

**Table B**  
**Estimated Funding Targets by County**  
**Cycle II Program**  
**FY 2009 - FY 2011**

COUNTY & POVERTY POPULATION <sup>1</sup>		CYCLE II: FY 09 - FY 11								
		Tier I Program				Tier II Program				Total
		STA <sup>4</sup>	Prop 1B	JARC <sup>2</sup>	Tier I Subtotal	STA <sup>5</sup>	Prop 1B	JARC <sup>6</sup>	Tier II Subtotal	
Alameda - Available	27.40%	\$ 4,527,210	\$ -	\$ 786,582	\$ 5,313,792	\$ 1,139,330	\$ 2,872,181	\$ 851,554	\$ 4,863,065	\$ 10,176,857
Alameda - Advanced <sup>3</sup>		\$ -	\$ 5,098,588	\$ -	\$ 5,098,588	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,098,588
Contra Costa	12.50%	\$ 2,374,491	\$ 2,016,841	\$ 358,843	\$ 4,750,174	\$ 519,767	\$ 1,310,302	\$ 388,485	\$ 2,218,554	\$ 6,968,728
Marin	2.70%	\$ 512,890	\$ 435,638	\$ 77,510	\$ 1,026,038	\$ 112,270	\$ 283,025	\$ 83,913	\$ 479,208	\$ 1,505,245
Napa	1.70%	\$ 322,931	\$ 274,290	\$ 84,494	\$ 681,715	\$ 70,688	\$ 178,201	\$ -	\$ 248,889	\$ 930,605
San Francisco	15.10%	\$ 2,868,385	\$ 2,436,344	\$ 433,483	\$ 5,738,211	\$ 627,879	\$ 1,582,844	\$ 469,288	\$ 2,680,011	\$ 8,418,222
San Mateo	7.10%	\$ 1,348,711	\$ 1,145,565	\$ 203,823	\$ 2,698,099	\$ 295,228	\$ 744,251	\$ 220,658	\$ 1,260,137	\$ 3,958,236
Santa Clara	21.70%	\$ 4,122,116	\$ 3,501,235	\$ 632,276	\$ 8,255,627	\$ 902,316	\$ 2,274,683	\$ 647,477	\$ 3,824,477	\$ 12,080,104
Solano	5.50%	\$ 1,044,776	\$ 887,410	\$ 416,834	\$ 2,349,020	\$ 228,698	\$ 576,533	\$ -	\$ 805,230	\$ 3,154,250
Sonoma	6.30%	\$ 1,196,743	\$ 1,016,488	\$ 181,331	\$ 2,394,562	\$ 261,963	\$ 660,392	\$ 147,538	\$ 1,069,893	\$ 3,464,455
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>\$ 18,318,251</b>	<b>\$ 16,812,399</b>	<b>\$ 3,175,177</b>	<b>\$ 38,305,827</b>	<b>\$ 4,158,139</b>	<b>\$ 10,482,412</b>	<b>\$ 2,808,913</b>	<b>\$ 17,449,464</b>	<b>\$ 55,755,291</b>

Notes:

Estimates intended for planning purposes only. Actual allotment of funds may differ than those indicated above.

<sup>1</sup> Poverty percentages by county are based on federal poverty levels reported in 2000 US Census.

<sup>2</sup> JARC Tier I estimates include small urbanized area funds administered by Caltrans. The small urbanized areas in the region include Livermore, Gilroy, Petaluma, Fairfield, Vacaville, Vallejo and Napa. These funds are subject to Caltrans requirements.

<sup>3</sup> The Alameda County – Advanced total reflects \$5.1 million in Prop. 1B programmed in advance under MTC Resolution 3834. Alameda County's share of Tier 1 Prop. 1B funds was \$4.6 million. The difference of \$491,991 is repaid from Alameda County's share of Tier 1 STA, which is distributed proportionately to the remaining counties.

<sup>4</sup> The STA Tier I amount is equal to the Year 1 amount (\$13,599,855) plus the Year 2 amount (\$4,718,396), which is based on the revised FY09 State Budget approved in February 2009.

<sup>5</sup> The STA Tier II amount reflects \$3,888,980 in Res. 3814 Augmentation Transfer funds, \$1,971 in STA funds carried over from FY 2009 (the adopted FY09 budget was slightly higher than anticipated), and \$267,188 in FY 2009 interest

<sup>6</sup> The JARC Tier II estimates include FY 2010 large UA funds only. The small UA funds are administered by Caltrans and are subject to a statewide competition. As of August 2009, Caltrans does not plan to provide small UA target amounts for FY 2010 JARC funds.