TO: MTC Planning Committee
ABAG Administrative Committee

DATE: July 1, 2011

FR: Deputy Executive Director, Policy, MTC
Executive Director, ABAG

RE: Plan Bay Area: Alternative Scenarios

Background

Last month, the Commission and ABAG's Administrative Committee approved moving forward to evaluate five alternative scenarios to demonstrate how the region might achieve the Plan Bay Area performance targets adopted by MTC and ABAG earlier this year. In response to public comment, the Committees requested staff to consider a proposal for a sixth alternative scenario focused on "Equity, Environment and Jobs" (see attachment A) and to return to the July Committee meeting with details on how the approved five alternatives address the components included in this sixth alternative scenario. Staff is scheduled to meet with the proponents of this alternative scenario on July 1 and will report back at your meeting on July 8.

To provide context for your discussion, staff offers the following background information related to the alternative scenarios assessment process.

Relationship between Alternative Scenarios and the Preferred Alternative

The primary purpose of the scenario assessments is to compare and contrast the interaction between land use policy and transportation investment strategies as measured by specific performance targets related to the economy, the environment and equity. These targets are described in Attachment B. This information will be used to ultimately select a preferred land use forecast and transportation investment strategy that will be the basis of a preferred Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) alternative that MTC and ABAG will consider for adoption in Spring 2013.

With this in mind, the alternative scenario assessments are intended to demonstrate how well various land uses, transportation infrastructure and policy initiatives perform against the targets. While discrete scenarios will be evaluated, the preferred SCS alternative will be developed based on a mix of alternative scenario components that best achieve the targets and can demonstrate financial feasibility.

Project Performance Assessment

Each "non-committed" project submitted as a result of the call for projects will be evaluated to determine how well it performs relative to achieving the performance targets. A separate benefit/cost ratio analysis also will be conducted on larger capacity increasing projects (greater than $50 million). This project-level assessment will inform the selection of transportation projects included in the alternative scenarios.

Social Equity Analysis

In past Regional Transportation Plans, MTC has prepared a federally-required equity analysis on a preferred (or CEQA Project) alternative included in the RTP environmental impact report. Concerns have been expressed by social equity advocates that the equity analysis has been conducted too late in the planning process to meaningfully inform selection of a preferred alternative. In response to these concerns, staff will conduct a separate equity analysis for each alternative scenario as part of the alternative scenarios assessment for Plan Bay Area. We note that coming up with a single definition of equity has been difficult. Nonetheless, staff consultation with social equity advocates (e.g., members of the SCS Equity Working Group) is well underway to define the measures that will be used for the equity analysis (see Attachment C.)

The information developed in the equity analysis for each alternative scenario will be used to inform development of a preferred SCS alternative. In addition to the equity analysis on the alterative scenarios, staff will conduct an equity analysis on the preferred SCS consistent with federal Title VI and current federal Executive Orders.

Next Steps

Staff is proceeding to define the five approved land use/transportation scenarios in more detail and in consultation with our advisory groups. We expect that some of the alternative scenario concepts may evolve over time as performance information is developed.

Staff will conduct the technical analysis between July and September 2011, and will present the results to the joint MTC Planning and ABAG Administrative Committees in October 2011. This will mark the beginning of a public process to review and comment on the alternative scenarios. Input received will help us identify a draft preferred scenario that is slated for approval by MTC and ABAG in early 2012. The draft preferred scenario will be subject to environmental review and other analyses throughout the remainder of 2012. Plan Bay Area is slated for final adoption in April 2013.

We look forward to providing more details on scenarios descriptions and the results of our discussions with the social equity advocates in a staff report to be distributed before your meeting on July 8.

Ann Flemer

Ann Flemer

Ezra Rapport

SH/ER:DK
J:\COMMITTE\Planning Committee\2011\July 011\AlternativeScenarios_07.01.11.doc

TO: MTC Planning Committee /
ABAG Administrative Committee

DATE: July 8, 2011

FR: Deputy Executive Director, Policy, MTC
Executive Director, ABAG

RE: OneBayArea Grant — Cycle 2 STP/CMAQ Funding

Staff recommends the initial release of the OneBayArea Grant proposal as outlined in this memorandum for public review and discussion.

Federal Transportation Funding and Program Policies (Attachment A)
Approximately every six years, U.S. Congress enacts a surface transportation act. The current act (SAFETEA) originally scheduled to expire on September 30, 2009 is still in effect through several legislative extensions. The funding provided to our area through this legislation includes Surface Transportation Program (STP) and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) funds.

In December 2009 the Commission adopted an overall framework directing how approximately $1.4 billion in STP and CMAQ funds were to be allocated over the following six years (2010-2015). The first three years (Cycle 1) of this period were committed to projects and programs and the overall framework provided policy direction for the second three years (Cycle 2).

Staff proposes an alternative to the current Cycle 2 framework that better integrates the region's federal transportation program with land-use and housing policies by providing incentives for the production of housing with supportive transportation investments. Attachment A summarizes this framework and proposal for Cycle 2.

OneBayArea Grant Program
As shown in the chart below, over time the county congestion management agencies (CMAs) have been given increased responsibility for project selection for an increasing share of funding coming to the region.

Program and Project Selection Evolves over Past Two Decades

Past Long Range Plan Discretionary Funding Assignments



For Cycle 2, staff proposes to continue this trend by shifting a larger portion of discretionary federal funding to local jurisdictions for taking on a larger share of the region's housing production. Further, additional flexibility is proposed for CMAs to address their respective transportation needs. Specifically, the proposal would:

- Shift more Funding to Locally Managed OneBayArea Grant Program: Dedicate $214 million or roughly 40% of the Cycle 2 funding program to a new OneBayArea Grant. The funding for the OneBayArea Grant is the result of merging many of the programs in the Cycle 2 framework into a single flexible grant program and is roughly a 70% increase in the funding distributed to the counties as compared to the Cycle 2 framework adopted by the Commission. By comparison, the status quo approach for Cycle 2 would result in 22% going to County Congestion Management Agency (CMA) programs down from 30% in Cycle 1
- Add Flexibility by Eliminating Program Categories: The One Bay Grant proposal provides additional flexibility under Cycle 2 by eliminating required program categories and combining funding for TLC, Bicycle, Local Streets and Roads Rehabilitation, and Safe Routes to School. See figure illustrating this change on the following page. Project selection will be limited to a degree by the project eligibility limitations of CMAQ which will make up approximately half of the funds that each county will receive.



- Leverage Outside Funds to Grow Program and Meet More Objectives: Additional opportunities could be sought through other regional programs, other non-federal sources for affordable housing, and other local funds to augment program objectives. As a start, the Air District proposes $6 million from its Regional Transportation for Clean Air (TFCA) Program. TFCA eligibility considerations will be guiding the use of these funds in the overall program.
- Continue Key Regional Programs: The remaining funding is targeted to continue regional programs such as Regional Operations, Freeway Performance Initiative, and Transit Capital Rehabilitation. Refer to Attachment A-2 for a description of these regional programs.
- Establish a Priority Conservation Area Planning Program: This new $2 million program element will provide financial incentives for counties with populations under 500,000 for preservation of resource area and farmland, as defined in California Government Code Section 65080.01.

Distribution Formula for the OneBayArea Grant (Attachments B, C, D)
Staff proposes a distribution formula for OneBayArea Grant funding (Attachment B) that includes housing incentives to support the SCS and promote effective transportation investments that support focused development. In order to ease the transition to this new funding approach, staff is also recommending a 50% population share factor in the formula:

1. Formula to Counties: The proposed distribution formula to the counties includes three components: 50% population, 25% Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) for 2007-2014, and 25% actual housing production. This approach provides incentives for both future housing commitments and actual housing production. The fund distribution will be refined using the new RHNA to be adopted by ABAG next spring along with the SCS. The new RHNA being developed, which covers years 2015-2022, places a greater emphasis on city centered growth. As a result, refinements are likely to result in modest

revisions to the funding distribution consistent with these revised development patterns. The proposed OneBayArea Grant formula also uses actual housing data from 1999-2006, and has been capped such that each jurisdiction receives credit for housing up to its RHNA allocation. Subsequent funding cycles would rely on housing production from ABAG's next housing report to be published in 2013.

2. Priority Development Area (PDA) Minimum: Require that at least 70% of funding be spent on projects in Priority Development Areas (planned, potential and growth opportunity areas). Growth opportunity areas are tentatively considered as PDAs until ABAG completes final PDA designations next fall. See Attachment C for PDA program minimums for each county and Attachment D for a map and a list of the PDAs.



The OneBayArea Grant supports Priority Development Areas while providing flexibility to fund transportation needs in other areas.

Performance and Accountability

As noted at the outset, housing allocation according to RHNA and housing production will be the primary metric for distributing the OneBayArea Grant funding. In addition, staff recommends the following performance and accountability requirements.

1. Supportive Local Transportation and Land-Use Policies: Staff recommends that local agencies be required to have at least two of the following four policies adopted in order to be eligible for grant funds:

    a) Parking/pricing policies (e.g. cash out, peak pricing, on-street/off street pricing differentials, eliminate parking minimums, unbundled parking) and adopted city and/or countywide employer trip reduction ordinances
    b) Adopted Community Risk Reduction Plans (CRRP) per CEQA guidelines
    c) Have affordable housing policies in place or policies that ensure that new development projects do not displace low income housing

d) Adopted bicycle/pedestrian plan and complete streets policy in general plans pursuant to Complete Streets Act of 2008

2. Approved Housing Element: Also, a HCD-approved housing element consistent with RHNA/SB375 law is a proposed condition for any jurisdiction receiving Cycle 2 OneBayArea grants. This may be met as follows: 1) adoption of a housing element that meets the current RHNA before the new RHNA is adopted, or 2) the adoption of a housing element that meets the new RHNA after its approval early in 2012. Jurisdictions have 18 months after the adoption of the SCS to meet the new RHNA; therefore, compliance is expected and required by September 2014. Any jurisdiction failing to meet either one of these deadlines will not be allowed to receive grant funding. Lastly any jurisdiction without adopted housing elements addressing the new RHNA by September 2014 will be ineligible to receive any funding after Cycle 2 until they have adopted a housing element.

Implementation Issues
Below are issues to be addressed as we further develop the OneBayArea Grant concept:

1. Federal Authorization Uncertainty: We will need to closely monitor development of the new federal surface transportation authorization. New federal programs, their eligibility rules, and how money is distributed could potentially impact the implementation of the OneBayArea Grant Program as proposed.
2. Revenue Estimates: Staff assumes a steady but modest nominal revenue growth rate of 4% annually. Given the mood of Congress to downsize federal programs, these estimates are potentially overly optimistic if there are significant reductions in STP / CMAQ apportionments over the Cycle 2 time period. Staff recommends continuing to move forward with the conservative revenue assumptions and make adjustments later if needed.

Preliminary Timeline and Next Steps
Staff recommends the Committees release the OneBayArea Grant proposal for public review. Staff will seek feedback from stakeholder and technical working groups over the next several months. The preliminary timeline for development and approval of the OneBay Area Grant is shown on the next page.

July – Sept. 2011	▪ The Joint MTC Planning Committee / ABAG Administrative Committee release of OneBay Area Grant proposal for public review ▪ *ABAG releases preliminary draft concepts for RHNA methodology* ▪ Working Group Discussions of Cycle 2/OneBay Area Grant approach
Fall 2011	▪ Follow-up Committee Presentation of OneBayArea Grant and Cycle 2 approach ▪ *ABAG releases draft RHNA methodology*
December 2011	▪ *Adoption of Cycle 2 approach based on draft RHNA methodology* ▪ *MTC/ABAG releases draft Preferred SCS* ▪ Commission adoption of Cycle 2 funding commitments for MTC Regional Programs
February 2012	▪ *MTC/ABAG approves draft preferred SCS*
March 2012	▪ Commission adoption of Cycle 2/OneBay Area Grant with Final RHNA
April 2012 – Feb. 2013	▪ CMA Project Selection Process
April 2013	▪ Final SCS adopted

Ann Flemer

Ann Flemer

Ezra Rapport

Attachments

J:\COMMITTE\Planning Committee\2011\July 011\Planning Committee Memo 7-8-11\2a_PlanningCommittee Memo 7-8-11.doc

Attachment A

OneBayArea Grant Proposal

New Act STP / CMAQ Cycle 2 Draft Funding Proposal

June 22, 2011

(amounts in millions $)

Funding Available: Cycle 1: $466M (after $54M Carryover) Cycle 2: $548M Air District: $6M	Existing Framework						
	Cycle 1		Cycle 2 Status Quo		Cycle 2 One Bay Area		
	MTC	CMA Block Grant	MTC	CMA Grant	MTC	One Bay Area Grant*	Cycle 2 Total
1 Regional Planning *	23		26		5	21	26
2 Regional Operations	84	0	74	0	74	0	74
3 Freeway Performance Initiative (FPI)	51	0	66	0	66	0	66
4 Transit Capital Rehabilitation *	0	0	125	0	125	0	125
5 Local Streets and Roads Rehabilitation*	6	94	7	70	3	74	77
6 Climate Initiatives *	80		40		25	15	40
7 Regional Bicycle Program *	0	20	0	20	0	20	20
8 Transportation for Livable Communities (TLC) *	51	28	64	32	15	85	102
9 Transportation Oriented Development (TOD) Fund	10	0	0	0			
10 Priority Conservation Area Planning Pilot					2		
11 MTC Res 3814 Transit Payback Commitment	6	0	25	0	25	0	25
Total	324	142	426	122	340	214	554
	70%	30%	78%	22%	61%	39%	

Grant Totals:	Cycle 1 Block Grant		Cycle 2 Status Quo		Cycle 2 One Bay Area	
	142	30%	122	22%	214	39%

J:\PROJECT\Funding\T4 - New Act\T4 - STP-CMAQ\T4 Cycle Programming\T4 Second Cycle\Cycle 2 Policy Dev\One Bay Area Grant\[Cycle2 Develop tables.xls]Program Funding 6-22-11

* Air District funding of $6 million adds capacity to suppport OneBay Area Grant.

1) Regional Planning:

$21M ($7M per year) for CMA Planning to be distributed to CMAs through OneBayArea Grant.

4) Transit Capital Rehabilitation:

100% Transit Rehab assigned as Regional Transit Rehabilitation, as Transit is network based and regional

5) Local Streets and Roads Rehabilitation

$3M for a scaled back PTAP program

6) Climate Initiative:

$5M for SFGo in Regional. Eastern Solano CMAQ to Solano TA part of OneBayArea Grant.

7) Regional Bicycle Program:

$20M as CMAQ rather than TE as originally proposed in Framework

8) Transportation for Livable Communities (TLC)

TLC program eliminated - All TLC funds to OneBayArea grant

Attachment A-2: Regional Programs

Regional Planning to support planning activities in the region carried out by the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG), the San Francisco Bay Area Conservation and Development commission (BCDC), and MTC. CMAs would access their OneBayArea grant to fund planning activities.

Regional Operations: This program includes Clipper, 511, Incident Management and a scaled-back Pavement Technical Assistance Program (PTAP).

Freeway Performance Initiative This program emphasizes the delivery of ramp metering projects on the State Highway System throughout the Bay Area to gain the most efficiency out of the existing highway network.

Priority Conservation Area Planning: Staff is recommending a new pilot for the development priority conservation area (PCA) plans for counties with populations under 500,000 to ameliorate outward development expansion and maintain their rural character.

Transportation for the Livable Communities (TLC) and the Affordable Transportation Oriented Development (TOD) Housing Fund: The bulk of the TLC Program's funding will shift to the OneBayArea Grant. The remaining funds under MTC's management are proposed to continue station area planning and/or CEQA assistance to PDAs and support additional investments in affordable housing.

Climate Initiatives: The objective of the Climate Initiatives Program launched in Cycle 1 was to make short-term investments that reduce transportation-related emissions and vehicle miles traveled, and encourage the use of cleaner fuels. Through the innovative projects selected and evaluation process, the region is building its knowledge base for the most effective Bay Area strategies for the Sustainable Communities Strategy and next long-range plan. The proposed funding for the Cycle 2 Climate Initiative Program would allow some continuation of these efforts at the regional level and protect a prior commitment to the SFGo project.

Transit Capital Rehabilitation: The Commission deferred transit rehabilitation needs from Cycle 1 to Cycle 2 in order to allow more immediate delivery of some of the other programs. The program objective, as in the past, is to assist transit operators to fund major fleet replacements, fixed guideway rehabilitation and other high-scoring capital needs that cannot be accommodated within the FTA Transit Capital Priorities program.

MTC Resolution 3814 Transit Payback Commitment: Consistent with the Cycle 2 framework, MTC is proposing to program $25 million to Lifeline, small operators, and SamTrans right-of-way settlement to partially address a commitment originally envisioned to be met with state spillover funds.

Attachment B
PROPOSAL

OneBayArea Grant Distribution Formula
Cycle 2 (FYs 2013, 2014, 2015)

County	50%-25%-25% (Pop. RHNA - Housing Production Capped)	Status Quo Grant Program
Alameda	$43.0	$25.4
Contra Costa	$31.9	$16.6
Marin	$6.4	$5.0
Napa	$4.2	$2.9
San Francisco	$25.0	$11.8
San Mateo	$17.4	$11.1
Santa Clara	$56.1	$28.1
Solano	$14.0	$9.0
Sonoma	$16.0	$12.3
Bay Area Total	**$214.0**	**$122.1**

Difference From Status Quo Grant Program

County	50%-25%-25% (Pop. RHNA - Housing Production Capped)	Status Quo Grant Program
Alameda	$17.7	-
Contra Costa	$15.3	-
Marin	$1.5	-
Napa	$1.3	-
San Francisco	$13.2	-
San Mateo	$6.3	-
Santa Clara	$28.0	-
Solano	$5.0	-
Sonoma	$3.7	-
Bay Area Total	**$91.9**	**-**

% Change From Status Quo Grant Program

County	50%-25%-25% (Pop. RHNA - Housing Production Capped)	Status Quo Grant Program
Alameda	70%	-
Contra Costa	92%	-
Marin	29%	-
Napa	45%	-
San Francisco	112%	-
San Mateo	57%	-
Santa Clara	100%	-
Solano	55%	-
Sonoma	30%	-
Bay Area Total	**75%**	**-**

J:\PROJECT\Funding\T4 - New Act\T4 - STP-CMAQ\T4 Cycle Programming\T4 Second Cycle\Cycle 2 Policy Dev\Block Grant\[Distribution Options.xls]Distrib Overview

Notes:

Status quo program based on framework for Cycle 2 adopted by the Commission and continuation of Cycle 1 county block grant policies.

RHNA is based on current 2007-20014 targets

Population data from Department of Finance, US Census 2010

Housing production 1999-2006 is capped at 1999-2006 RHNA thresholds

Attachment C

PROPOSAL

PDA Investments for the OneBayArea Grant

50%-25%-25% (Pop.- RHNA - Actual Housing Production Capped) Distribution

		Allocation Areas	
Apportionment Area	**County Grant Amount**	**PDA 70% Minimum**	**Anywhere in County**
Alameda	$43.0	$30.1	$12.9
Contra Costa	$31.9	$22.4	$9.6
Marin	$6.4	$4.5	$1.9
Napa	$4.2	$2.9	$1.3
San Francisco	$25.0	$17.5	$7.5
San Mateo	$17.4	$12.2	$5.2
Santa Clara	$56.1	$39.3	$16.8
Solano	$14.0	$9.8	$4.2
Sonoma	$16.0	$11.2	$4.8
Regional Total	$214.0	$149.8	$64.2

Attachment D
Priority Development Areas



Attachment D: Priority Development Areas

Alameda County

Jursidiction or Area Name	PDA Status
Alameda	
Naval Air Station	Planned/Potential
Northern Waterfront	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Albany	
San Pablo Avenue & Solano Avenue	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Berkeley	
Adeline Street	Potential
Downtown	Planned
San Pablo Avenue	Planned
South Shattuck	Planned
Telegraph Avenue	Potential
University Avenue	Planned
Dublin	
Downtown Specific Plan Area	Planned
Town Center	Planned
Transit Center	Planned
Emeryville	
Mixed-Use Core	Planned
Fremont	
Centerville	Planned
City Center	Planned
Irvington District	Planned
Ardenwood Business Park	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Fremont Boulevard & Warm Springs Boulevard Corridor	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Fremont Boulevard Decoto Road Crossing	*Growth Opportunity Area*
South Fremont/Warm Springs	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Hayward	
Downtown	Planned
South Hayward BART	Planned
South Hayward BART	Planned
The Cannery	Planned
Carlos Bee Quarry	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Mission Corridor	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Livermore	
Downtown	Planned
Vasco Road Station Planning Area	Potential
Newark	
Dumbarton Transit Oriented Development	Potential
Old Town MIxed Use Area	Potential
Cedar Boulevard Transit	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Civic Center Re-Use Transit	*Growth Opportunity Area*

Oakland	
Coliseum BART Station Area	Planned
Downtown & Jack London Square	Planned
Eastmont Town Center	Planned
Fruitvale & Dimond Areas	Planned
MacArthur Transit Village	Planned
Transit Oriented Development Corridors	Potential
West Oakland	Planned
Pleasanton	
Hacienda	Potential
San Leandro	
Bay Fair BART Transit Village	Potential
Downtown Transit Oriented Development	Planned
East 14th Street	Planned
Union City	
Intermodal Station District	Planned
Mission Boulevard	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Old Alvarado	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Alameda County Unincorporated	
Castro Valley BART	*Growth Opportunity Area*
East 14th Street and Mission Boulevard Mixed Use Corridor	*Growth Opportunity Area*

Contra Costa County

Jursidiction or Area Name	PDA Status
Antioch	
Hillcrest eBART Station	Planned
Rivertown Waterfront	Potential
Concord	
Community Reuse Area	Potential
Community Reuse Area	Potential
Downtown BART Station Planning	*Growth Opportunity Area*
North Concord BART Adjacent	*Growth Opportunity Area*
West Downtown Planning Area	*Growth Opportunity Area*
El Cerrito	
San Pablo Avenue Corridor	Planned
Hercules	
Central Hercules	Planned
Waterfront District	Planned
Lafayette	
Downtown	Planned
Martinez	
Downtown	Planned
Moraga	
Moraga Center	Potential
Oakley	
Downtown	Potential
Employment Area	Potential
Potential Planning Area	Potential
Orinda	
Downtown	Potential
Pinole	
Appian Way Corridor	Potential
Old Town	Potential
Pittsburg	
Downtown	Planned
Pittsburg/Bay Point BART Station	Planned
Railroad Avenue eBART Station	Planned
Pleasant Hill	
Buskirk Avenue Corridor	Potential
Diablo Valley College	Potential
Richmond	
Central Richmond	Planned
South Richmond	Planned
23rd Street	*Growth Opportunity Area*
San Pablo Avenue Corridor	*Growth Opportunity Area*
San Ramon	
City Center	Planned
North Camino Ramon	Potential

Walnut Creek	
Walnut Creek: West Downtown	Planned
Contra Costa County Unincorporated	
Contra Costa Centre	Planned
Downtown El Sobrante	Potential
North Richmond	Potential
Pittsburg/Bay Point BART Station	Planned
West Contra Costa Transportation Advisory Committee: San Pablo Avenue Corridor	Planned/Potential

Marin County

Jursidiction or Area Name	PDA Status
San Rafael	
Civic Center/North Rafael Town Center	Planned
Downtown	Planned
Marin County Unincorporated	
Urbanized 101 Corridor	Potential
San Quentin	*Growth Opportunity Area*

Napa County

Jursidiction or Area Name	PDA Status
American Canyon	
Highway 29 Corridor	Potential

San Francisco County

Jursidiction or Area Name	PDA Status
San Francisco	
19th Avenue	Potential
Balboa Park	Planned
Bayview/Hunters Point Shipyard/Candlestick Point	Planned
Downtown-Van Ness-Geary	Planned
Eastern Neighborhoods	Planned
Market & Octavia	Planned
Mission Bay	Planned
Mission-San Jose Corridor	Planned
Port of San Francisco	Planned
San Francisco/San Mateo Bi-County Area (with City of Brisbane)	Planned
Transbay Terminal	Planned
Treasure Island	Planned
Citywide	*Growth Opportunity Area*

San Mateo County

Jursidiction or Area Name	PDA Status
Brisbane	
San Francisco/San Mateo Bi-County Area (with San Francisco)	Potential
Burlingame	
Burlingame El Camino Real	Planned
Daly City	
Bayshore	Potential
Mission Boulevard	Potential
Citywide	
East Palo Alto	
Ravenswood	Potential
Woodland/Willow Neighborhood	
Menlo Park	
El Camino Real Corridor and Downtown	Planned
Millbrae	
Transit Station Area	Planned
Redwood City	
Downtown	Planned
Broadway	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Middlefield	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Mixed Use Waterfront	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Veterans Corridor	*Growth Opportunity Area*
San Bruno	
Transit Corridors	Planned
San Carlos	
Railroad Corridor	Planned
San Mateo	
Downtown	Planned
El Camino Real	Planned
Rail Corridor	Planned
South San Francisco	
Downtown	Planned
Lindenville Transit Neighborhood	*Growth Opportunity Area*
CCAG of San Mateo County: El Camino Real	Planned/Potential

Santa Clara County

Jursidiction or Area Name	PDA Status
Cambell	
Central Redevelopment Area	Planned
Winchester Boulevard Master Plan	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Gilroy	
Downtown	Planned
Los Altos	
El Camino Real Corridor	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Milpitas	
Transit Area	Planned
Hammond Transit Neighborhood	*Growth Opportunity Area*
McCandless Transit Neighborhood	*Growth Opportunity Area*
McCarthy Ranch Employment Center	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Midtown Mixed-Use Corridor	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Serra Center Mixed-Use Corridor	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Tasman Employment Center	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Town Center Mixed-Use Corridor	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Yosemite Employment Center	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Morgan Hill	
Morgan Hill: Downtown	Planned
Mountain View	
Whisman Station	Potential
Downtown	*Growth Opportunity Area*
East Whisman	*Growth Opportunity Area*
El Camino Real Corridor	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Moffett Field/NASA Ames	*Growth Opportunity Area*
North Bayshore	*Growth Opportunity Area*
San Antonio Center	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Palo Alto	
Palo Alto: California Avenue	Planned
Palo Alto: El Camino Real Corridor	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Palo Alto: University Avenue/Downtown	*Growth Opportunity Area*
San Jose	
Berryessa Station	Planned
Communications Hill	Planned
Cottle Transit Village	Planned
Downtown "Frame"	Planned
East Santa Clara/Alum Rock Corridor	Planned
Greater Downtown	Planned
North San Jose	Planned
West San Carlos and Southwest Expressway Corridors	Planned
Bascom TOD Corridor	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Bascom Urban Village	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Blossom Hill/Snell Urban Village	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Camden Urban Village	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Capitol Corridor Urban Villages	*Growth Opportunity Area*

Capitol/Tully/King Urban Villages	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Oakridge/Almaden Plaza Urban Village	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Saratoga TOD Corridor	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Stevens Creek TOD Corridor	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Westgate/El Paseo Urban Village	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Winchester Boulevard TOD Corridor	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Santa Clara	
Central Expressway Focus Area	*Growth Opportunity Area*
El Camino Real Focus Area	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Great America Parkway Focus Area	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Lawrence Station Focus Area	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Santa Clara Station Focus Area	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Tasman East Focus Area	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Sunnyvale	
Downtown & Caltrain Station	Planned
El Camino Real Corridor	Planned
Lawrence Station Transit Village	Potential
East Sunnyvale ITR	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Moffett Park	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Peery Park	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Reamwood Light Rail Station	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Tasman Station ITR	*Growth Opportunity Area*
VTA Cores, Corridors, and Station Areas (estimate)	Potential

Solano County

Jursidiction or Area Name	PDA Status
Benicia	
Downtown	Planned
Northern Gateway	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Dixon	
Fairfield	
Downtown South (Jefferson Street)	Planned
Fairfield-Vacaville Train Station	Potential
North Texas Street Core	Potential
West Texas Street Gateway	Planned
Rio Vista	
Suisun City	
Downtown & Waterfront	Planned
Vacaville	
Allison Area	Planned
Downtown	Planned
Vallejo	
Waterfront & Downtown	Planned
Solano County Unincorporated	

Sonoma County

Jursidiction or Area Name	PDA Status
Cloverdale	
Downtown/SMART Transit Area	Planned
Cotati	
Downtown and Cotati Depot	Planned
Healdsburg	
Petaluma	
Central, Turning Basin/Lower Reach	Planned
Rohnert Park	
Sonoma Mountain Village	Potential
Santa Rosa	
Downtown Station Area	Planned
Mendocino Avenue/Santa Rosa Avenue Corridor	Potential
Sebastopol Road Corridor	Planned/Potential
North Santa Rosa Station	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Sebastopol	
Nexus Area	Potential
Sonoma	
Windsor	
Redevelopment Area	Planned
Sonoma County Unincorporated	
8th Street East Industrial Area	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Airport/Larkfield Urban Service Area	*Growth Opportunity Area*
Penngrove Urban Service Area	*Growth Opportunity Area*
The Springs	*Growth Opportunity Area*

J:\PROJECT\Funding\T4 - New Act\T4 - STP-CMAQ\T4 Cycle Programming\T4 Second Cycle\Cycle 2 Policy Dev\Block Grant\[Distribution Options.xls]Distrib Overview
Provided by ABAG 6/6/2011