

**Sustainable Communities Strategy
Regional Advisory Working Group
Meeting Notes
April 3, 2012**

Topic	Comment/Question	Response
<p>Plan Bay Area; Jobs-Housing Connection Scenario</p>	<p>How much will the new scenario with more jobs in Priority Development Areas (PDAs) impact planning?</p> <p>You should make the Plan Bay Area meetings larger and have more meetings, especially in locations with PDA areas. There is silo thinking among agencies, such as West Oakland and the Oakland Army Base Reuse. I am hoping that regional planning related to jobs-housing linkage will improve this.</p> <p>What is the review time for the draft policies and initiatives that make up the 6.5 percent? How much time will local jurisdictions have to provide input?</p> <p>What transportation network were you assuming when you made your calculation?</p> <p>You mentioned a correction of allocations to midsized cities.</p>	<p>There is existing zoning to accommodate more job growth in PDA. Cities are already zoned for commercial and office space in these locations.</p> <p>We are partnering with the Congestion Management Agencies (CMAs) and the planning directors' groups in counties. We are trying to structure things to get good input at the county level. Land use is the domain at the local level. It is useful to have the discussion about impact at the local level. Community members are encouraged to work with their CMA.</p> <p>You can expect to see the policies next week, on April 13, 2012.</p> <p>I was referring to Transportation 2035 (T2035) in the previous discussion.</p> <p>We are working on it now and making the adjustments that have been identified. We are looking at what needs to be corrected for the counties and looking at capacity. We have been able to access the Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) to determine the community capacity.</p>

**Sustainable Communities Strategy
Regional Advisory Working Group
Meeting Notes
April 3, 2012**

	<p>Is the correction to the document for unincorporated areas going to shift those jobs somewhere else or is it going to reduce the total jobs for Alameda County. Is there another way to facilitate infill development?</p> <p>I get confused about the name <i>One Bay Area Grant</i> because there's more than just one Bay Area grant. It might be better to refer to it as something that would be recognized as a funding source.</p> <p>What is the date in May that the draft preferred scenario can be considered?</p>	<p>We are also looking at how much job growth can be expected.</p> <p>The draft preferred scenario will be considered at the May 11th Joint Policy Committee and Admin Committee meeting.</p>
OneBayArea Grant	Comments/Questions	Response
	<p>Anti-displacement and affordable housing feedback has not been rewarded. Input from advocates doesn't feel like it is being reflected and acknowledged. This program should benefit cities that have anti-displacement policies.</p> <p>Where is that money coming from?</p> <p>Has there been consideration of other policy components of the SCS that will get us from nine percent to the 15 percent greenhouse gas reduction. What will the proposal for the complete street ordinance contain?</p>	<p>There is potential for recommended policies that are tailored to specific communities.</p> <p>The region gets a portion of funding from federal highway management agencies. There is a requirement that 75 percent of the funding be spent in PDAs.</p> <p>It will vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction. We will identify that there needs to be a process in place and it will be up to jurisdictions to develop their specific policies.</p>

**Sustainable Communities Strategy
Regional Advisory Working Group**

Meeting Notes

April 3, 2012

	<p>Take a look at the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) issues and think about the timelines.</p> <p>If projects that can leverage funds for PDAs get priority, that would help funding. What is the process and criteria for developing grants?</p> <p>Most of the growth is not going to go to PDAs and not all the infill is going to occur in PDAs.</p> <p>A precise ordinance is needed to comply with state law.</p> <p>It feels like we have raised the risk that the funding allocation could be disproportional to where the growth is suppose to occur. Funding should go to largest growth PDAs. Communities of concern need to have anti-displacement areas in place. Access to development for lower income people is needed.</p> <p>There needs to be policy language associated with public health in the criteria. Money should be spent based on doing things that promote public health.</p>	<p>The PDA strategy format will be out for review and discussion later this month.</p> <p>The requirement is that jurisdictions provide a planning area profile and use that information to develop elements related to affordable housing.</p> <p>Public health is a criteria that's an element for funding.</p>
--	--	---