) [Napa County](#) [San Francisco County](#)

[San Mateo County](#) [Santa Clara County](#) [Solano County](#) [Sonoma County](#)

Note: An *italicized* status represents a certification expected to expire in ~ 60 days.

Alameda County

Jurisdiction	Last Major Inspection	Certified	Certification Expiration Date
County of Alameda	11/30/2011	Yes	12/01/2013
Alameda	09/30/2010	Yes(P13)	10/01/2012
Albany	08/31/2011	Yes	09/01/2013
Berkeley	03/30/2011	Yes	04/01/2013
Dublin	10/31/2010	Yes(P13)	11/01/2012
<i>Emeryville</i>	<i>10/31/2010</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>11/01/2012</i>

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 6B

Fremont	09/30/2011	Yes	10/01/2013
Hayward	06/30/2011	Yes(P13)	07/01/2013
Livermore	11/30/2011	Yes	12/01/2013
Newark	02/28/2011	Yes	03/01/2013
Oakland	02/28/2011	Yes	03/01/2013
Piedmont	07/31/2010	No	08/01/2012
Pleasanton	06/30/2011	Yes	07/01/2013
San Leandro	06/30/2011	Yes(P13)	07/01/2013
Union City*	08/31/2010*	Yes*	09/01/2013*

» [Back to Top](#)**Contra Costa County**

Jurisdiction	Last Major Inspection	Certified	Certification Expiration Date
Contra Costa County	05/31/2011	Yes	06/01/2013
Antioch	12/31/2010	Yes	01/01/2013
Brentwood	08/31/2010	Pending	P-TAP 13
Clayton	05/31/2010	Pending	P-TAP 13
Concord	06/30/2010	Pending	P-TAP 13
Danville	02/28/2011	Yes(P13)	03/01/2013
El Cerrito	10/31/2010	Yes(P13)	11/01/2012
Hercules	05/31/2010	No	06/01/2012
Lafayette	04/30/2010	Pending	P-TAP 13
Martinez	01/31/2011	Yes	02/01/2013
Moraga	02/28/2011	Yes	03/01/2013
Oakley	10/31/2010	Yes(P13)	11/01/2012
Orinda	05/31/2010	Pending	P-TAP 13
Pinole	06/30/2010	Pending	P-TAP 13
Pittsburg	10/31/2011	Yes	11/01/2013
Pleasant Hill	10/31/2011	Yes	11/01/2013
Richmond	02/28/2011	Yes	03/01/2013
San Pablo	06/30/2010	No	07/01/2012
San Ramon	02/29/2012	Yes	03/01/2014
Walnut Creek	11/30/2011	Yes	12/01/2013

» [Back to Top](#)**Marin County**

Jurisdiction	Last Major Inspection	Certified	Certification Expiration Date
Marin County	11/30/2010	Yes(P13)	12/01/2012
Belvedere*	06/30/2009	No*	07/01/2012*
Corte Madera	09/30/2011	Yes	10/01/2013
Fairfax	10/31/2010	Yes	11/01/2012
Larkspur	07/31/2011	Yes	08/01/2013

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 6B

Mill Valley	07/31/2010	Pending	P-TAP 13
Novato	10/31/2011	Yes	11/01/2013
Ross	07/31/2011	Yes	08/01/2013
San Anselmo	03/31/2011	Yes	04/01/2013
San Rafael	11/30/2011	Yes	12/01/2013
Sausalito	07/31/2011	Yes	08/01/2013
Tiburon	06/30/2010	Pending	P-TAP 13

» [Back to Top](#)**Napa County**

Jurisdiction	Last Major Inspection	Certified	Certification Expiration Date
Napa County	09/30/2011	Yes	10/01/2013
American Canyon	08/31/2011	Yes	09/01/2013
Calistoga	12/31/2010	Yes(P13)	01/01/2013
Napa	04/30/2012	Yes	05/01/2014
<i>St. Helena</i>	<i>08/31/2010</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>09/01/2012</i>
Yountville	08/31/2011	Yes	09/01/2013

» [Back to Top](#)**San Francisco County**

Jurisdiction	Last Major Inspection	Certified	Certification Expiration Date
San Francisco	08/31/2011	Yes(P13)	09/01/2013

» [Back to Top](#)**San Mateo County**

Jurisdiction	Last Major Inspection	Certified	Certification Expiration Date
San Mateo County	10/31/2010	Yes(P13)	11/01/2012
Atherton	10/31/2010	Yes(P13)	11/01/2012
Belmont	08/30/2010	Pending	P-TAP 13
Brisbane	11/30/2010	Yes(P13)	12/01/2012
Burlingame	06/30/2011	Yes	07/01/2013
Colma*	07/31/2010*	Yes*	08/01/2013*
Daly City	01/31/2012	Yes	02/01/2014
East Palo Alto*	08/31/2010*	Yes*	09/01/2013*
Foster City	12/31/2011	Yes	01/01/2014
<i>Half Moon Bay</i>	<i>10/31/2010</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>11/01/2012</i>
Hillsborough*	06/30/2010*	Yes*	07/01/2013*
Menlo Park	10/31/2011	Yes	11/01/2013

LSRWG 09/13/12: Item 6B

Millbrae	12/31/2011	Yes	01/01/2014
Pacifica	02/29/2012	Yes	03/01/2014
Portola Valley	08/31/2009	Pending	P-TAP 13
Redwood City	09/30/2011	Yes	10/01/2013
San Bruno	12/31/2011	Yes	01/01/2014
<i>San Carlos</i>	<i>09/30/2010</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>10/01/2012</i>
San Mateo	12/31/2010	Yes(P13)	01/01/2013
South San Francisco	11/30/2011	Yes	12/01/2013
<i>Woodside</i>	<i>09/30/2010</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>10/01/2012</i>

» [Back to Top](#)**Santa Clara County**

Jurisdiction	Last Major Inspection	Certified	Certification Expiration Date
Santa Clara County	09/30/2011	Yes	10/01/2013
Campbell	07/31/2011	Yes	08/01/2013
Cupertino	07/31/2010	Pending	P-TAP 13
Gilroy	10/31/2008	Pending	P-TAP 13
Los Altos	08/31/2011	Yes	09/01/2013
Los Altos Hills	06/30/2010	Pending	P-TAP 13
Los Gatos	05/31/2011	Yes	06/01/2013
Milpitas	06/30/2011	Yes	07/01/2013
<i>Monte Sereno</i>	<i>10/31/2010</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>11/01/2012</i>
Morgan Hill	01/31/2012	Yes	02/01/2014
Mountain View	09/30/2010	Yes(P13)	10/01/2012
Palo Alto	11/30/2010	Yes	12/01/2012
San Jose	02/29/2012	Yes	03/01/2014
Santa Clara	07/31/2011	Yes	08/01/2013
Saratoga	12/31/2010	Yes	01/01/2013
Sunnyvale	02/28/2011	Yes	03/01/2013

» [Back to Top](#)**Solano County**

Jurisdiction	Last Major Inspection	Certified	Certification Expiration Date
Solano County	02/29/2012	Yes	03/01/2014
Benicia	01/31/2011	Yes(P13)	02/01/2013
Dixon	09/30/2011	Yes	10/01/2013
Fairfield	11/30/2010	Yes(P13)	12/01/2012
Rio Vista	07/31/2011	Yes	08/01/2013
Suisun City	08/31/2012	Yes	09/01/2014
Vacaville	07/31/2011	Yes	08/01/2013
Vallejo	11/30/2011	Yes	12/01/2013

» [Back to Top](#)**Sonoma County**

Jurisdiction	Last Major Inspection	Certified	Certification Expiration Date
Sonoma County	12/31/2010	Yes(P13)	01/01/2013
Cloverdale	07/31/2011	Yes	08/01/2013
Cotati	08/31/2011	Yes	09/01/2013
Healdsburg	12/31/2010	Yes(P13)	01/01/2013
Petaluma	09/30/2011	Yes	10/01/2013
Rohnert Park	07/31/2010	Pending	P-TAP 13
Santa Rosa	05/31/2012	Yes	06/01/2014
Sebastopol	11/30/2010	Yes(P13)	12/01/2012
Sonoma	07/31/2011	Yes	08/01/2013
Windsor	01/31/2011	Yes	02/01/2013

» [Back to Top](#)(*) *Indicates Extended Date**Yes(P13) Indicates P-TAP 13 awardee*[Contact PMP](#) | [Access Information](#) | [About Us](#)[Go To the MTC Site](#)

© 2003 MTC Pavement Management Program • 101 Eighth Street, Oakland, California 94607 • Phone: 510.817.5700 Fax: 510.817.5848
 PMP is sponsored by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission