

Attachment A: Transportation 2035 Equity Analysis: Proposed Indicators

Type of Analysis	Answers the question(s)	Measures Used	Proposed Indicators
Financial Analysis (Plan investments)	Are the investments being made in T2035 projects being allocated equitably?	Dollars invested	1. Plan expenditures: a. By Income Level b. By Race/Ethnicity
Outcomes Analysis (Benefits and burdens)	Will T2035 projects equitably impact people's accessibility and mobility? Will environmental impacts of the T2035 projects be distributed equitably?	Accessibility <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Destinations reachable within a certain time • Destinations reachable within a certain expenditure 	2. Access to jobs within 30 minutes: a. Communities of concern vs. Rest of Bay Area b. "Low-cost" transit vs. all transit vs. auto* 3. Access to essential destinations within 15 minutes: a. Communities of concern vs. Rest of Bay Area b. "Low-cost" transit vs. all transit vs. auto*
		Mobility (average travel time)	4. Average travel time: communities of concern vs. rest of Bay Area
		User benefits (consumer surplus)	5. User benefits a. Travel time savings: Communities of concern vs. rest of Bay Area b. Out-of-pocket savings: Communities of concern vs. rest of Bay Area
		Environmental Impacts	6. Localized pollutants: Communities of concern vs. rest of Bay Area a. Carbon Monoxide (CO) b. Coarse particulates (PM ₁₀) c. Fine particulates (PM _{2.5})
Policy Statements (Social and economic outcomes)	What results should the T2035 projects aim for? How can the impacts of other T2035 policies such as pricing promote equity?	Affordability objective: Combined household spending on housing and transportation	Upcoming discussions will help identify what base-year indicators could be most informative to developing equity-related policies.

* Denotes a new indicator not included in MTC's previous RTP Equity Analysis/EJ Reports.