

# Metropolitan Transportation Commission Programming and Allocations Committee

July 14, 2010

Item Number 4b

## Resolution Nos. 3939, Revised, 3950, Revised, 3951, Revised, 3952 and 3956

**Subject:** Revises the Fund Estimate and allocates Transportation Development Act (TDA), State Transit Assistance (STA), Assembly Bill (AB) 1107 sales tax, and transit-related bridge toll funds (including Regional Measure 2 (RM2)) for FY 2010-11.

**Background:**

- **Staff Recommends a Revision to the Fund Estimate to Reflect Actual TDA and AB1107 Revenue:** Actual TDA and AB1107 receipts for FY 2009-10 were lower than anticipated resulting in an approximate \$35 million reduction in funding made available to operators through the FY 2010-11 Fund Estimate.
- **Rescissions to Reconcile Allocations to Actual Revenue:** Actual revenue for FY 2009-10 was 7.5% below County Auditor estimates resulting in required rescissions of allocations in the amount of \$10.6 million to bring commitments in line with actual revenue as shown in Table 1. Consistent with past practice, this action was approved under Delegated Authority.

<b>Table 1</b>	
<b>Claimant</b>	<b>TDA</b>
AC Transit	\$ 771,376
BART	\$ 122
Benicia	\$ 185,741
County Connection	\$ 83,851
Dixon	\$ 14,767
TriDelta	\$ 44,227
Fairfield	\$ 184,056
GGBHTD	\$ 1,406,996
NCTPA	\$ 54,591
SamTrans	\$ 3,280,348
San Francisco MTA	\$ 2,450,531
VTA	\$ 2,076,816
WestCAT	\$ 38,948
<b>Regional Total</b>	<b>\$10,592,370</b>

- **State Transit Assistance:** As a follow-up to the June revision to the Fund Estimate to add STA funding, staff proposes to make adjustments to operator and program apportionments to reflect the state's final population and revenue basis. In total, this is about \$2 million less than the amount that had been expected due to a decrease in the Bay Area's share of Revenue-Based STA, relative to the rest of the state.

**Allocations, Rescissions, Unmet Transit Needs Finding:**

- **Allocations:** Staff recommends roughly \$237 million in transit allocations this month to AC Transit, County Connection, Golden Gate Transit, LAVTA, SFMTA, Tri Delta Transit, Vallejo, WETA, and WestCAT. Allocation requests less than \$1.0 million are handled separately by the Executive Director’s Delegated Authority process. The allocation requests are consistent with the adopted MTC Fund Estimate (Resolution 3939 for TDA, STA and AB 1107 funds) and the adopted RM2 Operating Program (Resolution 3955). Total recommended allocations are summarized in the next page:

Transit Operator/Claimant	Resolution No. 3950 (TDA)	Resolution No. 3951 (STA)	Resolution No. 3952 (AB 1107)	Resolution No. 3956 (RM2)	Total
AC Transit	\$42,221,378	\$14,054,835	\$26,000,000	\$9,477,333	\$91,753,546
County Connection		2,987,971			\$2,987,971
Golden Gate	11,504,102	5,640,100		2,195,925	\$19,340,127
LAVTA		1,226,941			\$1,226,941
SFMTA	32,021,444	37,448,494	26,000,000	2,500,000	\$97,969,938
Tri Delta Transit	6,496,441	3,762,279			\$10,258,720
Vallejo (WETA)				5,548,332	\$5,548,332
WETA				4,000,000	\$4,000,000
WestCAT	1,787,751	1,830,069			\$3,617,820
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$94,031,116</b>	<b>\$66,950,689</b>	<b>\$52,000,000</b>	<b>\$23,721,590</b>	<b>\$236,703,395</b>

Attachment A details the change in operator budgets from FY 2009-10 to FY 2010-11 as well as expected changes in service levels. Of note is the fact that several operators are anticipating an increase of about 5 to 6% in operating costs in FY 2010-11, over the previous year. Only AC Transit and SFMTA are anticipating a reduction in operating costs with no increase in service hours provided.

- **Unmet Transit Needs Finding :** Pursuant to state law, TDA Article 8 funds may be used for streets and roads purposes in counties with a population of less than 500,000, if it is determined that all unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet within the jurisdiction of the TDA claimant have been met (PUC §99401.5 and §99401.6). MTC is responsible for making this determination in the Bay Area region, which includes annually conducting an unmet transit needs public participation process, which is controlled by policies and processes adopted in MTC Resolution No. 2380, revised.

Solano is the only remaining county in the Bay Area subject to the annual unmet transit needs process. Only the Cities of Rio Vista and unincorporated Solano County still utilize a portion of their funds for street and road purposes. Beginning in FY 2010-11, the City of Rio Vista has committed to no longer using TDA Article 8 funds for street and road purposes and unincorporated Solano County has agreed to work with MTC and the Solano Transportation Authority towards a solution that would allow them to discontinue claiming these funds for streets and roads as well.

In accordance with MTC policy, the FY 2010-11 public participation process was conducted on December 7, 2009 in Solano County. This included a public hearing and a thirty-day window in which written comments could be submitted. MTC staff reviewed all the issues raised at the hearing and in public comment with the Solano Transportation Authority (STA). These are summarized in Attachment B - Unmet Transit Needs Response. All of the comments raised at the unmet needs

hearing in December related to jurisdictions in Solano County that already spend their entire allocation of TDA Article 8 funds on transit service.

MTC staff recommends that there are no transit needs sufficiently substantial to require the preparation of an Unmet Transit Needs Plan and recommends that the Commission make a finding that there are no unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet in Solano County for FY 2010-11.

- **WETA Debt Financing:** Consistent with item #4a, the RM2 allocation assumes up to \$4 million for debt service for two recently awarded construction contracts for the South San Francisco Ferry Terminal project.

**Issues:** Fund allocations to transit agencies participating in the Clipper program are subject to the condition that they each comply with their respective deadlines for transition of paper fare media to Clipper per Resolution No. 3866.

**Recommendation:** Refer Resolution 3939, Revised to the Commission for approval.

**Attachments:** Attachment A – Transit Operating Budget Summary  
Attachment B – Unmet Transit Needs Response  
MTC Resolution Nos. 3939, Revised, 3950, Revised, 3951, Revised, 3952, and 3956

**Table A – Transit Operator Budget Summary**

Operator	FY 2009-10 Operating Budget	FY 2010-11 Operating Budget	% Increase	Revenue Vehicle Hours % Change	FY 2010-11 Operating Request	Allocation Request as a % of Operating Budget	Highlight of FY 2010-11 Budgets
AC Transit	329,435,684	326,310,591	-1%	0%	93,252,211	29%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In March 2010, AC instituted a 7.7% service reduction estimated to save an annualized \$10 million.</li> <li>AC has announced its intention to reduce service a further 7.2% in August 2010 to save an additional annualized \$10 million.</li> <li>AC's FY 2010-11 budget will decrease 1% while Revenue Vehicle Service hours will remain flat.</li> <li>Fare increases are not being proposed.</li> </ul>
Golden Gate	100,740,200	106,653,600	6%	-3%	19,581,183	18%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Golden Gate's overall budget will increase 6% in FY 2010-11.</li> <li>4% of Golden Gate's FY 2010-11 budget (\$8 million) will come from reserves.</li> <li>FY 2010-11 will be the fifth year of the adopted five-year transit fare plan which included a 5% annual increase in transit fares.</li> <li>An anticipated \$1.5 million decrease in fare revenue due to reduced ridership will be offset by a \$1 million increase due to annual fare increases resulting in a net fare revenue decrease of \$500,000.</li> </ul>
ECCTA	18,150,000	19,050,900	5%	2%	11,622,534	61%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tri Delta Transit will increase revenue hour by 2% (exclusively in its paratransit budget) in FY 2010-11 with a 5% increase in operating budget.</li> <li>Fixed-route service level are anticipated to remain at FY 2009-10 levels.</li> <li>No fare increases are anticipated.</li> </ul>
SFMTA	666,259,978	638,766,534	-4%	0%	99,569,215	16%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Muni's FY 2010-11 budget is 4% smaller than FY 2009-10.</li> <li>The largest budget reductions are in non-operator labor expenses (\$26 million) and fringe benefits (\$11 million).</li> <li>Labor (operators) costs are increasing \$4 million.</li> <li>Following general fare increases on July 1, 2009, Muni increased Fast Pass fares which now differentiate between a Muni-only and a Muni plus BART fast pass.</li> </ul>
WCCTA	7,839,500	8,225,800	5%	0%	4,933,125	60%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>WestCAT's FY 2010-11 budget is based on a 5% inflation estimate, and will increase by 5%.</li> <li>No service changes, increases or decreases, are anticipated.</li> <li>No fare increases are anticipated.</li> </ul>



Solano Transportation Authority

# SOLANO TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

## Attachment B

Member Agencies:  
Benicia • Dixon • Fairfield • Rio Vista • Suisun City • Vacaville • Vallejo • Solano County

... working for you!

One Harbor Center, Suite 130, Suisun City, CA 94585-2473 • Telephone (707) 424-6075 / Facsimile (707) 424-6074  
Email: staplan@sta-snci.com • Website: solanoflinks.com

June 4, 2010

Bob Bates  
Metropolitan Transportation Commission  
101 Eighth Street  
Oakland, CA 94607-4700

**RE: FY 2010-11 Solano County Unmet Transit Needs Response**

Dear Mr. Bates:

At their May 12, 2010 meeting, the Solano Transportation Authority (STA) Board approved the response to the Unmet Transit Needs identified by Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC). The STA Board's approved response is enclosed.

STA would like to thank MTC staff for all their assistance through this process. Please contact Liz Niedziela at (707) 399-3217 if you have any questions or need any additional information.

Sincerely,

Daryl K. Halls  
Executive Director

Enc: FY 2010-11 Solano County Unmet Transit Needs Response

Cc: Elizabeth Richards, Director of Transit and Rideshare Services

**FY 2010-11**  
**Vallejo Transit's Unmet Transit Needs Response**

**Issue 1: No Paratransit in Glen Cove and request for expansion of the Vallejo's Runabout's service area.**

Transit Operator: Vallejo Transit

Use of TDA: The City of Vallejo used 100% of their TDA funds for transit in FY 2009-10. In FY 2010-11, the City of Vallejo will use 100% of their TDA for transit.

\*3. The service changes required to address an issue have been recently studied and determined not reasonable based on locally established standards.

**Response**

Since 1999, Vallejo Transit had been facing operating deficits due to increasing operating expenses, sporadic escalations in the price of fuel, a growing disparity between the rate of increase of operating expenses and transit revenues and a deferred capital program. The City of Vallejo hired a consultant team to review the system and to cut 10% of services. Beginning in 2006 and continuing through 2007, a series of service adjustments and cuts and fare increases helped bring the Transportation fund within budget. One of the cuts was service to Glen Cove due to low ridership. Since the local fixed route was cut, the Paratransit services were discontinued since it falls outside the ADA certified ¾ mile service corridor.

The system is currently undergoing a major restructuring of routes, but given the recent budget shortfalls, Vallejo Transit's restructuring will most likely be unable to provide additional service in Glen Cove.

**Issue 2: Paratransit cost and scheduling problems.**

Transit Operator: Vallejo Transit

Use of TDA: The City of Vallejo used 100% of their TDA funds for transit in FY 2009-10. In FY 2010-11, the City of Vallejo will use 100% of their TDA for transit.

\*3. The service changes required to address the issue have been recently studied and determined not reasonable based on locally established standards.

**Response**

Paratransit Cost - Vallejo Transit does not exceed the ADA regulations that permit a Paratransit fare to be twice the base fixed route fare for a comparable trip. With the significant revenue decreases and fluctuations, it is highly unlikely that fare reductions can be implemented.

Scheduling Problems - The City of Vallejo had three (3) denials this fiscal year. The performance standard is set at 0 denials. Vallejo Runabout provides approximately 31,000 annual passenger trips. For this fiscal year, Runabout performance ratio is currently 99.99% with less than .01% denial rate. The method of tracking this is through dispatch documentation on the daily manifest, with each manifest audited at the end of the day by staff.

The City of Vallejo has been, and continues to be committed to achieving a zero denial rate.

FY 2010-11

Vallejo Transit's Unmet Transit Needs Response (cont.)

**Issue 3: Vallejo's transfer should have longer validity.**

Transit Operator: Vallejo Transit

Use of TDA: The City of Vallejo used 100% of their TDA funds for transit in FY 2009-10.

In FY 2010-11, the City of Vallejo will use 100% of their TDA for transit.

\*3. The service changes required to address an issue have been recently studied and determined not reasonable based on locally established standards.

**Response**

Vallejo Transit is currently designing a rider's guide to assist passengers in riding transit. Information on transfers will be provided. The Rider's Guide is scheduled to be available to the public in FY 2009-10. Vallejo Transit transfers allow the rider who pays for a single-trip fare to complete a one-way trip. Vallejo Transit's transfers are valid for one hour. One hour transfers provide passengers a reasonable amount of time to finish their leg of the trip since all Vallejo's bus route run at least every 30 minutes. The comment stated that one hour is not long enough to return home from shopping. **Vallejo Transit's policy is that transfers cannot be used for a return trip on the same route.**

**Issue 4: Issues with schedule adherence on Route 78 at Walnut Creek BART**

Transit Operator: Vallejo Transit

Use of TDA: The City of Vallejo used 100% of their TDA funds for transit in FY 2009-10.

In FY 2010-11, the City of Vallejo will use 100% of their TDA for transit.

\*3. The service changes required to address an issue have been recently studied and determined not reasonable based on locally established standards.

**Response**

On rare occasions, missed trips occur due to traffic or bus mechanical problems. Route 78's on time performance is 96% and has had only one missed trip in the past fiscal year. Vallejo Transit has been, and continues to be committed, to continuing to improve on-time performance by meeting monthly with purchased transportation providers to address all service related issues.

**Issue 5: Vallejo Route 5 should stop at Marina Tower senior residence.**

Transit Operator: Vallejo Transit

Use of TDA: The City of Vallejo used 100% of their TDA funds for transit in FY 2009-10.

In FY 2010-11, the City of Vallejo will use 100% of their TDA for transit.

\*3. The service changes required to address an issue have been recently studied and determined not reasonable based on locally established standards.

**Response**

The Marina Towers senior complex is a regular stop for Route 5. However, as of February 22, 2010, the bus transit center was relocated to Mare Island Way (approximately six blocks away) to accommodate construction of the new Transit Center and the Downtown Streetscape project. As a result, a segment of Rt. 5 was realigned and no longer serves Marina Towers. However, the Route 2 still serves Marina Towers and passengers may take Route 2 and then transfer to Route 5.

**FY 2010-11**

**Fairfield and Suisun Transit's Unmet Transit Needs Response**

**Issue 1: Transit Center not well signed and there are no schedules.**

Transit Operator: Fairfield and Suisun Transit

Use of TDA: The City of Fairfield used 100% of their TDA funds for transit in FY 2009-10. In FY 2010-11, the City of Fairfield will use 100% of their TDA for transit.

\*3. The service changes required to address an issue have been recently studied and determined not reasonable based on locally established standards.

**Response**

The City of Fairfield is currently working with MTC on the Regional Hub Signage Program that will set a unified standard for signage in all Bay Area Transit Hubs. This process is on-going. A recent signage inventory noted improvements that should be made to the Fairfield Transportation Center's (FTC) signage and those are included in the Regional Hub Signage Program. As the program nears completion, new signage will be installed.

Schedules are posted near the Transit Store and are available at the Transit Store and in the Administration Offices which are both located at FTC.

**Issue 2: Web Access to schedule information is awkward.**

Transit Operator: Fairfield and Suisun Transit

Use of TDA: The City of Fairfield used 100% of their TDA funds for transit in FY 2009-10. In FY 2010-11, the City of Fairfield will use 100% of their TDA for transit.

\*3. The service changes required to address an issue have been recently studied and determined not reasonable based on locally established standards.

**Response**

Fairfield and Suisun Transit (FAST) maintains a website ([www.fasttransit.org](http://www.fasttransit.org)) which allows users to access schedule information two ways: 1) through a PDF of each Route on the top level page; or 2) by using origin and destination information to trip plan through Google Transit. Web users can also access FAST schedules through 511.

**Issue 3: Multiple systems lack fare coordination.**

Transit Operator: Fairfield and Suisun Transit

Use of TDA: The City of Fairfield used 100% of their TDA funds for transit in FY 2009-10. In FY 2010-11, the City of Fairfield will use 100% of their TDA for transit.

\*3. The service changes required to address an issue have been recently studied and determined not reasonable based on locally established standards.

**Response**

The Bay Area's regional fare card, Clipper, is due to launch June 16, 2010 and will offer all Bay Area users a single payment option for all transit systems. As part of this effort, Solano County operators are required to harmonize fare rules and policies. This effort is currently underway and Solano operators are working to implement the regional fare card in Solano.

**Fairfield and Suisun Transit's Unmet Transit Needs Response (cont.)**

**Issue 4: Route 4 service changes were not well publicized.**

Transit Operator: Fairfield and Suisun Transit

Use of TDA: The City of Fairfield used 100% of their TDA funds for transit in FY 2009-10. In FY 2010-11, the City of Fairfield will use 100% of their TDA for transit.

\*3. The service changes required to address an issue have been recently studied and determined not reasonable based on locally established standards.

**Response**

Route 4 service changes were approved by the Fairfield City Council on June 2, 2009 as part of an overall response to passenger concerns following an earlier (May 1, 2009) change to services. The changes were to become effective on July 1, 2009. The Public Hearing with the City Council was advertised on the buses, posted on all transit and City facilities, and notified directly to those that requested notification. These efforts were in addition to the regular notice accompanying a City Council meeting.

**Issue 5: Paratransit application process is slow.**

Transit Operator: Fairfield and Suisun Transit

Use of TDA: The City of Fairfield used 100% of their TDA funds for transit in FY 2009-10. In FY 2010-11, the City of Fairfield will use 100% of their TDA for transit.

\*3. The service changes required to address an issue have been recently studied and determined not reasonable based on locally established standards.

**Response**

All complete paratransit applications are processed within 21 days of receipt. The City of Fairfield works closely with their prospective Paratransit passengers to ensure each application is complete and accurate. Additionally, as the processing timeline is a Federal requirement, the City maintains an auditable database of when each application is received and processed.

**Issue 6: Taxi service might be appropriate.**

Transit Operator: Fairfield and Suisun Transit

Use of TDA: The City of Fairfield used 100% of their TDA funds for transit in FY 2009-10. In FY 2010-11, the City of Fairfield will use 100% of their TDA for transit.

\*3. The service changes required to address an issue have been recently studied and determined not reasonable based on locally established standards.

**Response**

The City of Fairfield currently offers a reduced fare taxi for seniors, disabled, and Medicare cardholders, an ADA-only intercity reduced fare taxi program, and a senior volunteer driver program.

**Fairfield and Suisun Transit's Unmet Transit Needs Response (cont.)**

**Issue 7: Request for more service to and from Benicia and specifically service to Sacramento.**

Transit Operator: Fairfield and Suisun Transit

Use of TDA: The City of Fairfield used 100% of their TDA funds for transit in FY 2009-10.

In FY 2010-11, the City of Fairfield will use 100% of their TDA for transit.

\*3. The service changes required to address an issue have been recently studied and determined not reasonable based on locally established standards.

**Response**

Additional service to Benicia is studied periodically and sufficient demand has not materialized. Route 40 currently serves Benicia at Park Road and Industrial Way with 18 trips per day. Route 40 stops at the FTC and service to Sacramento from the FTC is available on Route 30 with six trips daily. FAST is currently reviewing all routes and Route 40 will be included in this effort. If additional service to Benicia is identified, FAST will work with the City of Benicia, the Intercity Transit funding partners, and the Solano Transportation Authority to study and recommend additional service.

**Issue 8: Request for paratransit service to Travis AFB.**

Transit Operator: Fairfield and Suisun Transit

Use of TDA: The City of Fairfield used 100% of their TDA funds for transit in FY 2009-10.

In FY 2010-11, the City of Fairfield will use 100% of their TDA for transit.

FAST's ADA complementary Paratransit service, DART, currently serves Travis AFB.

**FY 2010-11**  
**Vacaville City Coach's Unmet Transit Needs Response**

**Issue 1: More weekend service in Vacaville and service from Vacaville to Dixon**

Transit Operator: Vacaville City Coach

Use of TDA: The City of Vacaville used 100% of their TDA funds for transit in FY 2009-10.

In FY 2010-11, the City of Vacaville will use 100% of their TDA for transit.

\*3. The service changes required to address an issue have been recently studied and determined not reasonable based on locally established standards.

**Response**

**Currently Provided Weekend Service**

Vacaville City Coach operates all fixed route bus lines and Special Services Paratransit on Saturday from 9am until 5:30pm. The Saturday operating timeframe has evolved over the years to reflect a balance between actual passenger demand/usage as determined by annual onboard passenger surveys, daily ridership counts and operating expense to provide the service.

On average, Saturday service ridership is less than half as compared to average weekday ridership. The latest full Short Range Transit Plan conducted in 2007 depicts similar information in that ridership data from onboard surveys show that at most, riders who indicated they utilized City Coach every Saturday of the month represent only 51.9% of total survey respondents (see attached Vacaville City Coach 2007 SRTP, page 80).

In short, adding additional Saturday service either by adding additional buses and routes and or extending operating hours is not warranted at this time as indicated by ridership data. The operating cost to provide the additional Saturday service can be expected to far outstrip any marginal Saturday ridership gains.

Regarding Sunday service, as a rule of thumb in bus transit transportation planning, Sunday service can be expected to garner approximately half of Saturday service. In the case of Vacaville City Coach, Sunday service could be expected to obtain a ridership level of approximately 250-300 passenger boardings. At this expected level of ridership, a severe imbalance between service provided and service cost would exist which would further depress the City Coach farebox recovery ratio below the MTC farebox recover mandate.

Annually, City Coach staff performs an extensive onboard ridership survey to aid in determining ridership needs, patterns and suggestions. Recently staff has been investigating the possibility of increasing operating hours both during weekdays and Saturdays. Staff is maintaining a cautious position; however this issue is being looked at.

**Intercity Service between Vacaville and Dixon**

To start, since City Coach began performing annual onboard ridership surveys in 2005, at no time has a respondent of the survey indicated a desire to have service between Vacaville and Dixon.

Intercity transportation service in Solano County is currently provided through the Solano Express commuter bus lines operated by Fairfield and Suisun Transit. Currently, the Route 30 provides service between Vacaville and Dixon.

**Impact to Farebox Recovery Ratio**

At a time when TDA revenues have significantly dropped due to the national economic downturn, adding additional operating expense would impact City Coach's farebox recovery rate and put City Coach transit in jeopardy of not meeting its farebox recovery mandate.

**FY 2010-11**  
**Benicia Breeze's Unmet Transit Needs Response**

**Issue 1: Request to maintain the Benicia 21 route and dial-a-ride and request to keep current Benicia schedules as they are.**

Transit Operator: Benicia Breeze

Use of TDA: The City of Benicia used 100% of their TDA funds for transit in FY 2009-10. In FY 2010-11, the City of Benicia will use 100% of their TDA for transit.

\*2. The issue will be review in Vallejo and Benicia's joint SRTP.

**Response**

Benicia Breeze and Vallejo Transit do not have any plans to eliminate Benicia 21 route and its dial-a-ride. Benicia Breeze and Vallejo Transit are committed in providing the utmost efficient service to meet the rider needs within budget. Any significant proposed changes to the current Benicia schedules would be reviewed through a public hearing process before implemented to enhance service.

**Issue 2: Request for service between Benicia and Vallejo**

Transit Operator: Benicia Breeze

Use of TDA: The City of Benicia used 100% of their TDA funds for transit in FY 2009-10. In FY 2010-11, the City of Benicia will use 100% of their TDA for transit.

**Response**

Vallejo Transit currently operates Route 78 that serves Benicia, Vallejo, Pleasant Hill BART, and Walnut Creek BART.

**Issue 3: More Fixed Route Service more Paratransit service and more flexibility in trip scheduling on Benicia Breeze**

Transit Operator: Benicia Breeze

Use of TDA: The City of Benicia used 100% of their TDA funds for transit in FY 2009-10. In FY 2010-11, the City of Benicia will use 100% of their TDA for transit.

\*3. The service changes required to address the issue have been recently studied and determined not reasonable based on locally established standards. (Benicia SRTP 2008 and Benicia Local Transit Assessment study 2008).

**Response**

Benicia Local Transit Assessment Study assisted Benicia in taking a more detailed assessment of their local system and to identify options to operate as efficiently as possible within the resources and performance standards which resulted in the existing service structure.

## Unmet Transit Needs Supporting Categories

Responses provided to Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) should support one of the following issues including substantive information.

1. That an issue has been addressed through recent changes in service; or
2. That an issue will be addressed by changes in service planned to take place between now and the end of fiscal year 2009-10; or
3. That the service changes required to address an issue have been recently studied and determined not reasonable based on locally established standards; or
4. That the evaluation of the issue resulted in the identification of an alternative means of addressing it; or that an issue has not been addressed through recent or planned service changes nor recently studied.

Date: February 24, 2010  
W.I.: 1511  
Referred by: PAC  
Revised: 06/23/10-C  
07/28/10-C

ABSTRACT

Resolution No. 3939, Revised

This resolution approves the FY 2010-11 Fund Estimate, including the distribution and apportionment of Transportation Development Act (TDA), State Transit Assistance (STA), Assembly Bill (AB) 1107 sales tax and transit-related bridge toll funds.

This resolution was revised on June 23, 2010 to reflect STA revenue made available by the State for FY 2009-10 and FY 2010-11.

This resolution was revised on July 28, 2010 to reflect actual receipts for TDA, STA and AB1107 funds in FY 2009-10, the rescission actions that were necessary to match FY 2009-10 allocations to the actual revenue collected, the allocations of excess revenue for FY 2009-10 per operators' requests, and updated estimates of available funding for FY 2010-11.

Further discussion of this action is contained in the summary sheets and MTC Executive Director's memoranda dated February 10, 2010, June 9, 2010 and July 14, 2010.

**FY2010-11 FUND ESTIMATE  
REGIONAL SUMMARY**

*Attachment A  
Res No. 3939  
Page 1 of 16  
July 28, 2010*

**TDA REGIONAL SUMMARY TABLE**

<i>column</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>E</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>G</i>	<i>H=Sum(A:G)</i>
	6/30/09	FY 2009-10	FY 2010	FY 2010	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2011
	Balance (W/ Interest) <sup>2</sup>	Outstanding Commitments/ Refunds <sup>3</sup>	Original Estimate	Revised Adm.& Planning Charge	Revenue Adjustment	Revenue Estimate	Adm.& Planning Charge	Available for Allocation
Alameda	12,999,903	(55,815,736)	52,225,817	(1,814,374)	(1,591,816)	48,392,319	(1,935,693)	52,185,762
Contra Costa	10,937,276	(33,385,819)	32,625,325	(1,104,666)	(3,558,353)	28,528,248	(1,141,130)	32,700,534
Marin	1,592,239	(10,273,971)	10,022,500	(369,663)	(749,691)	8,253,000	(330,120)	8,111,858
Napa	10,073,499	(2,929,977)	6,739,178	(223,146)	(1,114,101)	5,600,000	(224,000)	17,875,032
San Francisco	1,551,030	(30,906,800)	34,117,642	(1,260,457)	(2,501,963)	34,036,400	(1,361,456)	33,570,149
San Mateo	1,899,454	(29,133,983)	31,862,138	(1,044,147)	(3,353,122)	26,297,753	(1,051,910)	25,245,844
Santa Clara	4,004,652	(70,713,929)	83,580,323	(2,484,100)	(13,118,721)	64,250,363	(2,570,015)	62,089,460
Solano	10,011,582	(17,432,826)	15,502,969	(523,972)	(2,307,524)	13,880,128	(555,205)	18,479,004
Sonoma	13,236,768	(23,348,290)	18,500,000	(580,149)	(2,764,471)	15,900,000	(636,000)	20,148,008
<b>TDA Total</b>	<b>66,306,403</b>	<b>(273,941,332)</b>	<b>285,175,892</b>	<b>(9,404,674)</b>	<b>(31,059,761)</b>	<b>245,138,211</b>	<b>(9,805,528)</b>	<b>270,405,651</b>

**STA, AB1107 AND BRIDGE TOLL REGIONAL SUMMARY TABLE**

	6/30/09	FY 2009-10	FY 2010	FY 2011		FY 2011
	Balance (W/ Interest) <sup>1</sup>	Outstanding Commitments <sup>3</sup>	Revenue/ Transfer	Revenue Estimate		Available for Allocation
State Transit Assistance Total	57,400,435	(48,134,162)	13,320,000	144,121,071		166,707,344
Revenue-Based STA	12,623,825	(10,532,903)	-	105,599,967		107,690,889
Population-Based STA	44,776,610	(37,601,259)	13,320,000	38,521,104		59,016,455
<b>BART District Tax - AB1107 Total</b>	-	(55,515,497)	55,515,497	52,000,000		52,000,000
<b>Bridge Toll Total</b>						
AB 664 Bridge Revenues	33,890,855	(43,544,805)	10,789,000	11,429,074		12,564,124
Regional Measure 1 Revenues	95,017,787	(36,200,000)	8,914,000	9,276,372		77,008,159
5% State General Fund Revenue	24,233	(3,048,888)	3,024,806	3,024,806		3,024,957
MTC 2% Toll Revenue	433,351	(1,477,257)	2,546,000	2,724,232		4,226,326

Please see Attachment A pages 2-14 for detailed information on each fund source.

1. Balance as of 6/30/09 is from MTC FY 2008-09 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed. Also contains interest earned in FY 2009.
2. Balance as of 6/30/09 is from MTC FY 2008-09 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.
3. The outstanding commitments figure for includes all unpaid allocations as of June 30, 2009, and FY 2009-10 allocations, transfers and refunds as of June 30, 2010.

**FY 2010-11 FUND ESTIMATE**  
**TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS**  
**ALAMEDA COUNTY**

*Attachment A*  
*Res No. 3939*  
*Page 2 of 16*  
*July 28, 2010*

<p><b>FY 2009-10 TDA Revenue Estimate Adjustment</b>  <b><i>FY 2009-10 Generation Estimates Adjustment</i></b></p> <p>1. Original County Auditor Estimate (Feb, 09) 52,225,817  2. Actual Revenue (July, 10) 50,359,342  3. Revenue Adjustment (Line 2-1) (1,866,475)</p> <p><b><i>FY 2009-10 Planning and Administration Charges Adjustment</i></b></p> <p>4. MTC Administration (0.5% of line 3) (9,332)  5. County Administration (0.5% of line 3) (209,332)  6. MTC Planning (3.0% of line 3) (55,994)  7. Total Charges (Lines 4+5+6) (274,659)  8. Adjusted Generations Less Charges (Line 3-7) (1,591,816)</p> <p><b><i>FY 2009-10 TDA Adjustment By Article</i></b></p> <p>9. Article 3 Adjustment (2.0% of line 8) (31,835)  10. Funds Remaining (Line 8-9) (1,559,982)  11. Article 4.5 Adjustment (5.0% of line 10) (77,999)  12. Article 4 Adjustment (Line 10-11) (1,481,982)</p>	<p><b>FY 2010-11 TDA Estimate</b>  <b><i>FY 2010-11 County Auditor's Generations Estimate</i></b></p> <p>13. County Auditor's Estimate 48,392,319</p> <p><b><i>FY 2010-11 Planning and Administration Charges</i></b></p> <p>14. MTC Administration (0.5% of line 13) 241,962  15. County Administration (0.5% of line 13) 241,962  16. MTC Planning (3.0% of line 13) 1,451,770  17. Total Charges (Lines 14+15+16) 1,935,693  18. TDA Generations Less Charges (Line 13-17) 46,456,626</p> <p><b><i>FY 2010-11 TDA Apportionment By Article</i></b></p> <p>19. Article 3.0 (2.0% of line 18) 929,133  20. Funds Remaining (Line 18-19) 45,527,494  21. Article 4.5 (5.0% of line 20) 2,276,375  22. TDA Article 4 (Line 20-21) 43,251,119</p>
--	---

**TDA APPORTIONMENT BY JURISDICTIONS**

Column	A	B	C	D	E	F	G=Sum(A:F)	H	I=G+H
	6/30/2009 <sup>1</sup>	FY 2009-10	FY 2008-10	FY 2009 - 10	FY 2009 - 10	FY 2009 - 10	6/30/10	FY 2010 - 11	Total
Apportionment Jurisdictions	Balance	Interest	Outstanding Commitments <sup>2</sup>	Transfers/ Refunds	Original Estimate	Revenue Adjustment	Projected Carryover	Revenue Estimate	Available For Allocation
Article 3	2,734,514	20,850	(3,047,548)	-	1,002,736	(31,835)	678,717	929,133	1,607,850
Article 4.5	3,728	22	(2,202,303)	-	2,456,702	(77,999)	180,151	2,276,375	2,456,526
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>2,738,242</b>	<b>20,872</b>	<b>(5,249,851)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>3,459,438</b>	<b>(109,834)</b>	<b>858,868</b>	<b>3,205,507</b>	<b>4,064,376</b>

Article 4									
AC TRANSIT									
District 1	46,264	(8)	(29,509,034)	-	30,428,879	(966,101)	-	28,176,684	28,176,684
District 2	11,851	(2)	(7,550,776)	-	7,786,132	(247,205)	-	7,214,859	7,214,859
BART <sup>3</sup>	246	-	(156,526)	-	161,405	(5,125)	-	149,657	149,657
LAVTA	4,486,604	19,857	(9,533,099)	14,082	6,080,193	(193,045)	874,592	5,654,264	6,528,856
Union City	5,639,083	36,892	(4,294,299)	463,767	2,220,737	(70,506)	3,995,675	2,055,655	6,051,331
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>10,184,050</b>	<b>56,739</b>	<b>(51,043,734)</b>	<b>477,849</b>	<b>46,677,346</b>	<b>(1,481,982)</b>	<b>4,870,268</b>	<b>43,251,119</b>	<b>48,121,387</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>12,922,292</b>	<b>77,611</b>	<b>(56,293,584)</b>	<b>477,849</b>	<b>50,136,784</b>	<b>(1,591,816)</b>	<b>5,729,136</b>	<b>46,456,626</b>	<b>52,185,762</b>

1. Balance as of 6/30/09 is from MTC FY 2008-09 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.  
2. The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of June 30, 2009, and FY 2009-10 allocations as of June 30, 2010.  
3. Details on the proposed apportionment of BART funding to local operators are shown on page 15 of the Fund Estimate.

**FY 2010-11 FUND ESTIMATE  
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS  
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY**

*Attachment A  
Res No. 3939  
Page 3 of 16  
July 28, 2010*

FY 2009-10 TDA Revenue Estimate Adjustment	FY 2010-11 TDA Estimate
<i><b>FY 2009-10 Generation Estimates Adjustment</b></i>	<i><b>FY 2010-11 County Auditor's Generations Estimate</b></i>
1. Original County Auditor Estimate (Feb, 09) 32,625,325	13. County Auditor's Estimate 28,528,248
2. Actual Revenue (July, 10) 28,866,624	
3. Revenue Adjustment (Line 2-1) (3,758,701)	<i><b>FY 2010-11 Planning and Administration Charges</b></i>
<i><b>FY 2009-10 Planning and Administration Charges Adjustment</b></i>	14. MTC Administration (0.5% of line 13) 142,641
4. MTC Administration (0.5% of line 3) (18,794)	15. County Administration (0.5% of line 13) 142,641
5. County Administration (0.5% of line 3) (68,793)	16. MTC Planning (3.0% of line 13) 855,847
6. MTC Planning (3.0% of line 3) (112,761)	17. Total Charges (Lines 14+15+16) 1,141,130
7. Total Charges (Lines 4+5+6) (200,347)	18. TDA Generations Less Charges (Line 13-17) 27,387,118
8. Adjusted Generations Less Charges (Line 3-7) (3,558,354)	<i><b>FY 2010-11 TDA Apportionment By Article</b></i>
<i><b>FY 2009-10 TDA Adjustment By Article</b></i>	19. Article 3.0 (2.0% of line 18) 547,742
9. Article 3 Adjustment (2.0% of line 8) (71,165)	20. Funds Remaining (Line 18-19) 26,839,375
10. Funds Remaining (Line 8-9) (3,487,189)	21. Article 4.5 (5.0% of line 20) 1,341,969
11. Article 4.5 Adjustment (5.0% of line 10) (174,359)	22. TDA Article 4 (Line 20-21) 25,497,406
12. Article 4 Adjustment (Line 10-11) (3,312,828)	

**TDA APPORTIONMENT BY JURISDICTIONS**

Column	A	B	C	D	E	F	G=Sum(A:F)	H	I=G+H
	6/30/2009 <sup>1</sup>	FY 2009-10	FY 2008-10	FY 2009 - 10	FY 2009 - 10	FY 2009 - 10	6/30/10	FY 2010 - 11	Total
Apportionment Jurisdictions	Balance	Interest	Outstanding Commitments <sup>2</sup>	Transfers/ Refunds	Original Estimate	Revenue Adjustment	Projected Carryover	Revenue Estimate	Available For Allocation
Article 3	1,358,062	434	(1,770,208)	-	626,406	(71,165)	143,529	547,742	691,272
Article 4.5	128,044	-	(1,488,380)	-	1,534,695	(174,359)	-	1,341,969	1,341,969
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>1,486,106</b>	<b>434</b>	<b>(3,258,588)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>2,161,102</b>	<b>(245,524)</b>	<b>143,529</b>	<b>1,889,711</b>	<b>2,033,240</b>

Article 4									
AC TRANSIT									
District 1	524,926	28	(5,184,656)	-	5,256,954	(597,252)	-	4,584,816	4,584,816
BART <sup>3</sup>	8,683	-	(180,479)	-	193,816	(22,020)	-	164,917	164,917
CCCTA	3,550,022	813	(14,999,445)	1,139,186	13,737,270	(1,560,714)	1,867,132	12,044,701	13,911,834
ECCTA	4,104,189	604	(8,608,494)	18,041	8,034,654	(912,830)	2,636,163	7,027,213	9,663,377
WestCAT	1,261,290	181	(2,311,383)	-	1,936,516	(220,012)	666,591	1,675,759	2,342,350
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>9,449,110</b>	<b>1,626</b>	<b>(31,284,457)</b>	<b>1,157,227</b>	<b>29,159,210</b>	<b>(3,312,828)</b>	<b>5,169,887</b>	<b>25,497,406</b>	<b>30,667,293</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>10,935,216</b>	<b>2,060</b>	<b>(34,543,046)</b>	<b>1,157,227</b>	<b>31,320,312</b>	<b>(3,558,353)</b>	<b>5,313,416</b>	<b>27,387,118</b>	<b>32,700,534</b>

1. Balance as of 6/30/09 is from MTC FY 2008-09 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.

2. The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of June 30, 2009, and FY 2009-10 allocations as of June 30, 2010.

3. Details on the proposed apportionment of BART funding to local operators are shown on page 15 of the Fund Estimate.

**FY 2010-11 FUND ESTIMATE  
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS  
MARIN COUNTY**

*Attachment A  
Res No. 3939  
Page 4 of 16  
July 28, 2010*

<b>FY 2009-10 TDA Revenue Estimate Adjustment</b>	<b>FY 2010-11 TDA Estimate</b>
<b><i>FY 2009-10 Generation Estimates Adjustment</i></b>	
1. Original County Auditor Estimate (Feb, 09)	8,253,000
2. Actual Revenue (July, 10)	9,241,572
3. Revenue Adjustment (Line 2-1)	(780,928)
<b><i>FY 2009-10 Planning and Administration Charges Adjustment</i></b>	
4. MTC Administration (0.5% of line 3)	(3,905)
5. County Administration (0.5% of line 3)	(3,905)
6. MTC Planning (3.0% of line 3)	(23,428)
7. Total Charges (Lines 4+5+6)	(31,237)
8. Adjusted Generations Less Charges (Line 3-7)	(749,691)
<b><i>FY 2009-10 TDA Adjustment By Article</i></b>	
9. Article 3 Adjustment (2.0% of line 8)	(14,992)
10. Funds Remaining (Line 8-9)	(734,699)
11. Article 4.5 Adjustment (5.0% of line 10)	-
12. Article 4 Adjustment (Line 10-11)	(734,699)
<b><i>FY 2010-11 County Auditor's Generations Estimate</i></b>	
13. County Auditor's Estimate	8,253,000
<b><i>FY 2010-11 Planning and Administration Charges</i></b>	
14. MTC Administration (0.5% of line 13)	41,265
15. County Administration (0.5% of line 13)	41,265
16. MTC Planning (3.0% of line 13)	247,590
17. Total Charges (Lines 14+15+16)	330,120
18. TDA Generations Less Charges (Line 13-17)	7,922,880
<b><i>FY 2010-11 TDA Apportionment By Article</i></b>	
19. Article 3.0 (2.0% of line 18)	158,458
20. Funds Remaining (Line 18-19)	7,764,422
21. Article 4.5 (5.0% of line 20)	-
22. TDA Article 4 (Line 20-21)	7,764,422

**TDA APPORTIONMENT BY JURISDICTIONS**

Column	A	B	C	D	E	F	G=Sum(A:F)	H	I=G+H
	6/30/2009 <sup>1</sup>	FY 2009-10	FY 2008-10	FY 2009 - 10	FY 2009 - 10	FY 2009 - 10	6/30/10	FY 2010 - 11	Total
Apportionment Jurisdictions	Balance	Interest	Outstanding Commitments <sup>2</sup>	Transfers/ Refunds	Original Estimate	Revenue Adjustment	Projected Carryover	Revenue Estimate	Available For Allocation
Article 3	1,578,338	13,899	(1,579,500)	-	192,432	(14,992)	190,178	158,458	348,636
Article 4.5									
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>1,578,338</b>	<b>13,899</b>	<b>(1,579,500)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>192,432</b>	<b>(14,992)</b>	<b>190,178</b>	<b>158,458</b>	<b>348,636</b>
<b>Article 4/8</b>									
GGBHTD <sup>3</sup>	2	-	(8,694,471)	-	9,429,168	(734,699)	-	7,764,422	7,764,422
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(8,694,471)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>9,429,168</b>	<b>(734,699)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>7,764,422</b>	<b>7,764,422</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>1,578,340</b>	<b>13,899</b>	<b>(10,273,971)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>9,621,600</b>	<b>(749,691)</b>	<b>190,178</b>	<b>7,922,880</b>	<b>8,113,058</b>

1. Balance as of 6/30/09 is from MTC FY 2008-09 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.
2. The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of June 30, 2009, and FY 2009-10 allocations as of June 30, 2010.
3. GGBHTD is authorized to claim 100% of the apportionments to Marin County. Per agreement between GGBHTD and MCTD, certain portion of this amount will be credited to Marin County Transit District to support local transit services.

**FY 2010-11 FUND ESTIMATE  
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS  
NAPA COUNTY**

*Attachment A  
Res No. 3939  
Page 5 of 16  
July 28, 2010*

<p><b>FY 2009-10 TDA Revenue Estimate Adjustment</b></p> <p><i><b>FY 2009-10 Generation Estimates Adjustment</b></i></p> <p>1. Original County Auditor Estimate (Feb, 09) 6,739,178</p> <p>2. Actual Revenue (July, 10) 5,578,656</p> <p>3. Revenue Adjustment (Line 2-1) (1,160,522)</p> <p><i><b>FY 2009-10 Planning and Administration Charges Adjustment</b></i></p> <p>4. MTC Administration (0.5% of line 3) (5,803)</p> <p>5. County Administration (0.5% of line 3) (5,803)</p> <p>6. MTC Planning (3.0% of line 3) (34,816)</p> <p>7. Total Charges (Lines 4+5+6) (46,421)</p> <p>8. Adjusted Generations Less Charges (Line 3-7) (1,114,101)</p> <p><i><b>FY 2009-10 TDA Adjustment By Article</b></i></p> <p>9. Article 3 Adjustment (2.0% of line 8) (22,279)</p> <p>10. Funds Remaining (Line 8-9) (1,091,822)</p> <p>11. Article 4.5 Adjustment (5.0% of line 10) (54,591)</p> <p>12. Article 4 Adjustment (Line 10-11) (1,037,231)</p>	<p><b>FY 2010-11 TDA Estimate</b></p> <p><i><b>FY 2010-11 County Auditor's Generations Estimate</b></i></p> <p>13. County Auditor's Estimate 5,600,000</p> <p><i><b>FY 2010-11 Planning and Administration Charges</b></i></p> <p>14. MTC Administration (0.5% of line 13) 28,000</p> <p>15. County Administration (0.5% of line 13) 28,000</p> <p>16. MTC Planning (3.0% of line 13) 168,000</p> <p>17. Total Charges (Lines 14+15+16) 224,000</p> <p>18. TDA Generations Less Charges (Line 13-17) 5,376,000</p> <p><i><b>FY 2010-11 TDA Apportionment By Article</b></i></p> <p>19. Article 3.0 (2.0% of line 18) 107,520</p> <p>20. Funds Remaining (Line 18-19) 5,268,480</p> <p>21. Article 4.5 (5.0% of line 20) 263,424</p> <p>22. TDA Article 4 (Line 20-21) 5,005,056</p>
---	---

**TDA APPORTIONMENT BY JURISDICTIONS**

<i>Column</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>E</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>G=Sum(A:F)</i>	<i>H</i>	<i>I=G+H</i>
	6/30/2009 <sup>1</sup>	FY 2009-10	FY 2008-10	FY 2009 - 10	FY 2009 - 10	FY 2009 - 10	6/30/10	FY 2010 - 11	Total
Apportionment Jurisdictions	Balance	Interest	Outstanding Commitments <sup>2</sup>	Transfers/ Refunds	Original Estimate	Revenue Adjustment	Projected Carryover	Revenue Estimate	Available For Allocation
Article 3	514,399	6,364	(130,000)	-	129,392	(22,279)	497,877	107,520	605,397
Article 4.5	-	-	(262,420)	-	317,011	(54,591)	-	263,424	263,424
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>514,399</b>	<b>6,364</b>	<b>(392,420)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>446,403</b>	<b>(76,870)</b>	<b>497,877</b>	<b>370,944</b>	<b>868,821</b>
<b>Article 4/8</b>									
NCTPA <sup>3</sup>	9,457,511	95,224	(6,820,556)	4,282,999	6,023,208	(1,037,231)	12,001,155	5,005,056	17,006,211
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>9,457,511</b>	<b>95,224</b>	<b>(6,820,556)</b>	<b>4,282,999</b>	<b>6,023,208</b>	<b>(1,037,231)</b>	<b>12,001,155</b>	<b>5,005,056</b>	<b>17,006,211</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>9,971,910</b>	<b>101,589</b>	<b>(7,212,976)</b>	<b>4,282,999</b>	<b>6,469,611</b>	<b>(1,114,101)</b>	<b>12,499,032</b>	<b>5,376,000</b>	<b>17,875,032</b>

1. Balance as of 6/30/09 is from MTC FY 2008-09 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.

2. The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of June 30, 2009, and FY 2009-10 allocations as of June 30, 2010.

3. Napa County Transportation Planning Agency (NCTPA) is authorized to claim 100% of the apportionment to Napa County.

**FY 2010-11 FUND ESTIMATE**  
**TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS**  
**SAN MATEO COUNTY**

*Attachment A*  
*Res No. 3939*  
*Page 6 of 16*  
*July 28, 2010*

FY 2009-10 TDA Revenue Estimate Adjustment	FY 2010-11 TDA Estimate
<b><i>FY 2009-10 Generation Estimates Adjustment</i></b>	
1. Original County Auditor Estimate (Feb, 09)	13. County Auditor's Estimate
31,862,138	26,297,753
2. Actual Revenue (July, 10)	
28,278,676	
3. Revenue Adjustment (Line 2-1)	
(3,583,462)	
<b><i>FY 2009-10 Planning and Administration Charges Adjustment</i></b>	
4. MTC Administration (0.5% of line 3)	14. MTC Administration (0.5% of line 13)
(17,917)	131,489
5. County Administration (0.5% of line 3)	15. County Administration (0.5% of line 13)
(104,917)	131,489
6. MTC Planning (3.0% of line 3)	16. MTC Planning (3.0% of line 13)
(107,504)	788,933
7. Total Charges (Lines 4+5+6)	17. Total Charges (Lines 14+15+16)
(230,338)	1,051,910
8. Adjusted Generations Less Charges (Line 3-7)	18. TDA Generations Less Charges (Line 13-17)
(3,353,124)	25,245,843
<b><i>FY 2009-10 TDA Adjustment By Article</i></b>	
9. Article 3 Adjustment (2.0% of line 8)	19. Article 3.0 (2.0% of line 18)
(67,061)	504,917
10. Funds Remaining (Line 8-9)	20. Funds Remaining (Line 18-19)
(3,286,063)	24,740,926
11. Article 4.5 Adjustment (5.0% of line 10)	21. Article 4.5 (5.0% of line 20)
(164,302)	1,237,046
12. Article 4 Adjustment (Line 10-11)	22. TDA Article 4 (Line 20-21)
(3,121,760)	23,503,880

**TDA APPORTIONMENT BY JURISDICTIONS**

Column	A	B	C	D	E	F	G=Sum(A:F)	H	I=G+H
	6/30/2009 <sup>1</sup>	FY 2009-10	FY 2008-10	FY 2009 - 10	FY 2009 - 10	FY 2009 - 10	6/30/10	FY 2010 - 11	Total
Apportionment Jurisdictions	Balance	Interest	Outstanding Commitments <sup>2</sup>	Transfers/ Refunds	Original Estimate	Revenue Adjustment	Projected Carryover	Revenue Estimate	Available For Allocation
Article 3	1,805,067	19,672	(2,369,432)	-	611,753	(67,061)	-	504,917	504,917
Article 4.5	3,058	392	-	(1,337,943)	1,498,795	(164,302)	-	1,237,046	1,237,046
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>1,808,126</b>	<b>20,064</b>	<b>(2,369,432)</b>	<b>(1,337,943)</b>	<b>2,110,548</b>	<b>(231,362)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1,741,963</b>	<b>1,741,963</b>
<b>Article 4</b>									
SamTrans	58,132	13,132	(26,764,551)	1,337,943	28,477,104	(3,121,760)	-	23,503,880	23,503,880
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>58,132</b>	<b>13,132</b>	<b>(26,764,551)</b>	<b>1,337,943</b>	<b>28,477,104</b>	<b>(3,121,760)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>23,503,880</b>	<b>23,503,880</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>1,866,257</b>	<b>33,196</b>	<b>(29,133,983)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>30,587,652</b>	<b>(3,353,122)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>25,245,843</b>	<b>25,245,843</b>

1. Balance as of 6/30/09 is from MTC FY 2008-09 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.

2. The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of June 30, 2009, and FY 2009-10 allocations as of June 30, 2010.

**FY 2010-11 FUND ESTIMATE**  
**TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS**  
**SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY**

*Attachment A*  
*Res No. 3939*  
*Page 7 of 16*  
*July 28, 2010*

<b>FY 2009-10 TDA Revenue Estimate Adjustment</b>	<b>FY 2010-11 TDA Estimate</b>
<b><i>FY 2009-10 Generation Estimates Adjustment</i></b>	
1. Original County Auditor Estimate (Feb, 09)	34,117,642
2. Actual Revenue (July, 10)	31,511,433
3. Revenue Adjustment (Line 2-1)	(2,606,209)
<b><i>FY 2009-10 Planning and Administration Charges Adjustment</i></b>	
4. MTC Administration (0.5% of line 3)	(13,031)
5. County Administration (0.5% of line 3)	(13,031)
6. MTC Planning (3.0% of line 3)	(78,186)
7. Total Charges (Lines 4+5+6)	(104,248)
8. Adjusted Generations Less Charges (Line 3-7)	(2,501,961)
<b><i>FY 2009-10 TDA Adjustment By Article</i></b>	
9. Article 3 Adjustment (2.0% of line 8)	(50,037)
10. Funds Remaining (Line 8-9)	(2,451,923)
11. Article 4.5 Adjustment (5.0% of line 10)	(122,596)
12. Article 4 Adjustment (Line 10-11)	(2,329,327)
<b><i>FY 2010-11 County Auditor's Generations Estimate</i></b>	
13. County Auditor's Estimate	34,036,400
<b><i>FY 2010-11 Planning and Administration Charges</i></b>	
14. MTC Administration (0.5% of line 13)	170,182
15. County Administration (0.5% of line 13)	170,182
16. MTC Planning (3.0% of line 13)	1,021,092
17. Total Charges (Lines 14+15+16)	1,361,456
18. TDA Generations Less Charges (Line 13-17)	32,674,944
<b><i>FY 2010-11 TDA Apportionment By Article</i></b>	
19. Article 3.0 (2.0% of line 18)	653,499
20. Funds Remaining (Line 18-19)	32,021,445
21. Article 4.5 (5.0% of line 20)	1,601,072
22. TDA Article 4 (Line 20-21)	30,420,373

**TDA APPORTIONMENT BY JURISDICTIONS**

<i>Column</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>E</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>G=Sum(A:F)</i>	<i>H</i>	<i>I=G+H</i>
	6/30/2009 <sup>1</sup>	FY 2009-10	FY 2008-10	FY 2009 - 10	FY 2009 - 10	FY 2009 - 10	6/30/10	FY 2010 - 11	Total
Apportionment Jurisdictions	Balance	Interest	Outstanding Commitments <sup>2</sup>	Transfers/ Refunds	Original Estimate	Revenue Adjustment	Projected Carryover	Revenue Estimate	Available For Allocation
Article 3	1,532,116	17,520	(1,259,453)	-	655,059	(50,037)	895,205	653,499	1,548,704
Article 4.5	-	120	-	(1,482,416)	1,604,894	(122,598)	-	1,601,072	1,601,072
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>1,532,116</b>	<b>17,640</b>	<b>(1,259,453)</b>	<b>(1,482,416)</b>	<b>2,259,953</b>	<b>(172,635)</b>	<b>895,205</b>	<b>2,254,571</b>	<b>3,149,776</b>
<b>Article 4</b>									
SFMTA	-	1,274	(29,647,347)	1,482,416	30,492,984	(2,329,327)	-	30,420,373	30,420,373
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1,274</b>	<b>(29,647,347)</b>	<b>1,482,416</b>	<b>30,492,984</b>	<b>(2,329,327)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>30,420,373</b>	<b>30,420,373</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>1,532,116</b>	<b>18,914</b>	<b>(30,906,800)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>32,752,937</b>	<b>(2,501,963)</b>	<b>895,205</b>	<b>32,674,944</b>	<b>33,570,149</b>

1. Balance as of 6/30/09 is from MTC FY 2008-09 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.

2. The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of June 30, 2009, and FY 2009-10 allocations as of June 30, 2010.

**FY 2010-11 FUND ESTIMATE**  
**TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS**  
**SANTA CLARA COUNTY**

*Attachment A*  
*Res No. 3939*  
*Page 8 of 16*  
*July 28, 2010*

FY 2009-10 TDA Revenue Estimate Adjustment	FY 2010-11 TDA Estimate
<i><b>FY 2009-10 Generation Estimates Adjustment</b></i>	<i><b>FY 2010-11 County Auditor's Generations Estimate</b></i>
1. Original County Auditor Estimate (Feb, 09) 83,580,323	13. County Auditor's Estimate 64,250,363
2. Actual Revenue (July, 10) 69,602,488	<i><b>FY 2010-11 Planning and Administration Charges</b></i>
3. Revenue Adjustment (Line 2-1) (13,977,835)	14. MTC Administration (0.5% of line 13) 321,252
<i><b>FY 2009-10 Planning and Administration Charges Adjustment</b></i>	15. County Administration (0.5% of line 13) 321,252
4. MTC Administration (0.5% of line 3) (69,889)	16. MTC Planning (3.0% of line 13) 1,927,511
5. County Administration (0.5% of line 3) (369,889)	17. Total Charges (Lines 14+15+16) 2,570,015
6. MTC Planning (3.0% of line 3) (419,335)	18. TDA Generations Less Charges (Line 13-17) 61,680,348
7. Total Charges (Lines 4+5+6) (859,113)	<i><b>FY 2010-11 TDA Apportionment By Article</b></i>
8. Adjusted Generations Less Charges (Line 3-7) (13,118,721)	19. Article 3.0 (2.0% of line 18) 1,233,607
<i><b>FY 2009-10 TDA Adjustment By Article</b></i>	20. Funds Remaining (Line 18-19) 60,446,742
9. Article 3 Adjustment (2.0% of line 8) (262,372)	21. Article 4.5 (5.0% of line 20) 3,022,337
10. Funds Remaining (Line 8-9) (12,856,349)	22. TDA Article 4 (Line 20-21) 57,424,404
11. Article 4.5 Adjustment (5.0% of line 10) (642,817)	
12. Article 4 Adjustment (Line 10-11) (12,213,531)	

**TDA APPORTIONMENT BY JURISDICTIONS**

Column	A	B	C	D	E	F	G=Sum(A:F)	H	I=G+H
	6/30/2009 <sup>1</sup>	FY 2009-10	FY 2008-10	FY 2009 - 10	FY 2009 - 10	FY 2009 - 10	6/30/10	FY 2010 - 11	Total
Apportionment Jurisdictions	Balance	Interest	Outstanding Commitments <sup>2</sup>	Transfers/ Refunds	Original Estimate	Revenue Adjustment	Projected Carryover	Revenue Estimate	Available For Allocation
Article 3	3,897,029	82,960	(4,913,248)	-	1,604,742	(262,372)	409,111	1,233,607	1,642,718
Article 4.5	113	856	-	(3,289,769)	3,931,618	(642,817)	-	3,022,337	3,022,337
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>3,897,142</b>	<b>83,816</b>	<b>(4,913,248)</b>	<b>(3,289,769)</b>	<b>5,536,361</b>	<b>(905,190)</b>	<b>409,111</b>	<b>4,255,944</b>	<b>4,665,055</b>
<b>Article 4</b>									
VTA	2,476	21,218	(65,800,681)	3,289,769	74,700,749	(12,213,531)	-	57,424,404	57,424,404
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>2,476</b>	<b>21,218</b>	<b>(65,800,681)</b>	<b>3,289,769</b>	<b>74,700,749</b>	<b>(12,213,531)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>57,424,404</b>	<b>57,424,404</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>3,899,618</b>	<b>105,034</b>	<b>(70,713,929)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>80,237,110</b>	<b>(13,118,721)</b>	<b>409,111</b>	<b>61,680,348</b>	<b>62,089,459</b>

1. Balance as of 6/30/09 is from MTC FY 2008-09 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.

2. The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of June 30, 2009, and FY 2009-10 allocations as of June 30, 2010.

**FY 2010-11 FUND ESTIMATE**  
**TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS**  
**SOLANO COUNTY**

*Attachment A*  
*Res No. 3939*  
*Page 9 of 16*  
*July 28, 2010*

FY 2009-10 TDA Revenue Estimate Adjustment	FY 2010-11 TDA Estimate
<i><b>FY 2009-10 Generation Estimates Adjustment</b></i>	<i><b>FY 2010-11 County Auditor's Generations Estimate</b></i>
1. Original County Auditor Estimate (Feb, 09) 15,502,969	13. County Auditor's Estimate 13,880,128
2. Actual Revenue (July, 10) 13,099,294	<i><b>FY 2010-11 Planning and Administration Charges</b></i>
3. Revenue Adjustment (Line 2-1) (2,403,675)	14. MTC Administration (0.5% of line 13) 69,401
<i><b>FY 2009-10 Planning and Administration Charges Adjustment</b></i>	15. County Administration (0.5% of line 13) 69,401
4. MTC Administration (0.5% of line 3) (12,018)	16. MTC Planning (3.0% of line 13) 416,404
5. County Administration (0.5% of line 3) (12,018)	17. Total Charges (Lines 14+15+16) 555,205
6. MTC Planning (3.0% of line 3) (72,110)	18. TDA Generations Less Charges (Line 13-17) 13,324,923
7. Total Charges (Lines 4+5+6) (96,147)	<i><b>FY 2010-11 TDA Apportionment By Article</b></i>
8. Adjusted Generations Less Charges (Line 3-7) (2,307,528)	19. Article 3.0 (2.0% of line 18) 266,498
<i><b>FY 2009-10 TDA Adjustment By Article</b></i>	20. Funds Remaining (Line 18-19) 13,058,424
9. Article 3 Adjustment (2.0% of line 8) (46,149)	21. Article 4.5 (5.0% of line 20) -
10. Funds Remaining (Line 8-9) (2,261,379)	22. TDA Article 4 (Line 20-21) 13,058,424
11. Article 4.5 Adjustment (5.0% of line 10) -	
12. Article 4 Adjustment (Line 10-11) (2,261,379)	

**TDA APPORTIONMENT BY JURISDICTIONS**

Column	A	B	C	D	E	F	G=Sum(A:F)	H	I=G+H
	6/30/2009 <sup>1</sup>	FY 2009-10	FY 2008-10	FY 2009 - 10	FY 2009 - 10	FY 2009 - 10	6/30/10	FY 2010 - 11	Total
Apportionment Jurisdictions	Balance	Interest	Outstanding Commitments <sup>2</sup>	Transfers/ Refunds	Original Estimate	Revenue Adjustment	Projected Carryover	Revenue Estimate	Available For Allocation
Article 3	548,316	6,700	(666,314)	-	297,657	(46,149)	140,209	266,498	406,707
Article 4.5									
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>548,316</b>	<b>6,700</b>	<b>(666,314)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>297,657</b>	<b>(46,149)</b>	<b>140,209</b>	<b>266,498</b>	<b>406,707</b>
<b>Article 4/8</b>									
Benicia	4,077	3,939	(815,964)	-	956,199	(148,251)	-	856,130	856,130
Dixon	-	340	(507,926)	-	600,726	(93,140)	-	537,755	537,755
Fairfield	5,147,831	48,244	(5,579,091)	-	3,648,477	(565,683)	2,699,777	3,257,193	5,956,970
Rio Vista	213,615	2,404	(319,606)	-	275,841	(42,768)	129,484	251,603	381,087
Suisun City	1	401	(814,556)	-	963,547	(149,394)	-	883,029	883,029
Vacaville	3,998,241	31,683	(6,514,091)	212,715	3,311,904	(513,498)	526,952	2,951,487	3,478,439
Vallejo	1	4,815	(1,844,177)	-	4,138,709	(641,691)	1,657,658	3,704,430	5,362,088
Solano County	-	976	(583,817)	-	689,791	(106,949)	-	616,798	616,798
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>9,363,766</b>	<b>92,801</b>	<b>(16,979,228)</b>	<b>212,715</b>	<b>14,585,193</b>	<b>(2,261,375)</b>	<b>5,013,871</b>	<b>13,058,424</b>	<b>18,072,295</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>9,912,082</b>	<b>99,500</b>	<b>(17,645,542)</b>	<b>212,715</b>	<b>14,882,850</b>	<b>(2,307,524)</b>	<b>5,154,080</b>	<b>13,324,923</b>	<b>18,479,003</b>

1. Balance as of 6/30/09 is from MTC FY 2008-09 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.

2. The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of June 30, 2009, and FY 2009-10 allocations as of June 30, 2010.

**FY 2010-11 FUND ESTIMATE  
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS  
SONOMA COUNTY**

*Attachment A  
Res No. 3939  
Page 10 of 16  
July 28, 2010*

<b>FY 2009-10 TDA Revenue Estimate Adjustment</b>	<b>FY 2010-11 TDA Estimate</b>
<b><i>FY 2009-10 Generation Estimates Adjustment</i></b>	<b><i>FY 2010-11 County Auditor's Generations Estimate</i></b>
1. Original County Auditor Estimate (Feb, 09) 18,500,000	13. County Auditor's Estimate 15,900,000
2. Actual Revenue (July, 10) 15,575,678	<b><i>FY 2010-11 Planning and Administration Charges</i></b>
3. Revenue Adjustment (Line 2-1) (2,924,322)	14. MTC Administration (0.5% of line 13) 79,500
<b><i>FY 2009-10 Planning and Administration Charges Adjustment</i></b>	15. County Administration (0.5% of line 13) 79,500
4. MTC Administration (0.5% of line 3) (14,622)	16. MTC Planning (3.0% of line 13) 477,000
5. County Administration (0.5% of line 3) (57,500)	17. Total Charges (Lines 14+15+16) 636,000
6. MTC Planning (3.0% of line 3) (87,730)	18. TDA Generations Less Charges (Line 13-17) 15,264,000
7. Total Charges (Lines 4+5+6) (159,851)	<b><i>FY 2010-11 TDA Apportionment By Article</i></b>
8. Adjusted Generations Less Charges (Line 3-7) (2,764,471)	19. Article 3.0 (2.0% of line 18) 305,280
<b><i>FY 2009-10 TDA Adjustment By Article</i></b>	20. Funds Remaining (Line 18-19) 14,958,720
9. Article 3 Adjustment (2.0% of line 8) (55,287)	21. Article 4.5 (5.0% of line 20) -
10. Funds Remaining (Line 8-9) (2,709,185)	22. TDA Article 4 (Line 20-21) 14,958,720
11. Article 4.5 Adjustment (5.0% of line 10) -	
12. Article 4 Adjustment (Line 10-11) (2,709,185)	

**TDA APPORTIONMENT BY JURISDICTIONS**

Column	A	B	C	D	E	F	G=Sum(A:F)	H	I=G+H
	6/30/2009 <sup>1</sup>	FY 2009-10	FY 2008-10	FY 2009 - 10	FY 2009 - 10	FY 2009 - 10	6/30/10	FY 2010 - 11	Total
Apportionment Jurisdictions	Balance	Interest	Outstanding Commitments <sup>2</sup>	Transfers/ Refunds	Original Estimate	Revenue Adjustment	Projected Carryover	Revenue Estimate	Available For Allocation
Article 3	1,859,144	18,376	(1,620,170)	-	355,200	(55,287)	557,264	305,280	862,544
Article 4.5									
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>1,859,144</b>	<b>18,376</b>	<b>(1,620,170)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(55,287)</b>	<b>557,264</b>	<b>305,280</b>	<b>862,544</b>	
<b>Article 4/8</b>									
GGBHTD <sup>3</sup>	4,999	-	(3,678,904)	-	4,351,200	(677,294)	-	3,739,680	3,739,680
Healdsburg	441	163	(321,501)	26,183	381,054	(59,314)	27,025	326,879	353,904
Petaluma	610,217	2,708	(1,602,905)	20,012	1,468,896	(228,645)	270,284	1,258,933	1,529,218
Santa Rosa	8,160,043	79,054	(9,254,170)	-	4,310,541	(670,968)	2,624,500	3,708,648	6,333,148
Sonoma County Transit	2,481,188	20,435	(7,575,681)	658,846	6,893,108	(1,072,963)	1,404,933	5,924,580	7,329,513
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>11,256,888</b>	<b>102,360</b>	<b>(22,433,161)</b>	<b>705,041</b>	<b>17,404,800</b>	<b>(2,709,184)</b>	<b>4,326,742</b>	<b>14,958,720</b>	<b>19,285,462</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>13,116,032</b>	<b>120,736</b>	<b>(24,053,331)</b>	<b>705,041</b>	<b>17,404,800</b>	<b>(2,764,471)</b>	<b>4,884,006</b>	<b>15,264,000</b>	<b>20,148,006</b>

1. Balance as of 6/30/09 is from MTC FY 2008-09 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.

2. The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of June 30, 2009, and FY 2009-10 allocations as of June 30, 2010.

3. Apportionment to Golden Gate Bridge Highway and Transportation District is 25 percent of Sonoma County's total Article 4/8 TDA funds.

**FY 2010-11 FUND ESTIMATE  
STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE  
REVENUE-BASED FUNDS (PUC 99314)**

*Attachment A  
Res No. 3939  
Page 11 of 16  
July 28, 2010*

FY 2009-10 Original Base Revenue Estimates	0	FY 2009-10 Projected Carryover	2,090,922
FY 2009-10 Actual Base Revenue	0	FY 2010-11 Base Funds	105,599,967
FY 2009-10 Total Revenue	0		
FY 2009-10 Total Revenue Adjustment	0	<b>Total Funds Available</b>	<b>107,690,889</b>

<i>Column</i>	A	B	C	D=Sum(A:C)	E	F=Sum(D:E)
	6/30/09	FY 2008-10	FY 2009-10	6/30/10	FY 2010 & FY 2011	Total
Apportionment Jurisdictions	Balance (w/interest) <sup>1</sup>	Outstanding Commitments <sup>2</sup>	Revenue Estimate <sup>3</sup>	Projected Carryover	Revenue <sup>4</sup>	Available For Allocation
Alameda CMA - Corresponding to ACE	1,141,888	-	-	1,141,888	237,921	1,379,809
Benicia	1	-	-	1	11,659	11,660
Caltrain	3,792,158	(3,630,462)	-	161,696	5,124,127	5,285,823
CCCTA	12	-	-	12	552,672	552,684
Dixon	23,930	(23,805)	-	125	5,611	5,736
ECCTA	173,910	(173,804)	-	106	211,516	211,622
Fairfield	665,406	-	-	665,406	133,117	798,523
GGBHTD	33	-	-	33	4,482,415	4,482,448
Healdsburg	4,164	-	-	4,164	2,727	6,891
LAVTA	5	-	-	5	201,723	201,728
NCPTA	1	-	-	1	43,448	43,449
Rio Vista	506	(506)	-	-	3,755	3,755
SamTrans	400	-	-	400	4,191,227	4,191,627
Santa Rosa	6	-	-	6	152,522	152,528
Sonoma County Transit	30,165	(30,176)	-	(11)	152,743	152,732
Union City	1	-	-	1	20,821	20,822
Vallejo	45,947	-	-	45,947	563,133	609,080
VRTA	31	-	-	31	14,535,971	14,536,002
VRTA - Corresponding to ACE	10,298	-	-	10,298	331,352	341,650
WestCAT	4	-	-	4	250,121	250,125
Petaluma	41	-	-	41	-	41
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>5,888,907</b>	<b>(3,858,753)</b>	-	<b>2,030,154</b>	<b>31,208,581</b>	<b>33,238,735</b>
AC Transit	43,195	(41,405)	-	1,790	9,892,500	9,894,290
BART	1,578	(1,024)	-	554	28,212,643	28,213,197
SFMTA	6,690,145	(6,631,721)	-	58,424	36,286,243	36,344,667
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>6,734,918</b>	<b>(6,674,150)</b>	-	<b>60,768</b>	<b>74,391,386</b>	<b>74,452,154</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>12,623,825</b>	<b>(10,532,903)</b>	-	<b>2,090,922</b>	<b>105,599,967</b>	<b>107,690,889</b>

1. Balance as of 6/30/09 is from MTC FY 2008-09 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.

2. The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of June 30, 2009, and FY 2009-10 allocations as of June 30, 2010.

3. The FY 2009-10 STA Fund Estimate is based on \$0 in STA statewide per the enacted FY 2009-10 budget.

4. The FY 2010 & FY 2011 STA Revenue Estimate includes all funds to be released by the state in June 2010.

**FY 2010-11 FUND ESTIMATE  
STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE  
POPULATION-BASED FUNDS (PUC 99313)**

*Attachment A  
Res No. 3939  
Page 12 of 16  
July 28, 2010*

FY 2009-10 Original Base Revenue Estimates (Includes Augmentation)			0	FY 2009-10 Projected Carryover		20,495,351
FY 2009-10 Actual Base Revenue (Includes Augmentation)			13,320,000	FY 2010-11 Base Funds		38,521,104
				Res. 3814 Augmentation Transfer		-
				FY 2010-11 Subtotal		38,521,104
FY 2009-10 Total Revenue			13,320,000	Res. 3814 Augmentation Transfer		-
FY 2009-10 Revenue Adjustment			13,320,000	Total Funds Available		59,016,455
<i>Column</i>	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D=Sum(A:C)</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>F=Sum(D:E)</b>
	6/30/09	FY 2008-10	FY 2009-10	6/30/10	FY 2010 & FY 2011	Total
Apportionment Jurisdictions	Balance (w/interest) <sup>1</sup>	Outstanding Commitments <sup>2</sup>	Revenue Actual <sup>3,4</sup>	Projected Carryover	Revenue <sup>5</sup>	Available For Allocation
<b>Northern Counties/Small Operators</b>						
Marin	141	(400,122)	400,122	141	1,157,511	1,157,652
Napa	419,630	(630,227)	212,498	1,901	615,734	617,635
Solano	934,351	(548,396)	663,367	1,049,322	1,909,935	2,959,257
Sonoma	948,553	(1,096,625)	746,020	597,948	2,186,595	2,784,543
CCCTA	270	-	770,157	770,427	2,217,544	2,987,971
ECCTA	569,302	(558,263)	450,449	461,488	1,296,997	1,758,485
LAVTA	667,586	(652,661)	312,428	327,353	899,588	1,226,941
Union City	44	(114,112)	114,112	44	328,567	328,611
WestCAT	45	-	108,568	108,613	312,603	421,216
Vallejo	104,482	-	-	104,482	<i>Included in Solano</i>	104,482
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>3,644,404</b>	<b>(4,000,406)</b>	<b>3,777,721</b>	<b>3,421,719</b>	<b>10,925,075</b>	<b>14,346,794</b>
<b>Regional Paratransit</b>						
Alameda	164	(16,918)	483,378	466,624	1,397,917	1,864,541
Contra Costa	85	-	249,798	249,883	722,411	972,294
Marin	18	(55,807)	55,807	18	161,394	161,412
Napa	14	(36,445)	36,445	14	105,397	105,411
San Francisco	134	(381,640)	381,640	134	1,103,693	1,103,827
San Mateo	77	(211,315)	211,315	77	611,118	611,195
Santa Clara	113,783	-	437,822	551,605	1,266,170	1,817,775
Solano	90,902	-	103,962	194,864	300,656	495,520
Sonoma	40	(69,677)	115,587	45,950	334,274	380,224
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>205,217</b>	<b>(771,802)</b>	<b>2,075,754</b>	<b>1,509,169</b>	<b>6,003,029</b>	<b>7,512,198</b>
<b>Lifeline</b>						
Alameda	5,118,627	(5,297,210)	573,589	395,006	3,081,632	3,476,638
Contra Costa	2,335,141	(2,206,355)	570,831	699,617	1,405,854	2,105,471
Marin	504,391	(625,160)	123,300	2,531	303,664	306,195
Napa	317,579	(322,931)	77,633	72,281	191,196	263,477
San Francisco	2,820,850	(2,115,945)	689,565	1,394,470	1,698,272	3,092,742
San Mateo	1,326,360	(266,510)	324,233	1,384,083	798,525	2,182,608
Santa Clara	4,053,805	(3,187,730)	990,964	1,857,039	2,440,562	4,297,601
Solano	1,027,460	(749,492)	251,166	529,134	618,576	1,147,710
Sonoma	1,176,912	(535,525)	287,699	929,086	708,550	1,637,636
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>18,681,125</b>	<b>(15,306,858)</b>	<b>3,888,980</b>	<b>7,263,247</b>	<b>11,246,832</b>	<b>18,510,079</b>
<b>BART to Warm Springs</b>	<b>320,373</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>320,373</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>320,373</b>
<b>eBART</b>	<b>320,373</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>320,373</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>320,373</b>
<b>SamTrans</b>	<b>37,765</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>37,765</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>37,765</b>
<b>MTC Regional Coordination Program</b>	<b>8,247,353</b>	<b>(4,202,193)</b>	<b>3,577,545</b>	<b>7,622,705</b>	<b>10,346,168</b>	<b>17,968,874</b>
<b>Res. 3814 Augmentation Fund</b>	<b>13,320,000</b>	<b>(13,320,000)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>44,776,610</b>	<b>(37,601,259)</b>	<b>13,320,000</b>	<b>20,495,351</b>	<b>38,521,104</b>	<b>59,016,455</b>

1. Balance as of 6/30/09 is from MTC FY 2008-09 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.  
2. The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of June 30, 2009, and FY 2009-10 allocations as of June 30, 2010.  
3. These figures reflect MTC's distribution of \$13.3 million to operators from the MTC Augmentation Fund  
4. The FY 2009-10 STA Fund Estimate is based on \$0 in STA statewide per the enacted FY 2009-10 budget.  
5. The FY 2010 & FY 2011 STA Revenue Estimate includes all funds to be released by the state in June 2010.

**FY 2010-11 FUND ESTIMATE  
BRIDGE TOLLS**

*Attachment A  
Res No. 3939  
Page 13 of 16  
July 28, 2010*

<i>Column</i>	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D=Sum(A:C)</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>F=G+H</b>
	<b>6/30/09</b>	<b>FY 2009-10</b>	<b>FY 2010</b>	<b>6/30/10</b>	<b>FY 2011</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>FUND CATEGORIES</b>	<b>Balance (w/interest)<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Outstanding Commitments<sup>2,3</sup></b>	<b>Revenue Transfers</b>	<b>Projected Carryover</b>	<b>Revenue Estimate</b>	<b>Available For Allocation</b>
<b>AB 664 Bridge Revenues</b>						
70% East Bay	22,216,581	(28,796,519)	7,552,300	972,362	8,000,352	8,972,714
30% West Bay	11,674,274	(14,748,286)	3,236,700	162,688	3,428,722	3,591,410
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>33,890,855</b>	<b>(43,544,805)</b>	<b>10,789,000</b>	<b>1,135,050</b>	<b>11,429,074</b>	<b>12,564,124</b>
<b>Regional Measure 1 Revenues</b>						
<b>90% Rail Extension Reserve Funds</b>						
70% East Bay	86,239,386	(31,000,000)	6,239,800	61,479,186	6,493,460	67,972,646
30% West Bay	8,778,401	(5,200,000)	2,674,200	6,252,601	2,782,912	9,035,513
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>95,017,787</b>	<b>(36,200,000)</b>	<b>8,914,000</b>	<b>67,731,787</b>	<b>9,276,372</b>	<b>77,008,159</b>
<b>5% State General Fund Revenues</b>	24,233	(3,048,888)	3,024,806	151	3,024,806	3,024,957
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>24,233</b>	<b>(3,048,888)</b>	<b>3,024,806</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>3,024,806</b>	<b>3,024,957</b>
<b>MTC 2% Toll Revenues</b>						
Ferry Capital	433,351	(1,262,257)	863,000	34,094	908,077	942,171
Other (TBD)	-	(215,000)	1,683,000	1,468,000	1,816,155	3,284,155
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>433,351</b>	<b>(1,477,257)</b>	<b>2,546,000</b>	<b>1,502,094</b>	<b>2,724,232</b>	<b>4,226,326</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>129,366,226</b>	<b>(84,270,950)</b>	<b>25,273,806</b>	<b>70,369,082</b>	<b>26,454,484</b>	<b>96,823,566</b>

- 1. Balance as of 6/30/09 is from MTC FY 2008-09 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.*
- 2. The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of June 30, 2009, and FY 2009-10 allocations as of June 30, 2010.*
- 3. Reflects the actual transfers made from BATA for FY 2009-10.*

**FY 2010-11 FUND ESTIMATE  
AB1107 FUNDS**

*Attachment A  
Res No. 3939  
Page 14 of 16  
July 28, 2010*

**AB1107 IS TWENTY-FIVE PERCENT OF THE ONE-HALF CENT BART DISTRICT SALES TAX**

Original FY 2009-10 Fund Estimate	60,000,000	Estimated FY 2009-10 Carryover	-
Actual FY 2009-10 Revenue	55,515,497	FY 2010-11 Fund Estimate	52,000,000
Revenue Adjustment	(4,484,503)	Total Funds Available	52,000,000

<i>Column</i>	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>E=Sum(A:D)</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>G=E+F</b>
	6/30/09	FY 2008-10	FY 2010	FY 2010	6/30/10	FY 2011	Total
Apportionment Jurisdictions	Balance (w/interest) <sup>1</sup>	Outstanding Commitments <sup>2</sup> <sub>,3</sub>	Revenue Estimate	Revenue Adjustment <sup>3</sup>	Projected Carryover	Revenue Estimate	Available For Allocation
AC Transit	-	(27,757,748)	30,000,000	(2,242,252)	-	26,000,000	26,000,000
MUNI	-	(27,757,748)	30,000,000	(2,242,252)	-	26,000,000	26,000,000
<b>TOTAL</b>	-	<b>(55,515,497)</b>	<b>60,000,000</b>	<b>(4,484,503)</b>	-	<b>52,000,000</b>	<b>52,000,000</b>

1. Balance as of 6/30/09 is from MTC FY 2008-09 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.
2. The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of June 30, 2009, and FY 2009-10 allocations as of June 30, 2010.
3. Allocation action taken for AB 1107 states that FY 2009-10 allocations for each operator will be 50% of the actual generation, with the total allocation limited by the FY 2009-10 Fund Estimate. Outstanding Commitments are updated to reflect that action.

**FY 2010-11 FUND ESTIMATE**  
**TDA & STA Fund Subapportionment for Alameda & Contra Costa**  
**And Implementation of Operator Agreements**

*Attachment A*  
*Res No. 3939*  
*Page 15 of 16*  
*July 28, 2010*

**ARTICLE 4.5 AND STA PARATRANSIT SUBAPPORTIONMENT**

Apportionment Jurisdictions	Alameda		Contra Costa	
	Article 4.5	STA Paratransit	Article 4.5	STA Paratransit
<i>Total</i>	<u>2,456,526</u>	<u>1,864,541</u>	<u>1,341,969</u>	<u>972,294</u>
AC Transit	2,245,019	1,700,461	405,811	294,022
LAVTA	83,522	98,821	-	-
Pleasanton	45,446	-	-	-
Union City	82,539	65,259	-	-
CCCTA			555,025	402,131
ECCTA			292,683	212,057
WestCat			88,449	64,084

**IMPLEMENTATION OF OPERATOR AGREEMENTS**

Total Available BART STA Revenue-Based Funds	28,212,643
Total Available BART Article 4 Funds	314,574
Total Feeder Bus Expense	10,187,967
Total Feeder Bus Payment from BART STA Funds	9,873,393
Total Feeder Bus Payment from BART Article 4 Funds	314,574
Remaining BART STA Base Revenue Funds	18,339,250

Fund Source	Apportionment Jurisdictions	Claimant	Amount <sup>1</sup>	Program
BART STA Base Revenue	BART	AC Transit	4,920,168	Transfer Payment <sup>2</sup>
BART STA Base Revenue	BART	CCCTA	616,358	BART Feeder Bus
BART STA Base Revenue	BART	LAVTA	369,004	BART Feeder Bus
BART STA Base Revenue	BART	ECCTA	2,003,794	BART Feeder Bus
BART STA Base Revenue	BART	WestCat	<u>1,964,069</u>	BART Feeder Bus
<b>Subtotal of BART STA Base Revenue Funds</b>			<b>9,873,393</b>	
TDA Article 4	BART-Alameda	LAVTA	149,657	BART Feeder Bus
TDA Article 4	BART-Contra Costa	WestCat	<u>164,917</u>	BART Feeder Bus
<b>Subtotal of BART Article 4 Funds</b>			<b>314,574</b>	
<b>Remaining Deficit in Feeder Bus Program</b>			<b>-</b>	
TDA Article 4	Union City	AC Transit	116,699	Union City service
TDA Article 4	Union City	AC Transit	<u>37,435</u>	Route DB Subsidy
<b>Subtotal of Union City Article 4 Funds</b>			<b>154,134</b>	

1. Amounts assigned to the claimants in this page will reduce the funds available for allocation in the corresponding apportionment jurisdictions by the same amounts.

2. Per an agreement between BART and AC Transit, AC Transit is guaranteed to receive \$2,460,084 and the balance of \$1,800,000 will be payable after December 31, 2010 pending further negotiations between BART and AC Transit on a transfer payment agreement.

**FY 2010-11 FUND ESTIMATE**  
**STA Spillover Funding Agreement Per Resolution 3814**

*Attachment A*  
*Res No. 3939*  
*Page 16 of 16*  
*July 28, 2010*

**PROPOSITION 1B TRANSIT FUNDING PROGRAM -- POPULATION BASED SPILLOVER DISTRIBUTION**

Apportionment Category	MTC Resolution 3814 Spillover Payment Schedule	%	FY 2007-08 Spillover Distribution	FY 2008-09 Spillover Distribution	FY 2009-10 Spillover Distribution	FY 2010-11 Spillover Distribution
Lifeline	\$ 10,000,000	16%	\$ 1,028,413	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Small Operators / North Counties	\$ 3,000,000	5%	\$ 308,524	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
BART to Warm Springs	\$ 3,000,000	5%	\$ 308,524	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
eBART	\$ 3,000,000	5%	\$ 308,524	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Samtrans	\$ 43,000,000	69%	\$ 4,422,174	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 62,000,000</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$ 6,376,158</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>

Date: June 23, 2010  
Referred by: PAC  
Revised: 07/28/10-C

## ABSTRACT

### Resolution No. 3950, Revised

This resolution approves the allocation of fiscal year 2010-11 Transportation Development Act Article 4, Article 4.5 and Article 8 funds to claimants in the MTC region.

This resolution was revised on July 28, 2010 to allocate TDA funds to various claimants for transit operating.

Discussion of the allocations made under this resolution is contained in the Summary Sheets and/or Executive Director Memoranda presented to the Programming and Allocations Committee on June 9, 2010 and July 14, 2010.

ALLOCATION OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 4, 4.5 and 8 FUNDS  
 DURING FISCAL YEAR 2010-11

Claimant	Project Description	Allocation Amount	Alloc. Code	Approval Date	Apportionment Area/Footnotes	Note
<b>5802 - 99260A Transit - Operations</b>						
CCCTA	Transit Operating	11,418,351	01	06/23/10	CCCTA	
LAVTA	Transit Operating	5,555,019	02	06/23/10	LAVTA	
NCTPA	Transit Operating	1,706,400	03	06/23/10	NCTPA	
Sonoma County Transit	Transit Operating	4,845,533	04	06/23/10	Sonoma County Transit	
AC Transit	Transit Operating	28,176,683	08	07/28/10	AC Transit D1	2
AC Transit	Transit Operating	7,214,860	09	07/28/10	AC Transit D2	2
AC Transit	Transit Operating	4,584,816	10	07/28/10	AC Transit C.C.Co.	2
AC Transit	Transit Operating	2,245,019	11	07/28/10	AC Transit Ala.Co.	1, 2
SFMTA	Transit Operating	30,420,372	12	07/28/10	SFMTA	2
SFMTA	Transit Operating	1,601,072	13	07/28/10	SFMTA	1, 2
Golden Gate	Transit Operating	7,764,422	14	07/28/10	GGBHTD Marin County	2
Golden Gate	Transit Operating	3,739,680	15	07/28/10	GGBHTD Sonoma County	2
ECCTA	Transit Operating	6,496,441	16	07/28/10	ECCTA	
WCCTA	Transit Operating	1,787,751	17	07/28/10	WCCTA	
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>117,556,419</b>				
<b>5803 - 99260A Transit - Capital</b>						
NCTPA	Transit Capital	4,756,874	05	06/23/10	NCTPA	
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>4,756,874</b>				
<b>5813 - 99400E Contractual - Capital</b>						
Vacaville	Transit Capital	1,274,000	06	06/23/10	Vacaville	
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>1,274,000</b>				
<b>5807 - 99400C General Public - Operating</b>						
NCTPA	Transit Operating	1,441,269	07	06/23/10	NCTPA	
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>1,441,269</b>				
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>125,028,562</b>				

**Note:**

- (1) MTC finds that these Article 4.5 funds can be used to better advantage for Article 4 purposes.
- (2) Disbursement of 3rd and 4th quarter payments is conditioned on continued compliance with Resolution 3866, specifically, achieving fare media transition milestones.

Date: June 23, 2010  
Referred by: PAC  
Revised: 07/28/10-C

Attachment B  
Resolution No. 3950, Revised  
Page 1 of 3

ALLOCATION OF FISCAL YEAR 2010-11  
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT  
ARTICLE 4, ARTICLE 4.5 AND ARTICLE 8  
FUNDS TO CLAIMANTS IN THE MTC REGION

**FINDINGS**

The following findings pertain, as the case may be, to claimants to which Transportation Development Act funds are allocated under this resolution.

**Transportation Development Act Article 4 Funds**

Public Utilities Code § 99268 et seq.

1. That each claimant has submitted, or shall have submitted prior to the disbursement of funds, copies, to MTC and to appropriate agencies, of all required State Controller's reports and fiscal audit reports prepared in accordance with Public Utilities Code §§ 99243 and 99245; and
2. That the projects and purposes for which each claimant has submitted an application for TDA Article 4 funds to MTC are in conformance with MTC's Regional Transportation Plan (21 California Code of Regulations § 6651), and with the applicable state regulations (21 California Code of Regulations § 6600 et seq.), and with the applicable MTC rules and regulations; and
3. That each claimant has submitted to MTC as part of its application for TDA Article 4 funds a budget indicating compliance with the 50% expenditure limitation of Public Utilities Code § 99268, or with the applicable fare or fares-plus-local-support recovery ratio requirement (Public Utilities Code §§ 99268.2, 99268.3, 99268.4, 99268.12, or 99270.5), as so attested to by the claimant's chief financial officer; and
4. That the sum of each claimant's total allocation of Transportation Development Act and State Transit Assistance funds does not exceed the amount that the claimant is eligible to receive, in accordance with the calculations prescribed by 21 California Code of Regulations § 6633.1, or § 6634; and

5. That pursuant to Public Utilities Code § 99233.7 funds available for purposes stated in TDA Article 4.5 can be used to better advantage by a claimant for purposes stated in Article 4 in the development of a balanced transportation system.

### **Transportation Development Act Article 4.5 Funds**

#### Public Utilities Code § 99275

1. That each claimant has submitted, or shall have submitted prior to the disbursement of funds, copies, to MTC and to appropriate agencies, of all required State Controller's reports and fiscal audit reports prepared in accordance with Public Utilities Code §§ 99243 and 99245; and

2. That the projects and purposes for which each claimant has submitted an application for TDA Article 4.5 funds to MTC are in conformance with MTC's Regional Transportation Plan (21 California Code of Regulations § 6651), and with the applicable state regulations (21 California Code of Regulations § 6600 et seq.), and with the applicable MTC rules and regulations, including MTC Resolution No. 1209, Revised; and

3. That in accordance with Public Utilities Code § 99275.5(c), MTC finds that the projects and purposes for which each claimant has submitted an application for TDA Article 4.5 funds to MTC, responds to a transportation need not otherwise met in the community of the claimant; that the services of the claimant are integrated with existing transit services, as warranted; that the claimant has prepared and submitted to MTC an estimate of revenues, operating costs and patronage for the fiscal year in which TDA Article 4.5 funds are allocated; and that the claimant has submitted a budget indicating compliance with the applicable fare or fares-plus-local-match recovery ratio requirement (as set forth, respectively, in Public Utilities Code § 99268.5 or MTC Resolution No. 1209, Revised), as so attested to by the claimant's chief financial officer; and

4. That the sum of each claimant's total allocation of Transportation Development Act and State Transit Assistance funds does not exceed the amount that the claimant is eligible to receive, in accordance with the calculations prescribed by 21 California Code of Regulations § 6634; and

5. That each claimant is in compliance with Public Utilities Code §§ 99155 and 99155.5, regarding user identification cards.

**Transportation Development Act Article 8 Transit Funds**

Public Utilities Code §§ 99400(c), 99400(d) and 99400(e)

1. That each claimant has submitted, or shall have submitted prior to the disbursement of funds, copies, to MTC and to appropriate agencies, of all required State Controller's reports and fiscal audit reports prepared in accordance with Public Utilities Code §§ 99243 and 99245; and
2. That the projects and purposes for which each claimant has submitted an application for TDA Article 8 funds to MTC are in conformance with MTC's Regional Transportation Plan (21 California Code of Regulations § 6651), and with the applicable state regulations (21 California Code of Regulations § 6600 et seq.), and with the applicable MTC rules and regulations, including MTC Resolution No. 1209, Revised; and
3. That each claimant has submitted to MTC as part of its application for TDA Article 8 funds a budget indicating compliance the applicable fare or fares-plus-local-match recovery ratio requirement (as set forth, respectively, in Public Utilities Code §§ 99268.5, 99268.12, or MTC Resolution No. 1209, Revised), as so attested to by the claimant's chief financial officer; and
4. That the sum of each claimant's total allocation of Transportation Development Act and State Transit Assistance funds does not exceed the amount that the claimant is eligible to receive, in accordance with the calculations prescribed by 21 California Code of Regulations § 6634.
5. That for purposes of reviewing each claim for TDA Article 8 streets and roads purposes, MTC has, pursuant to Public Utilities Code § 99401.5(c), adopted a definition of "unmet transit needs" and "unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet" and procedures and criteria for making findings of unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet (MTC Resolution No. 2380, Revised); and
6. That the County of Solano, through the countywide coordination activities of the Solano Transportation address those needs, and has made available to MTC, Solano County's long-term transportation plan and other documentation to provide a basis for revising appropriate portions of MTC's Regional Transportation Plan.

7. That in accordance with Public Utilities Code § 99401.5(d), MTC has determined that there are no unmet transit needs which are reasonable to meet within the jurisdiction of the County of Solano.

Date: June 23, 2010  
Referred by: PAC  
Revised: 07/28/10-C

## ABSTRACT

### Resolution No. 3951, Revised

This resolution approves the allocation of State Transit Assistance (STA) funds for fiscal year 2010-11.

This resolution was revised on July 28, 2010 to allocate STA funds to various operators for transit operations.

Discussion of the allocations made under this resolution are contained in the MTC Operator Summaries and/or Executive Director Memoranda presented to the Programming and Allocations Committee on June 9, 2010 and July 14, 2010.

Date: June 23, 2010  
 Referred by: PAC  
 Revised: 07/28/10-C

Attachment A  
 MTC Resolution No. 3951, Revised  
 Page 1 of 1

ALLOCATION OF STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUNDS  
 DURING FISCAL YEAR 2010-11

Claimant	Project Description	Allocation Amount	Alloc. Code	Approval Date	Apportionment Area	Note
<b>5821 - 6730B Capital Costs - Population-based MTC Regional Coordination</b>						
MTC	Clipper	2,952,000	01	06/23/10	MTC	
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>2,952,000</b>				
<b>5820 - 6730A Operating Costs - Population-based Small Operator/Northern Counties</b>						
LAVTA	Transit Operating	1,226,941	02	07/28/10	LAVTA	
CCCTA	Transit Operating	2,987,971	03	07/28/10	CCCTA	
Golden Gate	Transit Operating	1,157,652	04	07/28/10	Marin County	(1)
ECCTA	Transit Operating	1,758,485	04	07/28/10	ECCTA	
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>7,131,049</b>				
<b>5820 - 6730A Operating Costs - Population-based Regional Paratransit</b>						
AC Transit	Transit Operating	1,700,461	06	07/28/10	Alameda County	(1)
SFMTA	Transit Operating	1,103,827	07	07/28/10	San Francisco County	(1)
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>2,804,288</b>				
<b>5820 - 6730A Operating Costs - Revenue-based</b>						
AC Transit	Transit Operating	9,894,290	08	07/28/10	AC Transit	(1)
AC Transit	Transit Operating	2,460,084	09	07/28/10	BART	(1)
Golden Gate	Transit Operating	4,482,448	10	07/28/10	Golden Gate	(1)
SFMTA	Transit Operating	36,344,667	11	07/28/10	SFMTA	(1)
ECCTA	Transit Operating	2,003,794	12	07/28/10	BART	
WestCAT	Transit Operating	1,830,069	13	07/28/10	BART	
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>57,015,352</b>				
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>69,902,689</b>				

**Note**

(1) Disbursement of 3rd and 4th quarter payments is conditioned on continued compliance with Resolution 3866, specifically, achieving fare media transition milestones.

Date: July 28, 2010  
Referred by: PAC

ABSTRACT

Resolution No. 3952

This resolution approves the allocation of fiscal year 2010-11 AB 1107 half-cent sales tax funds to AC Transit and SFMTA.

Discussion of the allocations made under this resolution are contained in the Summary Sheets and/or Executive Director Memoranda presented to the Programming and Allocations Committee on July 14, 2010.

Date: July 28, 2010  
Referred by: PAC

Re: Allocation of Fiscal Year 2010-11 "AB 1107" Half-Cent Sales Tax Funds

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION  
RESOLUTION NO. 3952

WHEREAS, pursuant to Government Code Section 66500 *et seq.*, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission ("MTC") is the regional transportation planning agency for the San Francisco Bay Area; and

WHEREAS, Public Utilities Code Section 29142.2(b) provides that, after deductions for certain administrative expenses, twenty-five percent (25%) of the proceeds from the one-half cent transactions and use tax collected within the San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District (hereinafter referred as "AB 1107" funds), shall, on the basis of regional priorities established by MTC, be allocated by MTC to the City and County of San Francisco for the Municipal Railway ("Muni") and to the Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District ("AC Transit"), for transit services; and

WHEREAS, Muni and/or AC Transit has submitted a request for the allocation of fiscal year 2010-11 AB 1107 funds for transit service projects and purposes in accordance with the regional priorities established by MTC; and

WHEREAS, Attachment A to this resolution, attached hereto and incorporated herein as though set forth at length, lists the amounts of and purposes for the fiscal year 2010-11 allocations requested by Muni and/or AC Transit, and is from time-to-time revised; and

WHEREAS, this resolution, including the revisions to Attachment A and the sum of all allocations made under this resolution, are recorded and maintained electronically by MTC; and

WHEREAS, Attachment B to this resolution, attached hereto and incorporated herein as though set forth at length, lists findings pertaining to the allocations made under this resolution to Muni and/or AC Transit, as the case may be; and

WHEREAS, Muni and/or AC Transit has certified that its projects and purposes listed and recorded in Attachment A are in compliance with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code Section 21000 *et seq.*), and with the State Environmental

Impact Report Guidelines (14 California Code of Regulations Section 15000 et seq.); now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that MTC finds that the projects and purposes as listed and recorded in Attachment A are in conformance with MTC's Regional Transportation Plan; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that MTC approves the allocation of fiscal year 2010-11 funds under this resolution to Muni and/or AC Transit, in the amounts, for the purposes, and subject to the conditions, as listed and recorded on Attachment A.

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

---

Scott Haggerty, Chair

The above resolution was approved by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission at a regular meeting of the Commission held in Oakland, California, on July 28, 2010.

Date: July 28, 2010  
Referred by: PAC

Attachment A  
MTC Resolution No. 3952  
Page 1 of 1

ALLOCATION OF AB 1107 FUNDS  
DURING FISCAL YEAR 2010-11

<b>Claimant</b>	<b>Project Description</b>	<b>Fare Ratio Percentage</b>		<b>Allocation Amount</b>	<b>Alloc. Code</b>	<b>Approval Date</b>	<b>Note</b>
		<b>FY 09-10</b>	<b>FY 10-11</b>				
AC Transit	Transit Operations	53.5%	53.4%	50% of deposits to MTC's AB 1107 account.	01	07/28/10	1
SFMTA	Transit Operations	71.5%	68.9%	50% of deposits to MTC's AB 1107 account.	02	07/28/10	1

**Note:**

(1) Disbursement of 3rd and 4th quarter payments is conditioned on continued compliance with Resolution 3866, specifically, achieving fare media transition milestones.

Date: July 28, 2010  
Referred by: PAC

Attachment B  
Resolution No. 3952  
Page 1 of 1

ALLOCATION OF FISCAL YEAR 2010-11  
AB 1107 FUNDS

**FINDINGS**

The following findings pertain to the allocation of funds under this resolution to the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) and/or AC Transit, as the case may be.

	<i>AC Transit</i>	<i>SFMTA</i>
1. In accordance with Public Utilities Code §29142.4(a), the operator is a participating member of the Bay Area Partnership, Partnership Transit Coordinating Committee, established by MTC and which serves the function of a regional transit coordinating council.	YES	YES
2. In accordance with Public Utilities Code §29142(c), the operator has complied with the transit system standards established by MTC pursuant to Government Code §66517.5.	YES	YES
3. In accordance with Public Utilities Code § 29142.5, MTC may consider local support revenues in excess of the operator's base amount as fare revenues, as long as by doing so it will enable the operator to maintain or improve vital transit service within a coordinated fare structure. The audited financials submitted by the claimant for FY 2008-09 and included in the proposed FY 2010-11 budget demonstrate a fare ratio of greater than 33 percent when considering other local excess revenue.	YES	YES

Date: July 28, 2010  
W.I.: 1255  
Referred by: PAC

**ABSTRACT**  
Resolution No. 3956

This resolution approves the allocation of the Regional Measure 2 operating and planning funds for FY 2010-11.

Additional discussion of these allocations is contained in the Programming & Allocation Committee Summary Sheet dated July 14, 2010.

Date: July 28, 2010  
W.I.: 1255  
Referred by: PAC

Re: Allocation of Regional Measure 2 funds for transit operations and planning for FY 2010-11

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION  
RESOLUTION No. 3956

WHEREAS, pursuant to Government Code Section 66500 *et seq.*, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (“MTC”) is the regional transportation planning agency for the San Francisco Bay Area; and

WHEREAS, Streets and Highways Code Sections 30950 *et seq.* created the Bay Area Toll Authority (“BATA”) which is a public instrumentality governed by the same board as that governing MTC; and

WHEREAS, on March 2, 2004, voters approved Regional Measure 2, increasing the toll for all vehicles on the seven state-owned toll bridges in the San Francisco Bay Area by \$1.00, with this extra dollar funding various transportation projects within the region that have been determined to reduce congestion or to make improvements to travel in the toll bridge corridors, as identified in SB 916 (Chapter 715, Statutes of 2004), commonly referred as Regional Measure 2 (“RM2”); and

WHEREAS, RM2 establishes the Regional Traffic Relief Plan and programs eligible for RM2 funding for transit operating and planning assistance as identified in Streets and Highways Code Section 30914(d).

WHEREAS, RM2 assigns administrative duties and responsibilities for the implementation of the Regional Traffic Relief Plan to MTC; and

WHEREAS, BATA shall fund the projects of the Regional Traffic Relief Plan by transferring RM2 authorized funds to MTC; and

WHEREAS, MTC adopted policies and procedures for the implementation of the Regional Measure 2 Regional Traffic Relief Plan on June 23, 2004, specifying the allocation criteria and project compliance requirements for RM 2 funding (MTC Resolution No. 3636, Revised); and

WHEREAS, MTC has reviewed the allocation requests submitted for RM2 transit operations and planning funds from the project sponsor(s) listed in Attachment A to this resolution, attached hereto and incorporated herein as though set forth at length funds; and

WHEREAS, project sponsors seeking RM2 funds are required to submit an Operating Assistance Proposal (OAP), pursuant to Streets and Highway Code Section 30914(e) to MTC for review and approval, which demonstrates a fully funded operating plan and consistency with the performance measures, as applicable; and

WHEREAS, Attachment A lists the projects requested by project sponsors for RM2 funding, project specific conditions, and amounts recommended for RM2 allocation by MTC staff; and

RESOLVED, that MTC approves staff's review of the OAP for the projects listed in Attachment A; and be it further

RESOLVED, that MTC approves the allocation of RM2 funds in accordance with Attachment A; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the allocation and reimbursement of RM2 funds as set forth in Attachment A are conditioned upon the project sponsor complying with the provisions of the Regional Measure 2 Regional Traffic Relief Plan Policy and Procedures as set for in length in MTC Resolution 3636; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the allocation and reimbursement of RM2 funds are further conditioned upon the project specific conditions as set forth in Attachment A; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that a certified copy of this resolution, shall be forwarded to the project sponsor.

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

---

Scott Haggerty, Chair

The above resolution was entered into by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission at the regular meeting of the Commission held in Oakland, California, on July 28, 2010.

FY 2010-11 ALLOCATION OF REGIONAL MEASURE 2 FUNDS  
FOR TRANSIT OPERATIONS AND PLANNING

Claimant	Project Description	Allocation Amount	Allocation Code	Approval Date	Project Number	Farebox Requirement	Note
MTC	Clipper	1,400,000	1	06/23/10	10	n.a.	
WETA	Operating Expenses	950,000	2	06/23/10	6	n.a.	
WETA	Marketing and Public Information	1,000,000	3	06/23/10	6	n.a.	
WETA	Planning and Admin.	3,000,000	4	06/23/10	11	n.a.	
SFMTA	Metro 3rd Street Extension	2,500,000	5	07/28/10	8	n.a.	1
AC Transit	Express Bus Service	5,143,710	6	07/28/10	4	30% (F, LA 20%)	1
AC Transit	Owl Bus Service	1,333,623	7	07/28/10	7	10%	1
AC Transit	Enhanced/Rapid Bus Service	3,000,000	8	07/28/10	9	n.a.	1
Golden Gate	Route 40	2,195,925	9	07/28/10	1	20%	1
Vallejo	Ferry Operations, Augmentation	1,583,992	10	07/28/10	6	30%	2, 3
Vallejo	Ferry Operations, Base	2,740,500	11	07/28/10	6	30%	2, 3
Vallejo	Enhanced Express Bus	1,223,840	12	07/28/10	3	20%	
WETA	Debt Financing	4,000,000	13	07/28/10	6	n.a.	
<b>Total</b>		<b>30,071,590</b>					

**Note:**

- (1) Disbursement of 3rd and 4th quarter payments is conditioned on continued compliance with Resolution 3866, specifically, achieving fare media transition milestones.
- (2) Initial disbursement is conditioned on receipt of authorizing Board Resolution and Resolution of Intent from WETA and Vallejo.
- (3) Disbursement of 3rd and 4th quarter payments is conditioned on either WETA assuming operation of the ferry services or WETA and the ferry operators developing an MOU to allow WETA to claim and pass through RM2 funds.