

Attachment 2 – Summary of Comments Received

Date	Name	Agency/ Affiliation	Overall Position	Comments	Staff Response
12 - July - 11	Clay Mitchell	RepealSMART	Oppose	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SMART project not fully funded; unable to fully fund their Initial Operating Segment (IOS) even including the proposed funding from MTC. • SMART has no workable plan to bridge their growing funding gap. • The cost figure cited in MTC's reports is no longer the current cost estimate; number is \$365 million instead of \$330 million. • Inappropriate for MTC staff to consider the funding actions as SMART has not formally submitted a Bridge Toll Funding request. 	<p>Staff has recommended a conditional approval of the funding actions. The RM2 program amendments and allocations are conditioned on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Receipt by MTC of a staff report* from SMART (before July 27th) recommending approval of an initial project report consistent with the project and cost information presented to the MTC Commission at the July 13th Programming & Allocations Committee. • Approval of the staff report* presented to MTC and the Initial Project Report package by the SMART board on August 17th. <p>*The SMART staff report is expected to contain detailed project and cost information.</p>

Date	Name	Agency/ Affiliation	Overall Position	Comments	Staff Response
13 - July – 11	Clay Mitchell	Repeal SMART/ Citizen of Bay Area	Oppose	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inappropriate to change the scope of the SMART project because it would mean a direct contravention of the will of voters, as in the ballot argument for Measure Q the entire segment was included. • The IOS as presently outlined does not present a fully functional system as integral components (e.g. Ticket Vending machines, Safety Upgrades, Fiber optics and CCTV cameras) have been cut out of the IOS as cost cutting measures. • No funding request submitted to MTC from SMART; therefore inappropriate to consider the request. • Inappropriate for MTC to consider approving funding prior to a potential change in the financial situation of the fundee. This item should be tabled until after SMART makes its financial picture public in August. 	Same as above

Date	Name	Agency/ Affiliation	Overall Position	Comments	Staff Response
13 - July – 11	Clay Mitchell	Repeal SMART/ Citizen of Bay Area	Oppose	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SMART is not in compliance with MTC's TOD policy either on the entire system or on the IOS. SMART has also cut two stations (strong destination points) from the IOS and harming overall ridership in order to meet the TOD policy. 	Same as above.