

**Attachment C: Proposed Geographic-Based Definitions of Target Populations for Equity Analysis of Alternative Scenarios**  
**Draft for Discussion - 06/28/11**

	(A) Target Population: Regional Total	(B) Target Population Share of Total Regional Population	(C) Regional Median Target- Population Share by Tract*	(D) Proposed Target Population Threshold	(E) Share of Regional Tracts Included by Threshold	(F) Share of Total Regional Population Located in Tracts Above Threshold	(G) Share of Regional Target Population Located in Tracts Above Threshold	(H) Share of Regional Target Population Located in Union of Communities
<b>Communities of Concern</b>								
Low income population (1)	1,544,352	23%	19%	30%	27%	23%	48%	60%
Minority population (1)	3,785,369	54%	52%	70%	30%	31%	47%	56%
<i>Communities of Concern Union**</i>					40%	40%		
<b>Limited English Proficiency/Low Educational Attainment Communities</b>								
Pop. speaking English less than "very well" (1)	1,159,188	18%	15%	20%	35%	36%	64%	72%
Pop. 25+ with less than HS diploma (1)	642,723	13%	10%	15%	36%	35%	67%	74%
<i>LEP/Low Educational Attainment Communities Union</i>					44%	46%		
<b>Low Mobility Communities</b>								
Population 5+ with a disability (2)	1,106,833	18%	17%	25%	13%	11%	19%	44%
Population 75+ (1)	409,225	6%	5%	10%	12%	10%	25%	46%
Zero Vehicle Households*** (1)	234,074	9%	5%	10%	28%	28%	69%	85%
<i>Low Mobility Communities Union</i>					39%	35%		

(1) Analysis based on 2005-09 American Community Survey data

(2) Analysis based on 2000 Census data

\* This data is included to highlight the varying spatial distributions of different target populations within the region. A number in Column C much lower than Column B reflects greater spatial concentrations of the target population within the regional context; if the number in Column C is closer to that in Column B, the target population is more dispersed throughout the region.

\*\* By comparison, Communities of Concern defined by 2000 Census used in the last two RTP Equity Analyses comprised 34% of all regional TAZs and 33% of regional population.

\*\*\* Regional total is expressed in households rather than population.