



METROPOLITAN
TRANSPORTATION
COMMISSION

Joseph P. Bort MetroCenter
101 Eighth Street
Oakland, CA 94607-4700
TEL 510.817.5700
TDD/TTY 510.817.5769
FAX 510.817.5848
E-MAIL info@mtc.ca.gov
WEB www.mtc.ca.gov

Memorandum

TO: Minority Citizens Advisory Committee –
Transportation 2035 Equity Analysis Subcommittee

DATE: March 26, 2008

FR: Pam Grove

W.I. 1114

RE: Transportation 2035 Equity Analysis

The following items will be discussed at this Tuesday's Equity Analysis subcommittee meeting:

Potential Equity Analysis of Transit Service Outputs

Staff will present information that was to be discussed at the last meeting regarding potential analysis of service outputs as an equity measure. As a reminder, subcommittee members had previously requested further information about the kinds of data and analysis that could inform an equity assessment of transit *service outputs*. Analysis of service outputs was covered in the background discussion on transportation equity, but was not a component of the initial staff proposal for the Transportation 2035 Equity Analysis. Staff will present additional information about how service outputs could be analyzed, and offer some examples of what those analyses could potentially consist of. MTC staff have two potential tools that could analyze service outputs: (1) the Regional Transit Database, which is a new geographic information and analysis tool that can provide a *current snapshot* of transit services and characteristics of communities served; and (2) the travel model, which would be used in *long-range forecasting*.

Draft Financial Analysis for RTP Investments

At the request of the subcommittee, staff will present an analysis of the prior investments in the Transportation 20305 Plan (adopted in 2005) using the new transit demographic survey data.

Continued Discussion of Transportation 2035 Equity Analysis Methodology

At your last meeting, staff presented a recommended set of indicators to consider in analyzing the RTP's investment packages in terms of equity (see Attachment A). These were: 1) a financial analysis of Plan investments, 2) accessibility to jobs and essential destinations, 3) mobility (average travel time), 4) user benefits, and 5) environmental impacts (emissions).

At your April 1 meeting, staff will continue the discussion of how analysis using these indicators might proceed. In other words, we have so far discussed *what* we can and ought to measure in terms of the equity implications of the RTP's alternatives; discussion at your next meeting will cover *how* we can measure it.

